

Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of An Additive (β_1, β_2) -Functional Inequalities With n - Variables In Complex Banach Space

LY VAN AN

Faculty of Mathematics Teacher Education, Tay Ninh University, Ninh Trung, Ninh Son, Tay Ninh Province, Vietnam Email address lyvanan145@gmail.com.

Abstract

In this paper we study to solve the additive (β_1, β_2) -functional inequality with n – variables and their Hyers-Ulam stability. First are investigated in complex Banach spaces with a fixed point method and last are investigated in complex Banach spaces with a direct method. I will show that the solutions of the additive (β_1, β_2) -functional inequality are additive mapping. Then Hyers – Ulam stability of these equation are given and proven. These are the main results of this paper .

Keywords: Additive (β_1, β_2) -Functional Inequality; Fixed Point Method; Direct Method; Banach Space; Hyers – Ulam Stability.

Mathematics Subject Classification : 46S10, 39B62, 39B52, 47H10,

1. Introduction

Let \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} be normed spaces on the same field \mathbb{K} , and $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$. We use the notation $\|\cdot\|$ for all the norms on both \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} . In this paper, we investigate additive (β_1, β_2) -functional inequality when \mathbf{X} is real or complex normed space and \mathbf{Y} a complex Banach space. We solve and prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of forllowing additive (β_1, β_2) -functional inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{4}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1 \left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) + f\left(x_1-x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - 2f(x_1) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \left\| \beta_2 \left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

In which β_1, β_2 are fixed nonzero complex numbers with $\sqrt{2}|\beta_1| + |\beta_2| < 1$.

Note that in the preliminaries we just recap some of the most essential properties for the above problem and for the specific problem, please see the document. The Hyers-Ulam stability was first investigated for

functional equation of Ulam in [28] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms.

The functional equation

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the Cauchy equation. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an additive mapping.

The Hyers [13] gave first affirmative partial answer to the equation of Ulam in Banach spaces. After that, Hyers' Theorem was generalized by Aoki [1] additive mappings and by Rassias [25] for linear mappings considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [10] by replacing an unbounded Cauchy difference with a general control function in the spirit of Rassias' approach.

The stability of quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [27] for mappings $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where X is a normed space and Y is a Banach space. Park [24], [25] defined additive γ -functional inequalities and proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive γ -functional inequalities in Banach spaces and non-Archimedean Banach spaces. The stability problems of various functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors on the world. We recall a fundamental result in fixed point theory. Recently, in [3], [4], [21], [22], [24], [25] the authors studied the Hyers-Ulam stability for the following functional inequalities

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(z) \right\| \leq \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2^2} + \frac{z}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(z) \right\| \quad (2)$$

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2^2} + \frac{z}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(z) \right\| \leq \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(z) \right\| \quad (3)$$

$$\left\| f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y) \right\| \leq \left\| \rho\left(2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y)\right) \right\| \quad (4)$$

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right\| \leq \left\| \rho(f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)) \right\| \quad (5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) + f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} - z\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - 2f(z) \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \beta\left(2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2^2} + \frac{z}{2}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2^2} - \frac{z}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(z)\right) \right\| \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2^2} + \frac{z}{2}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2^2} - \frac{z}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(z) \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \beta\left(f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) + f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} - z\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - 2f(z)\right) \right\| \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

finally

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y) \right\| &\leq \left\| \beta_1 (f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x)) \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \beta_2 \left(2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right) \right\| \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

in complex Banach spaces

In this paper, we solve and proved the Hyers-Ulam stability for (β_1, β_2) -functional inequalities (1), ie the (β_1, β_2) -functional inequalities with three variables. Under suitable assumptions on spaces X and Y , we will prove that the mappings satisfy the (β_1, β_2) -functional inequatility (1). Thus, the results in this paper are generalization of those in [3], [4], [14], [21] for (β_1, β_2) -functional inequalties with three variables. The paper is organized as follows: In section preliminaries we remind some basic notations in [3], [7] such as complete generalized metric space and Solutions of the inequalities.

Section 3: In this section, I use the method of the fixed to prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive (β_1, β_2) - functional inequalities (1) when X is a real or complete normed space and Y complex Banach space.

Section 4: In this section, I use the method of directly determining the solution for (1) when X is a real or complete normed space and Y complex Banach space.

2. preliminaries

2.1. Complete Generalized Metric space And Solutions of the Inequalities

Theorem 1. Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $J : X \rightarrow X$ is a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then for each given element $x \in X$, either

$$d(J^n, J^{n+1}) = \infty$$

for all nonnegative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

1. $d(J^n, J^{n+1}) < \infty, \forall n \geq n_0$;
2. The sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J ;
3. y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in X | d(J^n, J^{n+1}) < \infty\}$;
4. $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Jy) \forall y \in Y$

2.2. Solutions of the Inequalities.

The functional equation

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the cauchy equation. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an *additive mapping*.

3. Establish the Solution of the Additive (β_1, β_2) -Function Inequalities Using a Fixed Point Method

Now, we first study the solutions of (1). Note that for these inequalities, when \mathbf{X} is a real or complete normed space and \mathbf{Y} complex Banach space.

Lemma 2. A mapping $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{4}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) + f\left(x_1-x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - 2f(x_1)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \left\| \beta_2\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$, then $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is additive

Proof. Assume that $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies (9)

Replacing (x_1, \dots, x_n) by $(x, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (9), we get

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq 0$$

and so $2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Thus

$$f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}f(x) \quad (10)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$ It follows from (9) and (10) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+\dots+x_n}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+\dots+x_n}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & = \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{4}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) + f\left(x_1-x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - 2f(x_1)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \left\| \beta_2\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - |\beta_2|) \left\| f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+\dots+x_n}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+\dots+x_n}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) + f\left(x_1-x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - 2f(x_1)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Next we letting $u = x_1 + x_2 + \frac{x_3+\dots+x_n}{2}, v = x_1 - x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}$ in (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - |\beta_2|) \left\| f(u) - f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{u-v}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq |\beta_1| \left\| f(u) + f(v) - 2f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbf{X}$
and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (1 - |\beta_2|) \left\| f(u+v) + f(u-v) - 2f(u) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq |\beta_1| \left\| f(u+v) - f(u) - f(v) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbf{X}$ It follows from (12) and (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (1 - |\beta_2|)^2 \left\| f\left(x_1 + x_2 + \frac{x_3 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq |\beta_1|^2 \left\| f\left(x_1 + x_2 + \frac{x_3 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Since $\sqrt{2}|\beta_1| + |\beta_2| < 1$
and so

$$f\left(x_1 + x_2 + \frac{x_3 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) = f(x_1) + f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right)$$

. for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$. Thus f is additive. □

Theorem 3. Suppose $\varphi : \mathbf{X}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq 2L\varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2}\right) \tag{16}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbf{X}$. If $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} + \frac{x_3 + x_4 + \dots + x_k}{4}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3 + x_4 + \dots + x_k}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1 \left(f\left(x_1 + x_2 + \frac{x_3 + x_4 + \dots + x_k}{2}\right) + f\left(x_1 - x_2 - \frac{x_3 + x_4 + \dots + x_k}{2}\right) - 2f(x_1) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \left\| \beta_2 \left(f\left(x_1 + x_2 + \frac{x_3 + x_4 + \dots + x_k}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3 + x_4 + \dots + x_k}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\left\| f(x) - \psi(x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{1}{(1-L)} \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{18}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

Proof. Replacing (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) by $(x, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (17), we get

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (19)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Consider the set

$$\mathbb{S} := \left\{ h : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}, h(0) = 0 \right\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on \mathbb{S} :

$$d(g, h) := \inf \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \lambda \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0), \forall x \in \mathbf{X} \right\},$$

where, as usual, $\inf \phi = +\infty$. It is easy to show that (\mathbb{S}, d) is complete (see [16]) Now we consider the linear mapping $J : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ such that

$$Jg(x) := 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Let $g, h \in \mathbb{S}$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$. Then

$$\left\| g(x) - h(x) \right\| \leq \varepsilon \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| Jg(x) - Jh(x) \right\| &= \left\| 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 2h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq 2\varepsilon \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0, \dots, 0\right) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \frac{L}{2} \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0) \leq L\varepsilon \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L \cdot \varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in \mathbb{S}$ It follows from (19) that

$$d(f, Jf) \leq 1.$$

By Theorem 1, there exists a mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfying the following:

1. ψ is a fixed point of J , ie.,

$$\psi(x) = 2\psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (20)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. The mapping ψ is a unique fixed point J in the set

$$\mathbb{M} = \left\{ g \in \mathbb{S} : d(f, g) < \infty \right\}$$

This implies that ψ is a unique mapping satisfying (20) such that there exists a $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\left\| f(x) - \psi(x) \right\| \leq \lambda \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

2. $d(J^l f, \psi) \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. This implies equality

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = \psi(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$
 3. $d(f, \psi) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, Jf)$. which implies

$$\left\| f(x) - \psi(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-L} \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows (16) and (17) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{4}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_n}{2^{n+2}}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_2}{2^n} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_n}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n |\beta_1| \left\| f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2^n} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_n}{2^{n+1}}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1-x_2}{2^n} - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_n}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &+ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n |\beta_2| \left\| f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2^n} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_n}{2^{n+1}}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_2}{2^n} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_n}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &+ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^n}\right) \\ &= \left\| \beta_1 \left(\psi\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) + \psi\left(x_1-x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - 2\psi(x_1) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &+ \left\| \beta_2 \left(\psi\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - \psi(x_1) - \psi\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2\psi\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{4}\right) - \psi(x_1) - \psi\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq \left\| \beta_1 \left(\psi\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) + \psi\left(x_1-x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - 2\psi(x_1) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &+ \left\| \beta_2 \left(\psi\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - \psi(x_1) - \psi\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$. By Lemma 2, the mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is additive. Ei

$$\psi\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - \psi(x_1) - \psi\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) = 0$$

□

Theorem 4. Suppose $\varphi : \mathbf{X}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2}\right) \leq \frac{L}{2}\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \quad (22)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbf{X}$. If $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{4}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) + f\left(x_1-x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - 2f(x_1)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \left\| \beta_2\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{L}{(1-L)}\varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (24)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Proof. Replacing (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) by $(x, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (23), we get

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (25)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

So

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(2x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(2x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (26)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Suppose (\mathbb{S}, d) be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 3.2 Now we consider the linear mapping $J : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ such that

$$Jg(x) := \frac{1}{2}g(2x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. That It follows from (26)

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(2x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(2x, 0, \dots, 0) \leq L\varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

The rest of the proof is similar to proof of Theorem 3. □

From proving the theorems we have consequences:

Corollary 1. Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{4}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) + f\left(x_1-x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - 2f(x_1)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \left\| \beta_2\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \theta\left(\|x_1\|^r + \|x_2\|^r + \dots + \|x_k\|^r\right) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{2^r \theta}{2^r - 2} \|x\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r \quad (28)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Corollary 2. Let $r < 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{4}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) + f\left(x_1-x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - 2f(x_1)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \left\| \beta_2\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \theta\left(\|x_1\|^r + \|x_2\|^r + \dots + \|x_k\|^r\right) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{2^r \theta}{2 - 2^r} \|x\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r \quad (30)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

4. Establish the Solution of the Additive (β_1, β_2) -Function Inequalities Using a Direct Method

Next, we study the solutions of (1). Note that for these inequalities, when \mathbf{X} be a real or complete normed space and \mathbf{Y} complex Banach space.

Theorem 5. Suppose $\phi : \mathbf{X}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function and let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping such that

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j \phi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^j}, \frac{x_2}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^j}\right) < \infty \quad (31)$$

and let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{4}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) + f\left(x_1-x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - 2f(x_1)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \left\| \beta_2\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (33)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Proof. Replacing (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) by $(x, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (32), we get

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (34)$$

for all $x \in X$

. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| 2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} 2^j \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, 0, \dots, 0\right) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows from (35) that the sequence $\left\{ 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Since \mathbf{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ by

$$\psi(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) \quad (36)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (36), we get (33). It follows from (31) and (32) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{4}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_n}{2^{n+2}}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_2}{2^n} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_n}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n |\beta_1| \left\| f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2^n} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_n}{2^{n+1}}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1-x_2}{2^n} - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_n}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
 &+ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n |\beta_2| \left\| f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2^n} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_n}{2^{n+1}}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_2}{2^n} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_n}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
 &+ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^n}\right) \\
 &= \left\| \beta_1 \left(\psi\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) + \psi\left(x_1-x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - 2\psi(x_1) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
 &+ \left\| \beta_2 \left(\psi\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - \psi(x_1) - \psi\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| 2\psi\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{4}\right) - \psi(x_1) - \psi\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
 &\leq \left\| \beta_1 \left(\psi\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) + \psi\left(x_1-x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - 2\psi(x_1) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
 &+ \left\| \beta_2 \left(\psi\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - \psi(x_1) - \psi\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$. By Lemma 2, the mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is additive. Ei

$$\psi\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - \psi(x_1) - \psi\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) = 0$$

Now, let $\psi' : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be another additive mapping satisfying (33). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \psi(x) - \psi'(x) \right\| &= \left\| 2^q \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 2^q \psi'\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
 &\leq \left\| 2^q \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 2^q f\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\| + \left\| 2^q \psi'\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 2^q f\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
 &\leq 2^{q+1} \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^q}, 0, \dots, 0\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $q \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So we can conclude that $\psi(x) = \psi'(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. This proves the uniqueness of ψ . □

Theorem 6. Suppose $\varphi : \mathbf{X}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function and let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping such that

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi\left(2^j x_1, 2^j x_2, \dots, 2^j x_n\right) < \infty \tag{38}$$

and let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{4}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) + f\left(x_1-x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - 2f(x_1)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \left\| \beta_2\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (40)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Proof. Replacing (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) by $(x, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (39), we get

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (41)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(2x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(2x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (42)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{2^l}f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m}f(2^m x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{2^j}f(2^j x) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}f(2^{j+1} x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}\varphi(2^j x, 0, \dots, 0x) \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows from (42) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Since \mathbf{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x) \right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ by

$$\psi(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x) \quad (44)$$

Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (42), we get (40).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of theorem 5. \square

From proving the theorems we have consequences:

Corollary 3. Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{4}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) + f\left(x_1-x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - 2f(x_1)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \left\| \beta_2\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \theta\left(\|x_1\|^r + \|x_2\|^r + \dots + \|x_n\|^r\right) \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{2^r \theta}{2^r - 2} \|x\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r \quad (46)$$

Corollary 4. Let $r < 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{4}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) + f\left(x_1-x_2 - \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - 2f(x_1)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \left\| \beta_2\left(f\left(x_1+x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f\left(x_2 + \frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \theta\left(\|x_1\|^r + \|x_2\|^r + \dots + \|x_n\|^r\right) \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{2^r \theta}{2 - 2^r} \|x\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r \quad (48)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, I have shown that the solutions of the (β_1, β_2) -functional inequalities are additive mappings. The Hyers-Ulam stability for these given from theorems. These are the main results of the paper, which are the generalization of the results [3], [4], [14], [21].

References

- [1] T.Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 2(1950), 64-66.
- [2] A.Bahyrycz, M. Piszczek, Hyers stability of the Jensen function equation, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 142 (2014), 353-365.
- [3] Ly Van An, Hyers-Ulam stability of functional inequalities with three variable in Banach spaces and Non-Archimedean Banach spaces *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis* Vol.13, 2019, no. 11. 519-530(14), 296-310. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2019.9954>.
- [4] Ly Van An, Hyers-Ulam stability of β -functional inequalities with three variable in non-Archimedean Banach spaces and complex Banach spaces *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis* Vol. 14, 2020, no. 5, 219 - 239 .HIKARI Ltd, www.m-hikari.com <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2020.91169>. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2019.9954>.

- [5] M. Balcerowski, On the functional equations related to a problem of z Boros and Z. Dróczy, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 138 (2013), 329-340.
- [6] L. CADARIU ? , V. RADU, Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 4, no. 1, Art. ID 4 (2003).
- [7] J. DIAZ, B. MARGOLIS, A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 74 (1968), 305-309.
- [8] W. Fechner, On some functional inequalities related to the logarithmic mean, *Acta Math., Hungar.*, 128 (2010), 31-45, 303-309 .
- [9] W. Fechner, Stability of a functional inequalities associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation, *Aequationes Math.* 71 (2006), 149-161.
- [10] Pascus. Gävruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam -Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *Journal of mathematical Analysis and Aequations* 184 (3) (1994), 431-436. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1994.1211>.
- [11] Attila Gilányi, On a problem by K. Nikodem, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 5 (2002), 707-710.
- [12] Attila Gilányi, Eine zur parallelogrammgleichung äquivalente ungleichung, *Aequationes* 5 (2002), 707-710. <https://doi.org/10.7153/mia-05-71>.
- [13] Donald H. Hyers, On the stability of the functional equation, *Proceedings of the National Academy of the United States of America*, 27 (4) (1941), 222. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.27.4.222>
- [14] Jung Rye Lee, Choonkil Park, and Dong Yun Shin. Additive and quadratic functional inequalities in Non-Archimedean normed spaces, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 8 (2014), 1233-1247. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2019.9954>.
- [15] L. Maligranda. Tosio Aoki (1910-1989). International symposium on Banach and function spaces: 14/09/2006-17/09/2006, pages 1–23. *Yokohama Publishers*, 2008.
- [16] D. MIHET., V. RADU, On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 343 (2008), 567-572.
- [17] A. Najati and G. Z. Eskandani. Stability of a mixed additive and cubic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 342(2):1318–1331, 2008.
- [18] C. Park, Y. Cho, M. Han. Functional inequalities associated with Jordan-von Newman-type additive functional equations , *J. Inequality .Appl.* ,2007(2007), Article ID 41820, 13 pages.
- [19] W. P and J. Schwaiger, A system of two in homogeneous linear functional equations, *Acta Math. Hungar* 140 (2013), 377-406 .
- [20] Jürg Rätz On inequalities associated with the jordan-von neumann functional equation, *Aequationes mathematicae*, 66 (1) (2003), 191-200 <https://doi.org/10-1007/s00010-0032684-8>.
- [21] Choonkil. Park. The stability an of additive (β_1, β_2) -functional inequality in Banach space *Journal of mathematical Inequalities Volume 13, Number 1 (2019), 95-104*.
- [22] Choonkil. Park. Additive β -functional inequalities, *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Appl.* 7(2014), 296-310.
- [23] Choonkil Park, functional in equalities in Non-Archimedean normed spaces. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, 31 (3), (2015), 353-366. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10114-015-4278-5>.
- [24] C. PARK, Additive ρ -functional inequalities and equations, *J. Math. Inequal.* 9 (2015), 17-26.
- [25] C. PARK, Additive ρ -functional inequalities in non-Archimedean normed spaces, *J. Math. Inequal.* 9 (2015), 397-407.
- [26] Themistocles M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach space, *proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 27 (1978), 297-300. <https://doi.org/10.2307/s00010-003-2684-8>.
- [27] F. SKOF, Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano* 53 (1983), 113-129.
- [28] S. M. ULam. A collection of Mathematical problems, volume 8, Interscience Publishers. New York, 1960.

Corresponding Author: Ly Van An.