



A simple proof of the closed graph theorem

Alexander G. Ramm^{1*}

¹Mathematics Department, Kansas State University Manhattan, KS 66506, USA

*Corresponding author E-mail: ramm@math.ksu.edu

Abstract

Assume that A is a closed linear operator defined on all of a Hilbert space H . Then A is bounded. A new short proof of this classical theorem is given on the basis of the uniform boundedness principle. The proof can be easily extended to Banach spaces.

Keywords: closed graph theorem; closed linear operator; uniform boundedness principle; new short proof of the closed graph theorem.

1. Introduction

We denote by $D(A)$ the domain of definition of A , by A^* the adjoint operator, by $\|A\|$ the norm of A , by (u, v) the inner product in H , by $c > 0$ various estimation constants are denoted. Let A be a linear operator in H and $u_n \in D(A)$. Suppose that $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $Au_n \rightarrow v$. If the above implies that $u \in D(A)$ and $Au = v$ then the operator A is called closed (see [5]). It is well known (see, [1], [5]) that any bounded sequence in H contains a convergent subsequence.

The following result is classical:

Theorem 1. Let A be a closed linear operator in a Hilbert space H , and $D(A) = H$. Then A is bounded.

Theorem 1 is known as the closed graph theorem. Its proof can be found in [1], [5], [7], and in many other texts in functional analysis. These proofs are based on the Baire category theorem. The aim of this note is to give a simple new proof of Theorem 1 using the well-known uniform boundedness principle, which we state as Theorem 2, and a new result, stated as Theorem 3, which is proved in Section 2.

In [2] a proof of Theorem 1 is given, which is different from ours. Our proof of Theorem 1 is not only new but also very short.

Proofs of Theorem 2 which are not based on Baire's theorem can be found in [2], problem 27, [3], [4], [6].

Theorem 2. If $\sup_n |(Au_n, v)| \leq \infty$ for every $v \in H$, then $\sup_n \|Au_n\| \leq \infty$

We assume Theorem 2 known.

The new result we use in the proof of Theorem 1 is the following:

Theorem 3. If A is a linear closed operator with $D(A) = H$, then $D(A^*) = H$.

In Section 2 proofs are given.

2. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 3.

If A is a linear closed operator and $D(A) = H$, then A^* exists, is closed and densely defined. To prove that $D(A^*) = H$, let $v \in H$ be arbitrary, and $v_n \rightarrow v$, $v_n \in D(A^*)$. Let $u \in H$ be arbitrary. Then

$$(Au, v_n) = (u, A^*v_n) \text{ and}$$

$$\sup_n |(u, A^*v_n)| \leq \sup_n \|v_n\| \|Au\| \leq c(u). \tag{1}$$

By Theorem 2 one has $\sup_n \|A^*v_n\| \leq c$. Therefore, a subsequence, denoted again A^*v_n , converges weakly in H : $A^*v_n \rightharpoonup v^*$, and $(Au, v) = (u, v^*)$. Thus, $v \in D(A^*)$, and $D(A^*) = H$ since $v \in H$ was arbitrary.

Theorem 3 is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Consider the relation $(Au, v) = (u, v^*)$. Since $D(A) = H$ and A is closed, Theorem 3 says that $D(A^*) = H$, the above relation holds for every $v \in H$, and $v^* = A^*v$. Suppose that A is unbounded. Then there exists a sequence u_n , $\|u_n\| = 1$, such that

$$\|Au_n\| \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2}$$

On the other hand, one has:

$$\sup_n |(Au_n, v)| = \sup_n |(u_n, A^*v)| \leq \sup_n \|u_n\| \cdot \|A^*v\| = \|A^*v\| := c(v). \tag{3}$$

By Theorem 2 one concludes that $\sup_n \|Au_n\| < c$. This contradicts (2). Thus, one concludes that $\|A\| < c$. Theorem 1 is proved. \square

References

- [1] N. Dunford, J. Schwartz, Linear operators, Part I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [2] P. Halmos, A Hilbert space problem book, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974. (problems 52 and 58)
- [3] J. Hennefeld, A non-topological proof of the uniform boundedness theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly, 87, (1980), 217.
- [4] S. Holland, A Hilbert space proof of the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly, 76, (1969), 40-41.
- [5] T. Kato, Perturbation theory for linear operators, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [6] A. Sokal, A really simple elementary proof of the uniform boundedness theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly, 118, (2011), 450-452.
- [7] K. Yosida, Functional analysis, Springer, New York, 1980.

