



# A New Hilbert-type integral inequality with a non-homogeneous kernel and its extension

Weiliang Wu<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics Jiaying University,Guangdong Meizhou 514031, China  
\*Corresponding author E-mail:weilianggood@126.com

## Abstract

By introducing some parameters , using the weight function and the technique of real analysis, a new Hilbert-type integral inequality with a non-homogeneous kernel as  $\frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}}$  ( $a \geq 1$ ) and its equivalent form are established. As application, the constant factor on the plane is the best value and its extension form with some parameters is also considered.

**Keywords:** Some parameters, Hilbert-Type Integral Inequality, Best value, Extension.

## 1. Introduction

If  $0 < \int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx < \infty, 0 < \int_0^\infty g^2(x)dx < \infty$ , Hilbert established the famous integral inequality<sup>[1]</sup>

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \pi \left( \int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx \int_0^\infty g^2(y)dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1)$$

where the constant factor  $\pi$  is the best possible.(1) is important in analysis and its application<sup>[2-3]</sup>. Since 1998, by introduced the conjugate index,a number of extensions (1) were given by Yang et al.<sup>[4-7]</sup>.

In this paper, by introducing some parameters and using the way of weight function, a new inequality with a non-homogeneous kernel as follows is established:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} (a \geq 1) f(x)g(y) dx dy < 2B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\right) \left( \int_0^\infty x^{1/2} f^2(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^\infty y^{1/2} g^2(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2)$$

where the constant factor  $2B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$  is the best possible. As application, the equivalent form and its extension form are obtained.

## 2. Some Lemmas

First,  $\beta$  function is given<sup>[9]</sup>:

$$B(u, v) = \int_0^1 x^{u-1} (1-x)^{v-1} dx = B(v, u) (u, v > 0) \quad (3)$$

**Lemma 2.1.**  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < 1, a \geq 1, \eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) := \frac{1}{a^{\lambda_1}} [B(\lambda_1, 1-\lambda_2) + B(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1, 1-\lambda_2)], 0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < 1, a \geq 1, \eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) := \frac{1}{a^{\lambda_1}} [B(\lambda_1, 1-\lambda_2) + B(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1, 1-\lambda_2)],$  define the following weight function:

$$\omega(x) := \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} \frac{y^{\lambda_1}}{x^{1-\lambda_1}} dy \quad (y > 0),$$

$$\omega(x) := \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} \frac{y^{\lambda_1}}{y^{1-\lambda_1}} dy \quad (x > 0),$$

then we have

$$\omega(x) = \omega(y) = \eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2). \quad (4)$$

**Proof** Setting  $u = axy$  and by (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(y) &= \frac{1}{|1-u|^{\lambda_2}} \frac{y^{\lambda_1}}{(uy^{-1}a^{-1})^{1-\lambda_1}} y^{-1} a^{-1} du \\ &= \frac{1}{a^{\lambda_1}} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-u)^{\lambda_2}} u^{\lambda_1-1} du + \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{(u-1)^{\lambda_2}} u^{\lambda_1-1} du \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{a^{\lambda_1}} [B(\lambda_1, 1-\lambda_2) + B(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1, 1-\lambda_2)] = \eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can calculate that  $\omega(x) = \eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** As the assumption of Lemma 2.1, if  $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, f(x) \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J &:= \int_0^\infty y^{p\lambda_1-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} f(x) dx \right]^p dy \leq \\ &[\eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)]^p \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} f^p(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

**Proof** By Hölder's inequality with weight<sup>[8]</sup>, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty f(x) \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} dx &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} \left[ \frac{x^{(1-\lambda_1)/q}}{y^{(1-\lambda_1)/p}} f(x) \right] \left[ \frac{y^{(1-\lambda_1)/p}}{x^{(1-\lambda_1)/q}} \right] dx \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} \frac{x^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{y^{(1-\lambda_1)}} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} \frac{y^{(1-\lambda_1)(q-1)}}{x^{(1-\lambda_1)}} dx \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= y^{\frac{1}{p}-\lambda_1} [\omega(y)]^{1/q} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} \frac{x^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{y^{(1-\lambda_1)}} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

By (4) and Fubini's theorem<sup>[9]</sup>, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J &\leq [\eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)]^{p-1} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} \frac{x^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{y^{(1-\lambda_1)}} f^p(x) dx dy \\ &= [\eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)]^{p-1} \int_0^\infty \omega(x) x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} f^p(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the above results, we have (5). The lemma is proved.

### 3. Main results

**Theorem 3.1.** *As the assumption of Lemma 2.1, if  $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, f(x), g(y) \geq 0, 0 < \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} f^p(x) dx < \infty, 0 < \int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\lambda_1)-1} g^q(y) dy < \infty$ , then we have two equivalent inequalities as*

$$I := \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} f(x)g(y) dx dy < \eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \times \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\lambda_1)-1} g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (7)$$

$$J = \int_0^\infty y^{p\lambda_1-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} f(x) dx \right]^p dy < [\eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)]^p \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} f^p(x) dx. \quad (8)$$

**Proof** *By the conditions of theorem and Hölder's inequality, we conform that the middle of (6) keeps the form of strict inequality. Hence (6) takes the strict sign-inequality, so does (5). In addition, we have (7). By Hölder's inequality<sup>[8]</sup>, we find*

$$I = \int_0^\infty [y^{\lambda_1-1/p} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} f(x) dx] [y^{-\lambda_1+1/p} g(y)] dy \leq J^{1/p} \left[ \int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\lambda_1)-1} g^q(y) dy \right]^{1/q}. \quad (9)$$

*Then by (8), we have (7). On the other hand, assuming that (7) is valid, setting  $g(y) := y^{p\lambda_1-1} [\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} f(x) dx]^{p-1}$*

*, then we have  $J = \int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\lambda_1)-1} g^q(y) dy$ . Through (5), it follows  $J < \infty$ . If  $J = 0$ , then (8) is naturally valid. If  $0 < J < \infty$ , then by (7), we find  $0 < \int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\lambda_1)-1} g^q(y) dy = J = I < \eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \times$*

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\lambda_1)-1} g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (10)$$

$$J^{1/p} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\lambda_1)-1} g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (11)$$

*and then we have (8), which is equivalent to (7). The Theorem is proved.*

**Theorem 3.2.** *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.1, the constants  $\eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  and  $[\eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)]^p$  in (7) and (8) are the best value.*

**Proof** *For  $0 < \varepsilon < p v_1$ , if*

$$\tilde{f}(x) := \begin{cases} x^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon/p-1}, & x \in (0, 1] \\ 0, & x \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}, \quad \tilde{g}(y) := \begin{cases} 0, & y \in (0, 1) \\ y^{\lambda_1-\varepsilon/q-1}, & y \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}.$$

*we can calculate*

$$\tilde{J} := \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} \tilde{f}^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\lambda_1)-1} \tilde{g}^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}. \text{by}$$

*Fubini's theorem<sup>[9]</sup>, we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} \tilde{f}(x) \tilde{g}(y) dx dy \\ &= \int_1^\infty y^{\lambda_1-\varepsilon/q-1} \left[ \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{|1-axy|^{\lambda_2}} x^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon/p-1} dx \right] dy \\ &= \int_1^\infty y^{\lambda_1-\varepsilon/q-1} \left[ \int_0^{ay} \frac{1}{|1-u|^{\lambda_2}} u^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon/p-1} du \right] dy \\ &= \frac{1}{a^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon/p}} \left\{ \int_1^\infty y^{-\varepsilon-1} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon/p-1}}{(1-u)^{\lambda_2}} du + \int_1^{ay} \frac{u^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon/p-1}}{(u-1)^{\lambda_2}} du \right] dy \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{a^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon/p}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon/p-1}}{(1-u)^{\lambda_2}} du + \int_1^\infty \left( \int_{a^{-1}u}^\infty y^{-\varepsilon-1} dy \right) \frac{u^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon/p-1}}{(u-1)^{\lambda_2}} du \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{a^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon/p}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon/p-1}}{(1-u)^{\lambda_2}} du + \frac{a^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \int_1^\infty \frac{u^{\lambda_1-\varepsilon/q-1}}{(u-1)^{\lambda_2}} du \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*If there exists a positive number  $k \leq \eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ , such that (7) is still valid when we replace  $\eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  by  $k$ , then in particular  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$ , by the above results, we find*

$$\frac{1}{a^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon/p}} \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon/p-1}}{(1-u)^{\lambda_2}} du + a^\varepsilon \int_1^\infty \frac{u^{\lambda_1-\varepsilon/q-1}}{(u-1)^{\lambda_2}} du \right\} = \varepsilon \tilde{I} < \varepsilon k \tilde{J} = k. \quad (12)$$

*By Fatou lemma<sup>[9]</sup> and (12), we obtain*

$$\eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{1}{a^{\lambda_1}} \left[ \int_0^1 \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{(1-u)^{\lambda_2}} u^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon/p-1} du + \int_1^\infty \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{(1-u)^{\lambda_2}} u^{\lambda_1-\varepsilon/q-1} du \right] \leq \frac{1}{a^{\lambda_1}} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-u)^{\lambda_2}} u^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon/p-1} du + \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{(u-1)^{\lambda_2}} u^{\lambda_1-\varepsilon/q-1} du \right] \leq k.$$

*Hence  $k = \eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  is the best value of (7). We conform that  $[\eta(a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)]^p$  in (8) is the best possible, otherwise we can get a contradiction by (9) that the constant in (7) is not the best possible. The theorem is proved.*

### 4. Conclusion

For  $a = 1, \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}, p = q = 2$  in (7), it deduces to (2). Hence inequality (7) is the best extension of (2).

### Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the editor and the referees. This work is supported by the key projects of Jiaying University(2014KJZ02) and College students' innovative training program of Guangdong(201510582021).

### References

- [1] Hardy GH. Note on a Theorem of Hilbert Concerning Series of Positive Terms [J]. Proc London Math Soc, 1925, 23 (2): XLV-XLVL.
- [2] Hardy GH, Littlewood JE, Polya G. Inequalities [M]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1952.
- [3] Mitrinovic DS, Pecaric J, Fink AM. Inequalities Involving Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives [M]. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1991.
- [4] Yongjing Li and Bing He. On inequalities of Hilbert's type [J]. Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 2007, 76(1): 1-13.
- [5] Qiong Liu. A Hilbert-type Integral Inequality with Several Parameters [J]. J of Jinlin University: science edition, 2009, 47(5): 903-908.
- [6] Bicheng Yang. On a Hilbert-type integral inequality with the homogeneous kernel of degree [J]. J of Shanghai University (Engl Ed): Natural Science, 2010, 14(6): 391-395.
- [7] Weiliang Wu. A new Hilbert-type integral inequality with multiple independent parameters [J]. J of Lanzhou university of technology, 2015, 41(1): 160-163.
- [8] Jichang Kuang. Applied Inequalities, Shangdong Science Press, Jinan, 2004.
- [9] Jichang Kuang. Introduction to real analysis, Hunan Education Press, Changsha, 1996.