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#### Abstract

A new unconditional inequality of the totient function is contributed to the literature. This result is associated with various unsolved problems about the distribution of prime numbers.
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## 1 Introduction

The totient function $\phi(N)=\#\{n<N: \operatorname{gcd}(n, N)=1\}$, which counts the number of relatively prime integers less than $N$, is a sine qua non in number theory. It and its various generalizations appear everywhere in the mathematical literature. The product form representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(N)=N \prod_{p \mid N}(1-1 / p) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

unearths its intrinsic link to the distribution of the prime numbers. The totient function $\varphi(N)$ is an oscillatory function, its value oscillates from its maximum $\varphi(N)=N-1$ at prime integers $N$ to its minimum $\varphi(N)=N / c_{0} \log \log N$, at the primorial integers $N=2^{v_{1}} \cdot 3^{\nu_{2}} \cdots p_{k}^{v_{k}}$, where $p_{i}$ is the $k$ th prime, $v_{i} \geq 1$, and $c_{0}>1$ is a constant. The new contributions to the literature are the unconditional estimates stated below.

Theorem 1: Let $p_{i}$ be the kth prime, and let $N_{k}=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot p_{k}, k \geq 1$. Then $N_{k} / \phi\left(N_{k}\right)>e^{\gamma} \log \log N_{k}$ for all sufficiently large primorial integer $N_{k}$.

This unconditional result is consistent with the Riemann hypothesis, and seems to prove the Nicolas inequality, Theorem 4 below, for all sufficiently large integers. Just a finite number of cases of primorial integers $N_{k} \leq N_{0}$ remain unresolved as possible counterexamples of the inequality.

Theorem 2: The function $\phi(N) / N>c_{0} / \log \log \log N$ for almost every integer $N \geq 1$, and $c_{0}>0$ constant.

Currently the best unconditional estimate of this arithmetical function in the literature is the followings:
Theorem 3: ([13]) Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, then $N / \phi(N)<e^{\gamma} N \log \log N+5 /(2 \log \log N)$ with one exception for $N=2 \cdot 323$. On the other hand, there are several conditional criteria; one of these is listed below.

Theorem 4: ([12]) Let $N_{k}=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot p_{k}$ be the product of the first $k$ primes.

1) If the Riemann Hypothesis is true then $N_{k} / \phi\left(N_{k}\right)>e^{\gamma} \log \log N_{k}$ for all $k \geq 1$.
2) If the Riemann Hypothesis is false then both $N_{k} / \phi\left(N_{k}\right)<e^{\gamma} \log \log N_{k}$ and $N_{k} / \phi\left(N_{k}\right)>e^{\gamma} \log \log N_{k}$ occur for infinitely many $k \geq 1$.
Some related and earlier works on this topic include the works of Ramanujan, Erdos, and other on abundant numbers, see [11], [2], and recent related works appeared in [2], [3], [9], [14], and [20].

The next section covers some background materials focusing on some finite sums over the prime numbers and some associated and products. The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are given in the last two sections respectively.

## 2 Background materials

This section provides a survey of supporting materials. An effort was made to have a self-contained paper as much as possible, but lengthy proofs available in the literature are omitted.

### 2.1 Sums and products over the primes.

The most basic finite sum over the prime numbers is the prime harmonic sum $\sum_{n \leq x} p^{-1}$. The refined estimate of this finite sum, stated below, is a synthesis of various results due to various authors. The earliest version $\sum_{n \leq x} p^{-1}=\log \log x+B_{1}+O(1 / \log x)$ is due to Mertens, see [17].
Theorem 5: Let $x \geq 2$ be a sufficiently large number. Then

$$
\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p}=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\log \log x+B_{1}+O\left(e^{-c(\log \log x)^{1 / 2}}\right), & \text { unconditionally, }  \tag{2}\\
\log \log x+B_{1}+O\left((\log x)^{-1 / 2}\right), & \text { conditional on the Riemann hypothesis, } \\
\log \log x+B_{1}+\Omega_{ \pm}\left((\log x)^{-1 / 2} \log \log \log x / \log x\right), & \text { unconditional oscillations, }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where B1 $=.2614972128$
Proof: Use the integral representation of the finite sum
$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p}=\int_{c}^{x} \frac{d \pi(t)}{t}$,
Where $c>1$ is a small constant. Moreover, the prime counting function $(x)=\#\{p \quad x: p$ is prime $\}$ has the form

$$
\pi(x)= \begin{cases}l i(x)+O\left(x e^{-c(\log x)^{1 / 2}}\right), & \text { unconditionally, }  \tag{4}\\ l i(x)+O\left(x^{1 / 2} \log x\right), & \text { conditional on the Riemann hypothesis, } \\ l i(x)+\Omega_{ \pm}\left(x^{1 / 2} \log \log \log x / \log x\right), & \text { unconditional oscillations }\end{cases}
$$

The unconditional part of the prime counting formula arises from the delaVallee Poussin form of the prime number theorem $\pi(x)=l i(x)+O\left(x e^{-c(\log x)^{1 / 2}}\right)$, see [10, p. 179], the conditional part arises from the Riemann form of the prime number theorem $\pi(x)=l i(x)+O\left(x^{1 / 2} \log x\right)$, and the unconditional oscillations part arises from the Littlewood form of the prime number theorem $\pi(x)=l i(x)+\Omega_{ \pm}\left(x^{1 / 2} \log \log \log x / \log x\right)$, consult [7, p. 51], [10, p. 479] et cetera. Now replace the logarithm integral $l i(x)=\int_{0}^{x}(t \log t)^{-1} d t$, and the appropriate prime counting measure $d \pi(t)$, and simplify the integral.
The proof of the unconditional part of this result is widely available in the literature; see [6], [10], [16], et cetera. The omega notation $f(x)=g(x)+\Omega_{ \pm}(h(x))$ means that both $f(x)>g(x)+c_{0} h(x)$ and $f(x)<g(x)-c_{0} h(x)$ occur infinitely often as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $c_{0}>0$ is a constant, see [10, p. 5], [18].
As an application of the last result, there is the following interesting product:
Theorem 6: Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be a large real number, then

$$
\prod_{p \leq x}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
e^{\gamma} \log x+O\left(e^{-c(\log x)^{1 / 2}}\right), & \text { unconditionally, }  \tag{5}\\
e^{\gamma} \log x+O\left(x^{-1 / 2}\right), & \text { conditional on the Riemann hypothesis, } \\
e^{\gamma} \log x+\Omega_{ \pm}\left(x^{-1 / 2} \log \log \log x / \log x\right), & \text { unconditional oscillations, }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof: Consider the logarithm of the product

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \prod_{p \leq x}(1-1 / p)^{-1} & =\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p}+\sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n p^{n}} \\
& =\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p}+\gamma-B_{1}+O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right), \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the Euler constant is defined by $\gamma=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \leq x}\left(n^{-1}-\log n\right)=0.577215665 \ldots$, and the Mertens constant is defined by $B_{1}=\gamma+\sum_{p \geq 2}(\log (1-1 / p)+1 / p)=.2614972128 \ldots$, see [6, p. 466]. The last equality in (6) stems from the power series expansion $B_{1}=\gamma-\sum_{p \geq 2} \sum_{n \geq 2}\left(n p^{n}\right)^{-1}$, which yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n p^{n}} & =\gamma-B_{1}-\sum_{p>x} \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n p^{n}} \\
& =\gamma-B_{1}+O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Theorem 5 returns
$\log \prod_{p \leq x}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}= \begin{cases}\gamma+\log \log x+O\left(e^{\left.-c(\log x)^{1 / 2}\right),}\right. & \text { unconditionally, } \\ \gamma+\log \log x+O\left(x^{-1 / 2}\right), & \text { conditional on the Riemann hypothesis, } \\ \gamma+\log \log x+\Omega_{ \pm}\left(x^{-1 / 2} \log \log \log x / \log x\right), & \text { unconditional oscillations. }\end{cases}$
And reversing the logarithm completes the verification.
The third part in (5) above simplifies the proof given in [5] of the following result:
The quantity
$\left(x^{1 / 2} \log x\right)\left(\prod_{p \leq x}(1-1 / p)^{-1}-e^{\gamma} \log x\right)$
attains arbitrary large positive and negative values as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

## 3 An estimate of the Totient function

The proof of Theorem 3 on the extreme values of the arithmetic function $N / \phi(N)$ relies on the oscillation theorem of the finite prime product $\prod_{p \leq x}(1-1 / p)^{-1}$. This technique leads to a concise and simpler proof. A completely elementary proof, but longer, and not based on the oscillation theorem was presented in the earlier version of this paper.

Theorem 1: Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be a primorial integer, then $N / \varphi(N)>6 \pi^{-2} e^{\gamma} \log \log N$ holds unconditionally for all sufficiently large $N=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot p_{k}$.

Proof: Theorem 6 implies that the product
$\prod_{p \leq x}(1-1 / p)^{-1}=e^{\gamma} \log x+\Omega_{ \pm}\left(\frac{\log \log \log x}{x^{1 / 2} \log x}\right)$.
In particular, it follows that
$\prod_{p \leq x}(1-1 / p)^{-1}>e^{\gamma} \log x+c_{0} \frac{\log \log \log x}{x^{1 / 2} \log x}$
And
$\prod_{p \leq x}(1-1 / p)^{-1}<e^{\gamma} \log x-c_{0} \frac{\log \log \log x}{x^{1 / 2} \log x}$

Occur infinitely often as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $c_{0}>0, c_{1}>0$, and $c_{2}>0$ are constants. It shows that $\prod_{p \leq x}(1-1 / p)^{-1}$ oscillates infinitely often, symmetrically about the line $e \log x$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.
To rewrite the variable $x \geq 1$ in terms of the integer $N$, recall that the Chebychev function satisfies $\vartheta(x)=\sum_{p \leq x} \log p \leq c x, c_{1}>1$, see [15]. The properties of this function lead to
$\log N_{k}=\sum_{p \leq p_{k}} \log p=\vartheta\left(p_{k}\right)$, and $\vartheta\left(p_{k}\right)=p_{k}+o\left(p_{k}\right) \leq c_{1} \log N_{k}$.
So it readily follows that $p_{k} \leq x=c_{1} \log N_{k}$. Moreover, since the maxima of the sum of divisors function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma(N)}{N}=\prod_{p^{\alpha} \| N}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{2}}+\cdots \frac{1}{p^{\alpha}}\right) \leq \prod_{p \mid N}(1-1 / p)^{-1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbol $p^{\alpha} \| N$ denotes the maximal prime power divisor of $N$, occur at the colossally abundant integers $N=2^{v_{1}} \cdot 3^{\nu_{2}} \cdots p_{k}^{v_{k}}$, and $v_{1} \geq v_{2} \geq \cdots \geq v_{k} \geq 1$, see [2], [3], [9], [11], it follows that the maxima of the inverse totient function $N / \varphi(N)$ occur at the squarefree primorial integers $N_{k}=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots p_{k}$. Therefore, expressions (10) and (11) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{N_{k}}{\varphi\left(N_{k}\right)} & =\prod_{p \leq c_{1} \log N_{k}}(1-1 / p)^{-1} \\
& >e^{\gamma} \log \log N_{k}+c_{2} \frac{\log \log \log \log N_{k}}{\left(\log N_{k}\right)^{1 / 2} \log \log N_{k}}  \tag{14}\\
& >e^{\gamma} \log \log N_{k}
\end{align*}
$$

as the primorial integer $N_{k}=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot p_{k}$ tends to infinity.

## 4 Probabilistic properties

The natural density function

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(t)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \#\{n \leq N: N / \phi(N) \geq t\} / N \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Is known to be a continuous function of $t \geq 0$. Some recent works have established the exact asymptotic expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(t)=\exp \left(-e^{e^{-\gamma} t}\left(1+O\left(1 / t^{2}\right)\right)\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $t$ tends to infinity, see [19], [20].
The evaluation of the natural density (15) at $t=e^{\gamma} \log \log N$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ suggests that the Nicolas inequality should be $e^{\gamma} \log \log N_{k}>N_{k} / \phi\left(N_{k}\right)>e^{\gamma+\alpha(k)} \log \log N_{k}^{1-\varepsilon}$. The numerical data are compiled in [8].
Now, note that the evaluation at $t=e^{\gamma} \log \log \log N$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ yields the density function

$$
\begin{align*}
B\left(t=e^{\gamma} \log \log \log N\right) & =\exp \left(-e^{e^{-\gamma} t}\left(1+O\left(1 / t^{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\log N}\left(1+O\left(1 /(\log \log \log N)^{2}\right)\right) . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, the subset of integers $N \geq 1$ such that $N / \phi(N)>c_{0} \log \log \log N$ has zero density with respect to the set of integers $\mathbb{N}$. A simple proof of this result is included here.

Theorem 2: The function $\phi(N)>c_{0} N / \log \log \log N$ for almost every integer $N \geq 1$, and $c_{0}>0$ constant.
Proof: The prime divisors counting function satisfies $\omega(N)=\#\{p \mid N\} \leq c_{1} \log \log N$ for almost every integer $N \geq 1$, this is Ramanujan Theorem. In addition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{p \mid N}(1-1 / p) \geq \prod_{p \leq x}(1-1 / p) \geq \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log x}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 \log ^{2} x}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \geq 2$ is a suitable real number, holds for every integer $N \geq 1$, this is Mertens Theorem. Furthermore, by the Prime Number Theorem, the $n$th prime $p_{n} \leq c_{2} n \log n$. In light of these facts, put

$$
\begin{align*}
x & =c_{2}\left(c_{1} \log \log N\right) \log \left(c_{1} \log \log N\right)  \tag{19}\\
& \leq c_{3} \log \log N \log \log \log N
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$, are constants. Substituting (19) into the previous relation (18) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\prod_{p \mid N}(1-1 / p) & \geq \prod_{p \leq p_{n}}(1-1 / p)  \tag{20}\\
& \geq \frac{c_{4}}{\log \log \log N}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for almost every integer $N \geq 1$. Ergo, the ratio $\phi(N) / N=\prod_{p \mid N}(1-1 / p) \geq c_{4} / \log \log \log N$ holds for almost every integer $N \geq 1$ as claimed.
Corollary 7: The function $\sigma(N)<c_{5} N \log \log \log N$ for almost every integer $N \geq 1$, and $c_{5}>0$ constant.
Proof: The sigma-phi identity, on the first line below, coupled with Theorem 2 lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\sigma(N)}{N} & =\frac{N}{\phi(N)} \prod_{p^{\alpha} \| N}\left(1-1 / p^{\alpha+1}\right) \\
& <\frac{N}{\phi(N)}  \tag{21}\\
& <c_{5} N \log \log \log N
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{5}$ is a constant, holds for almost every integer $N \geq 1$.
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