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#### Abstract

Let $D$ be a totally ordered integral domain. We study partial orders on the rings $C=D+D i$ and $H=D+D i+$ $D j+D k$, where $i^{2}=j^{2}=k^{2}=-1$.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, $D$ denotes a totally ordered integral domain, $C=D+D i=\{a+b i \mid a, b \in D\}$ with $i^{2}=-1$, and

$$
H=D+D i+D j+D k=\left\{a_{0}+a_{1} i+a_{2} j+a_{3} k \mid a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \in D\right\}
$$

with $i^{2}=j^{2}=k^{2}=-1$. $C$ and $H$ may be called the ring of complex numbers over $D$ and the ring of quaternions over $D$. If $D=\mathbb{R}$, the field of real numbers, then $\mathbb{C}=\mathbb{R}+\mathbb{R} i$ and $\mathbb{H}=\mathbb{R}+\mathbb{R} i+\mathbb{R} j+\mathbb{R} k$ are the field of complex numbers and the division ring of real quaternions, respectively. Describing the directed partial orders on $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{H}$ is an open question [2, Problem 31, p.212]. Recently some directed partial orders on $\mathbb{H}$ have been constructed [4]. We notice that the same directed partial order can be constructed for complex numbers and quaternions over non-archimedean totally ordered integral domains.

A partially ordered algebra $R$ over $D$ (po-algebra over $D$ ) is a partially ordered ring (po-ring) $R$ and an algebra over $D$ such that $D^{+} R^{+} \subseteq R^{+}$, where $R^{+}=\{r \in R \mid r \geq 0\}$ and $D^{+}=\{a \in D \mid a \geq 0\}$. A po-algebra $R$ is called a directed algebra if the partial order is a directed partial order, that is, any element in $R$ is a difference of two positive elements; and a po-algebra $R$ is called a lattice-ordered algebra ( $\ell$-algebra) if the partial order is a lattice order. In this article, we study partial orders on $C$ and $H$ to make them into a po-algebra over $D$. For undefined terminologies and background information on po-rings and $\ell$-rings, the reader is referred to $[1,2,3]$.

## 2. Partial orders on $C$ and $H$

For $a, b \in D^{+}, a \ll b$ (or $\left.b \gg a\right)$ means that $n a \leq b$ for all positive integer $n$.
Theorem 1 Define the positive cone $P_{C}$ of $C$ as follows.

$$
P_{C}=\{a+b i \mid a \geq 0 \text { and }|b| \ll a \text { in } D\} .
$$

(1) $P_{C}$ is the positive cone of a partial order on $C$ such that $\left(C, P_{C}\right)$ is a po-algebra over $D$.
(2) If there is an element $z \in D^{+}$such that $1 \ll z$, then $\left(C, P_{C}\right)$ is a directed algebra.

Proof. (1) It is clear that $P_{C} \cap-P_{C}=\{0\}, P_{C}+P_{C} \subseteq P_{C}$, and $D^{+} P_{C} \subseteq P_{C}$. We show that $P_{C} P_{C} \subseteq P_{C}$. Suppose that $a+b i, x+y i \in P_{C}$. We need that $(a+b i)(x+y i)=(a x-b y)+(a y+b x) i \in P_{C}$. From $|b| \ll a$ and $|y| \ll x$, we have $b y \leq|b||y| \leq a x$, so $a x-b y \geq 0$. Also for all positive integer $n$, we have

$$
3 n|a y+b x|+3 b y \leq 3 n a|y|+3 n|b| x+3|b||y| \leq a x+a x+a x=3(a x),
$$

and hence $n|a y+b x| \leq a x-b y$ for all positive integer $n$, that is, $|a y+b x| \ll(a x-b y)$. Therefore $(a+b i)(x+y i) \in P_{C}$, and $P_{C}$ is a partial order on $C$.
(2) Suppose that $1 \ll z$ for some $z \in D$. Let $a \in D$ and $a \geq 0$. Then $a \in P_{C}$. If $a \in D$ with $a<0$, then $-a \in P_{C}$. Thus each element in $D$ is a difference of two elements in $P_{C}$. For $b \in D$ with $b>0$, take $w=b z \in D^{+}$. Then $b \ll w$, and $b i=(w+2 b i)-(w+b i)$ is a difference of two elements in $P_{C}$. If $b \in D$ with $b<0$, then $-b>0$ and so $-b i$ is a difference of two elements in $P_{C}$ by previous argument. Hence $b i$ is a difference of two positive elements. Now it is easy to see that any $a+b i \in C$ is a difference of two elements in $P_{C}$. Therefore $P_{C}$ is directed.

The identity element of $C$ is denoted by 1 . Clearly $1 \in P_{C}$. It is clear that $D$ is an archimedean totally ordered integral domain if and only if $P_{C}=D^{+}$. We note that if $D$ is a totally ordered field, then $1 \ll z$ for some $z \in D^{+}$ is equivalent to that $D$ is non-archimedean. $P_{C}$ is not a lattice order, for instance, $i \vee 0$ does not exist with respect to $P_{C}$. The verification of this fact is left to the reader.

It turns out that the positive cone $P_{C}$ defined in Theorem 1 is the largest partial order on $C$ to make it into a po-algebra over $D$.

Theorem 2 Suppose that $C$ is a po-algebra over $D$. If $a+b i \geq 0$ in $C$, then $a \geq 0$ and $|b| \ll a$ in $D$.
Proof. Suppose that $z=a+b i \geq 0$ in $C$. We first show that $a \geq 0$ in $D$. Assume $a<0$ in $D$ and we derive a contradiction. Since $-a>0$ in $D$ and $C$ is a po-algebra over $D$, we have $-a z \geq 0$ in $C$. Then $z^{2}-2 a z=$ $-\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) \geq 0$ in $C$. Thus $-\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) z \geq 0$ in $C$. On the other hand, $\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) \in D^{+}$and $z \geq 0$ in $C$ implies that $\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) z \geq 0$ in $C$. Therefore we have $\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) z=0$, which is a contradiction. Thus $a \geq 0$ in $D$.

Now assume that $z=a+b i \geq 0$ in $C$ and $a \geq 0$ in $D$. We show that $|b| \ll a$ in $D$. If $a=0$, then $z=b i \geq 0$ in $C$ implies that $b=0$ by a similar argument in the previous paragraph. For the following, we assume $a>0$. Then $z^{2}=a^{2}+2 a b i-b^{2} \geq 0$ in $C$ implies that

$$
z^{3}+b^{2} z=\left(a^{2}+2 a b i\right) z=a^{3}+3 a^{2} b i-2 a b^{2} \geq 0
$$

Let $z_{1}=a^{3}+3 a^{2} b i-2 a b^{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{2}=\left(z_{1}+2 a b^{2}\right) z=a^{4}+4 a^{3} b i-3 a^{2} b^{2} \geq 0 \\
\Rightarrow & z_{3}=\left(z_{2}+3 a^{2} b^{2}\right) z=a^{5}+5 a^{4} b i-4 a^{3} b^{2} \geq 0 \\
\vdots & \\
\Rightarrow & z_{n}=\left(z_{n-1}+n a^{n-1} b^{2}\right) z=a^{n+2}+(n+2) a^{n+1} b i-(n+1) a^{n} b^{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have $a^{n+2}-(n+1) a^{n} b^{2} \geq 0$ in $D$ for all positive integer $n$ since the real part of a positive element in $C$ is positive in $D$, and hence $(n+1) b^{2} \leq a^{2}$ for all positive integer $n$. Thus for all positive integer $m,(m b)^{2}=m^{2} b^{2} \leq a^{2}$, so $-a \leq m b \leq a$. Therefore $m|b| \leq a$ for all positive integer $m$, that is, $|b| \ll a$.

Another important property of the positive cone $P_{C}$ is that if $z=a+b i \in P_{C}$, then $\bar{z}=a-b i \in P_{C}$. Recall that a po-ring $R$ is called division closed if for any $a, b \in R, a b>0$ and one of $a$ and $b>0$, then so is the other [2]. It follows that $P_{C}$ is division closed since $z \in P_{C}$ implies that $\bar{z} \in P_{C}$. In the case that $D$ is a totally ordered field, this fact implies that each nonzero positive element in $\left(C, P_{C}\right)$ has a positive inverse. We notice that $D$ also has this property since $D$ is totally ordered.

Following is an example of a partial order on $C$ in which the identity element is not positive.

Example 3 For an element $z=a+b i \in C$, define the positive cone

$$
P=\{z \in C \mid z=0 \text { or } a>0, b>0 \text { and } b \ll a\} .
$$

It is straightforward to check that $C$ is po-algebra with respect to $P$ and 1 is not positive. Clearly $P \cap D^{+}=\{0\}$ and $D$ is archimedean if and only if $P=\{0\}$. Similarly if there is a positive element $z$ in $D$ such that $1 \ll z$, then $P$ is directed.

Now we consider lattice orders on $C$.
Theorem 4 If $D$ is a totally ordered field, then there is no lattice orders on $C$ to make it into an $\ell$-algebra over D.

Proof. Suppose that $C$ is an $\ell$-algebra over $D$. We derive a contradiction. Since $C$ cannot be totally ordered, there are $u, v \in C, u>0, v>0$ and $u \wedge v=0$. Then $u, v$ forms a basis of $C$ as a vector space over $D$, since $C$ is two-dimensional over $D$, and an element $a u+b v \geq 0$ with $a, b \in D$ if and only if $a \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$ in $D$.

Let $i u=a u+b v$ with $a, b \in D$. Since $i u$ is not comparable with $0, a$ and $b$ cannot be zero and must be in opposite sign. We may assume that $a>0$ and $b<0$. Then $-b v=(a-i) u>0$ and $u \wedge(a-i) u=u \wedge(-b v)=0$ since $D$ is a totally ordered field. Let $w=a-i$. Then $w^{2}=a^{2}-2 a i-1=-\left(a^{2}+1\right)+2 a w$. Since $u^{2}$ and $w u^{2}=(w u) u=(-b v) u$ both are positive,

$$
u^{2}=a_{1} u+b_{1}(w u) \text { and } w u^{2}=a_{2} u+b_{2}(w u) \text { with } a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2} \text { being positive in } D .
$$

Then we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w u^{2} & =a_{1}(w u)+b_{1}\left(w^{2} u\right) \\
& =a_{1}(w u)+b_{1}\left(-\left(a^{2}+1\right)+2 a w\right) u \\
& =a_{1}(w u)-b_{1}\left(a^{2}+1\right) u+2 b_{1} a(w u) \\
& =-b_{1}\left(a^{2}+1\right) u+\left(a_{1}+2 b_{1} a\right)(w u)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have $a_{2}=-b_{1}\left(a^{2}+1\right)$ and $b_{2}=a_{1}+2 b_{1} a$. So $b_{1}=a_{2}=0$ and $b_{2}=a_{1}$, and hence $u=a_{1} \in D$ from $u^{2}=a_{1} u$ and $C$ being a field. It follows that $w u=a_{1} a-a_{1} i$, so $a_{1} i=a_{1} a-w u$. Take square of the both sides of the previous equation, we have

$$
-a_{1}^{2}=a_{1}^{2} a^{2}-2 a_{1} a w u+w^{2} u^{2}
$$

and hence

$$
(w u)^{2}=-a_{1}^{2}\left(a^{2}+1\right)+2 a_{1} a(w u)=-a_{1}\left(a^{2}+1\right) u+2 a_{1} a(w u)
$$

From $u \wedge w u=0$, we must have $-a_{1}\left(a^{2}+1\right) \geq 0$ in $D$, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.
For a totally ordered integral domain $D$, although we believe $C=D+D i$ cannot be made into an $\ell$-algebra over $D$, we are unable to prove it except for some special cases. For instance, if $D$ is archimedean, $C$ cannot even be a directed algebra over $D$. Another special case is given below.

Let $F$ be a totally ordered quotient field of $D$. Then $F+F i$ is a quotient field of $C=D+D i$. It is still an open question weather or not a lattice order on an integral domain can be extended to its quotient field. Suppose that $C=D+D i$ is an $\ell$-algebra over $D$. Let

$$
\bar{f}(C)=\{a \in C \mid \forall x, y \in C, x \wedge y=0 \Rightarrow a x \wedge y=0\}
$$

Then $\bar{f}(C)$ is a subring of $C$. We may call elements in $\bar{f}(C)$ as generalized $f$-element which may not be positive. By [3, Theorem 4.33], $C$ cannot be made into an $\ell$-algebra over $D$ which is algebraic over $\bar{f}(C)$ since in this case, the lattice order on $C$ can be extended to its quotient field $F+F i$, which is not possible by Theorem 4.

Next we consider quaternions over $D$ defined as

$$
H=D+D i+D j+D k=\{a+b i+c j+d k \mid a, b, c, d \in D\}
$$

with the coordinatewise addition and the multiplication as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a_{0}+a_{1} i+a_{2} j+a_{3} k\right)\left(b_{0}+b_{1} i+b_{2} j+b_{3} k\right) \\
= & \left(a_{0} b_{0}-a_{1} b_{1}-a_{2} b_{2}-a_{3} b_{3}\right)+\left(a_{0} b_{1}+a_{1} b_{0}+a_{2} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{2}\right) i \\
& +\left(a_{0} b_{2}+a_{2} b_{0}+a_{3} b_{1}-a_{1} b_{3}\right) j+\left(a_{0} b_{3}+a_{3} b_{0}+a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}\right) k
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of following theorem is similar to Theorem 1. We omit the proof and leave the verification of it to the reader.

Theorem 5 Define the positive cone $P_{H}$ of $H$ as follows.

$$
P_{H}=\left\{a_{0}+a_{1} i+a_{2} j+a_{3} k \mid a_{0} \geq 0 \text { and }\left|a_{1}\right| \ll a_{0},\left|a_{2}\right| \ll a_{0},\left|a_{3}\right| \ll a_{0}\right\}
$$

(1) $P_{H}$ is the positive cone of a partial order on $H$ such that $\left(H, P_{H}\right)$ is a po-algebra over $D$.
(2) If there is an element $z \in D^{+}$such that $1 \ll z$, then $\left(H, P_{H}\right)$ is a directed algebra.

Like $P_{C}$ on $C, P_{H}$ is also the largest partial order on $H$ to make it into a po-algebra over $D$.
Theorem 6 Suppose that $H=D+D i+D j+D k$ is a po-algebra over $D$. If $a_{0}+a_{1} i+a_{2} j+a_{3} k \geq 0$ in $H$, then $a_{0} \geq 0$ and $\left|a_{1}\right| \ll a_{0},\left|a_{2}\right| \ll a_{0},\left|a_{3}\right| \ll a_{0}$ in $D$.

Proof. Suppose that $w=a_{0}+a_{1} i+a_{2} j+a_{3} k \geq 0$ in $H$. We first show that $a_{0} \geq 0$ in $D$. Since $w^{2}-2 a_{0} w=$ $-\left(a_{0}^{2}+a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
w^{3}-2 a_{0} w^{2}=-\left(a_{0}^{2}+a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}\right) w
$$

If $a_{0}<0$ in $D$, then since $H$ is a po-algebra over $D$, we have $w^{3}-2 a_{0} w^{2} \geq 0$ in $H$. It follows that $-\left(a_{0}^{2}+a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+\right.$ $\left.a_{3}^{2}\right) w \geq 0$ in $H$, which contradicts with $\left(a_{0}^{2}+a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}\right) w \geq 0$. Thus $a_{0} \geq 0$ in $D$.

If $a_{0}=0$, then $w^{2}=-\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}\right) \geq 0$ in $H$ implies that $\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}\right) w=0$, and hence $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$, so $\left|a_{i}\right| \ll a_{0}$ is true, $i=1,2,3$. For the following, assume $a_{0}>0$. Let $v=a_{1} i+a_{2} j+a_{3} k$. Then $v^{2}=-\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}\right)$ and $w^{2}=a_{0}^{2}+2 a_{0} v+v^{2} \geq 0$ in $H$ implies that

$$
w^{3}-v^{2} w=\left(a_{0}^{2}+2 a_{0} v\right) w=a_{0}^{3}+3 a_{0}^{2} v+2 a_{0} v^{2} \geq 0
$$

Let $w_{1}=a_{0}^{3}+3 a_{0}^{2} v+2 a_{0} v^{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{2}=\left(w_{1}-2 a_{0} v^{2}\right) w=a_{0}^{4}+4 a_{0}^{3} v+3 a_{0}^{2} v^{2} \geq 0 \\
\Rightarrow & w_{3}=\left(w_{2}-3 a_{0}^{2} v^{2}\right) z=a_{0}^{5}+5 a_{0}^{4} v+4 a_{0}^{3} v^{2} \geq 0 \\
\vdots & \\
\Rightarrow & w_{n}=\left(w_{n-1}-n a_{0}^{n-1} v^{2}\right) z=a_{0}^{n+2}+(n+2) a_{0}^{n+1} v+(n+1) a_{0}^{n} v^{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have $a_{0}^{n+2}+(n+1) a_{0}^{n} v^{2} \geq 0$ in $D$ for all positive integer $n$ since the real part of $w_{n}$ is positive, and hence $-(n+1) v^{2} \leq a_{0}^{2}$ for all positive integer $n$. From $-v^{2}=\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}\right)$, for all positive integer $m$ and $i=1,2,3$, $\left(m a_{i}\right)^{2} \leq a_{0}^{2}$, so $-a_{0} \leq m a_{i} \leq a_{0}$. Therefore $m\left|a_{i}\right| \leq a_{0}$ for all positive integer $m$, that is, $\left|a_{i}\right| \ll a_{0}, i=1,2,3$.

As a direct consequence of Theorem $6, H$ cannot be a direct algebra over an archimedean totally ordered domain $D$. We believe that if $D=F$ is a totally ordered field, then $H$ cannot be an $\ell$-algebra over $F$. However we lack ability to provide a proof of it in general. What we do know is that if $D=F$ is a totally ordered field, then $H$ cannot be an $\ell$-algebra over $F$ in which $1>0$.

Theorem 7 Let $H=F+F i+F j+F k$, where $F$ is a totally ordered field. Then $H$ cannot be made into an $\ell$-algebra over $F$ with $1>0$.

Proof. Suppose that $H$ is an $\ell$-algebra over $F$ with $1>0$. Since $H$ cannot be totally ordered, there is an element $0 \neq u \in H$ such that $1 \wedge u=0$. Suppose that $u=b_{0}+b_{1} i+b_{2} j+b_{3} k$. Then $u^{2}=2 b_{0} u-\left(b_{0}^{2}+b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}+b_{3}^{2}\right)>0$, so $-\left(b_{0}^{2}+b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}+b_{3}^{2}\right) \geq 0$ by $1 \wedge u=0$. Therefore $b_{0}^{2}+b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}+b_{3}^{2}=0$, which contradicts with $u \neq 0$.
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