

PIEZOSURGERY: A versatile tool in periodontology and oral implantology

Bharath Chandra GNR *, Narayan N Valavalkar, Shobha Prakash

Department(s) and institution(s): Department of Periodontics, College of Dental Sciences, Davangere, Karnataka, India 577004

*Corresponding author E-mail: bharath.chandra.319@gmail.com

Abstract

Piezosurgery is a relatively a new technique of bone surgery, that is recently gaining popularity in implantology, periodontics and oral surgery. Piezoelectric ultrasonic vibrations are utilized to perform precise and safe osteotomies. Because of its highly selective and accurate nature, with its cutting effect exclusively targeting hard tissue, its use may be extended to more complex oral surgical procedures, as well as to other interdisciplinary problems. It can be used for selective cutting of bone depending on bone mineralization, without damaging the adjacent soft tissue (e.g. vessels, nerves or mucosa), providing a clear visibility in the operating field, and cutting with sensitivity without the generation of heat. So this review discusses the equipment, mechanism of action biological effects on bone, indications, contraindications, advantages and disadvantages of this new technology.

Keywords: Ultrasonic; Piezoelectric; Osteotomies

1. Introduction

Periodontitis is a chronic inflammatory disease of the supporting tissues of the teeth which is associated with crestal bone resorption which alters the morphology of the alveolar process and also produces reverse osseous architecture at times. The treatment is largely based on the removal of local factors, and restoration of the bony architecture. Traditionally, osseous surgery has been performed by either manual or motor driven instruments. Motorized cutting tools also decrease tactile sensitivity. Slower rotational speed necessitates increased manual pressure, which increases the macrovibration of the cutting tool and further diminishes sensitivity (Seshan H et al 2009 p 155). Microultrasonic instruments have been developed with the aim of improving root surface debridement. Piezosurgery (PS) (Mectron Medical Technology, Carasco, Italy) uses piezoelectric ultrasonic vibrations to perform precise and safe osteotomies (Bains VK et al 2008 p 55) Moreover; it reduces damage to osteocytes and permits good survival of bony cells during harvesting of bone (Labanca M et al 2008 p 265)

Piezosurgery device is a sophisticated ultrasonic device which can be used in a variety of dental surgical procedures like periodontal surgery, periapical surgery, removal of impacted teeth, in implant surgery for facilitating bone ridge expansion, in bone regeneration techniques and inferior dental nerve lateralization and trans positioning (PenarrochaDiago M et al 2008 p E143). This device is designed to cut or grind the bone without damaging the adjacent soft tissues. The mechanism of this instrument is mainly based by the "Piezo effect

2. Background and history

Piezoelectric effect was first described by French Physicist Jacques and Pierre Curie in 1880. In 1953, within the field of dentistry, ultrasonics established itself mainly in periodontology, and

endodontics when Catuna first reported cutting effects of high-frequency sound waves on the dental hard tissue (Gonzalez Garcia A et al 2009 p. 360; Stubinger S et al 2005 p. 1283) Piezosurgery was first introduced by Dr. Tomaso Vercellotti in 1997 First developed by Mectron (Italy) Medical Technology in 1998. (Vercellotti T et al 2001 p. 561)

3. Ultrasonics

Ultrasonics are branch of acoustics concerned with sound vibrations in frequency ranges above audible level-that is, louder than about 20 KHz. The term sonics is applied to ultrasound waves of very high amplitudes (Hema S et al 2009 p. 155) Production of Ultrasonics: can be by 3 different methods Mechanical method: up to 100 KHz, Magnetostrictive system: 18-25 KHz, Piezoelectric system: 25-50 KHz. The word 'piezo' has been derived from the Greek word termed "piezein", which means to press or squeeze. In this, mechanical energy in the form of tension and compression is converted into electrical energy (Yaman Z, Suer BT 2013 p.1) When opposite occurs i.e. Electrical energy (voltage) is converted into mechanical energy (tension and compression) it is called as Inverse Piezoelectric effect, and here the voltage is in direct proportion to Force applied.

4. Piezoelectric equipment (mectron dental indiaptvt. ltd.)

Piezoelectric devices usually consist of hand piece and footswitch these are connected to the main power unit. This has a holder for the hand piece and contains irrigation fluids that create an adjustable jet of 0-60 ml/min through a peristaltic pump removing debris from the cutting area and maintains a bloodfree operating area because of cavitation (production of imploding bubbles) of the irrigation solution giving greater visibility particularly in complex

anatomical areas by dispersing coolant fluid as an aerosol. (Labanca M et al 2008 p 265; Gonza;ez Garcia A et al 2009 p. 360) The instantaneous frequency is generally automatically controlled in response to the pressure load on the tip. The parameters under the control of the operator, apart from the pressure applied, are the pulse frequency (when available), the rate of delivery of coolant fluid, and the applied power, which in some instruments is limited to 3–16W and in others has a maximum of as much as 90 W. In most instruments, power is controlled by selecting the type of bone to be cut or the procedure to be performed. The peak-to-peak amplitude of tip oscillations, typically in the range of 30–200mm in the plane perpendicular to the shaft of the working piece (some instruments also or exclusively oscillate along the shaft), ensures precise micro abrasive incision (Gonza;ez Garcia A et al 2009 p. 360).

5. Mechanism of action

Piezoelectric crystals, commonly used are Rochelle salt, quartz, and certain types of ceramics. Applying electrical charges to the face of a piezo electric crystals result in crystal compression, and by inverting the direction of electric charge, resulting in expansion. When the piezo electric crystals like quartz or ceramic disk is placed under an alternating electric field, it is possible to alternate between compression and expansion of the crystal thus producing a series of vibrations. (Yaman Z, Suer BT 2013 p.1) This will result in an oscillating shape change of the crystal at the frequency applied which is then passed onto the working tip.

When this series of vibrations are conducted through a piezoelectric transducer higher efficiency is obtained. The piezoelectric unit operates at frequency of 25-50 KHz. The resultant vibration produces the tip movement that is primarily linear in direction and generally allows only two sides of the tip to be active at any time. The device uses as specifically engineered surgical instrument characterized by a surgical power that is 3-times higher than normal ultrasonic instruments as shown in figure 2. (Jashree Tukaram Kshirsagar et al 2015 p.19; Deepa D 2016 p.27)

The ultrasonic frequency is modulated from 10, 30, and 60 cycles/s (Hz) to 29 kHz. The low frequency enables cutting of mineralized structures, not soft tissue. Power can be adjusted from 2.8 to 16 W, with preset power settings for various types of bone density. (Vercellotti T et al 2001 p. 561) The piezosurgery tip vibrates within a range of 60 to 200mm, which allows clean cutting with precise incisions.

6. Application in dentistry

Piezosurgical equipment can be used for retrograde preparation of root canal; it performs bone cutting with great precision facilitating ridge augmentation and ridge expansion. (Palti A, Hoch T 2002 p.73) tooth extraction, ankylosed tooth extraction (Vercellotti T et al 2001 p. 561) and surgical orthodontic surgeries (Grenga V, Bovi H 2004 p. 446 ; Robiony H et al 2004)

7. Application in periodontology

The piezosurgery device with vibrating tip is used for removal of supra and sub gingival debris, calculus and stains from teeth. Cavitation effect and micro streaming disrupts the bacterial cell wall. The inserts are placed vertically parallel to the long axis of the tooth and is moved continuously providing better patient comfort and calculus removal (Walsmsley AD 1988 p.539) Piezosurgery device are used for the debridement of the epithelial lining of the pocket wall resulting in microcauterization. Piezosurgery device can be used for efficient removal of diseased soft tissue and removal of root calculus compared to manual instruments by using thin tapered tips and altered power setting (Hema S et al 2009 p. 155)

It simplifies and improves handling of soft and hard tissues. In resective periodontal surgery, it uses a scaler shaped insert to detach the secondary flap and remove inflammatory granulation tissue. Cavitation of the saline solution (coolant) facilitates effective scaling, debridement, and root planing and bleeding is minimal. Diamond coated insert enables thorough cleaning of the interproximal bone defects. The mechanical action of ultrasonic micro vibrations, together with cavitation of the irrigation fluid (pH neutral; isotonic saline solution) eliminates toxins, bacteria, debris, dead cells and which creates a clean physiology for healing (Carr H 1999 p.2) Healing is improved by Piezo as it produces micro pits at the base of the defect to activate cellular response of healing mechanisms. It reduces the invasiveness of traditional surgery by making surgery faster and by ensuring thorough cleaning of the surgical site. It also favours tissue healing in the osteoplasty procedure (Jashree Tukaram Kshirsagar et al 2015 p.19). The crown lengthening technique performed with piezosurgery using appropriate inserts makes it possible to effectively reduce bone while preserving root surface integrity. The osteotomy is simple to perform using piezo surgery in direct contact with the root surface because control of the instrument during surgery is precise, even in very difficult proximity cases. Root planing can be performed very effectively using blunt ultrasonic inserts (Sherman JA, Davies HT 2000 p.530)

8. Applications in Implantology

As a new technique, implant site preparation can be performed with a specifically designed set of piezo surgical inserts. Piezosurgical site preparation allows for the selective enlargement of only one socket wall (Haydarpara Teaching Hospital, Istanbul) This is called 'differential ultrasonic socket preparation' by primary stability and short-term survival rate of an implant when compared with conventional site-preparation techniques. Stelzle et al. (Stelzle F et al 2012) emphasized that the applied load on the handpiece may increase the preparation speed but it may also increase the negative thermal effect on the bone. Therefore, it is recommended that a maximum load of 400 g is used during implant site preparation.

In 2000, a new technique was developed that entails cutting an antrostomy (lateral window) using piezo surgery. This technique has greatly reduced the risk of membrane perforation (approximately 5% to 7%). Wallace et al, reported the perforation rate was reduced from the average of 30% with rotary instrumentation to only 7% with piezo surgery. Piezo electric osteotomies cut mineralized tissues without damage to the Schneiderian membrane piezoelectric elevators to separate and raise the membrane easily without perforation. There is no risk of damage to the adjacent structures. Cavitation cleans the working area improving visibility (Vercellotti T et al 2001 p. 561), (Wallace SS 2007).

9. Biological effects on bone

The effects of mechanical instruments on the structure of bone and the viability of cells are important in regenerative surgery. Relatively high temperatures, applied even for a short time, are dangerous to cells and cause necrosis of tissue. This technique clinically effective and also histological and histomorphometric observation of postoperative wound healing and formation of bone in experimental animal models has indicated that the response of tissue is more favorable after piezo surgery than after conventional bone cutting techniques with diamond or carbide rotary instruments (Happe A 2007 p.241), (Sohn DS 2007 p.127)

The result of a histologic comparison of the effect of a standard ultrasonic insert to a rotary bur, and a surgical chisel has shown that the ultrasonic insert, like the surgical chisel, was found to cut and not burnish bone and the rotary bur was observed to produce the smoothest surface of bone, the rate of bone healing proceeded best when the bone was removed by a surgical chisel or ultrasonic insert. (Horton JE 1975 p.536) The rate of postoperative level of

bone change was used to compare the effectiveness of this instrument with a standard carbide bur and a standard diamond bur and the results indicated that PS provided a more favorable osseous response than traditional carbide and diamond burs when surgical osteotomy and osteoplasty procedures were performed. (Vercellotti T et al 2005 p.543) Because the PS insert vibrated within a width of 60–200 mm at a modulated ultrasonic frequency, an increase in temperature was avoided that eliminated bone damage. Ultrasonic osteotomy preserves the bone microstructure which facilitates bone healing and, in turn, osseointegration, which is the key to implants success (Rashad A et al 2012 p. S110).

10. Advantages

- 1) Piezoelectric bone surgery seems to be more efficient in the first phases of bony healing; it induces an earlier increase in bone morphogenetic proteins, controls the inflammatory process better, and stimulates remodeling of bone as early as 56 days after treatment. (Preti G et al 2007 p. 716)
- 2) Micrometric cutting action: Precise incision with no damage to adjacent structures (Mari Grace Poblete-Michel 2009).
- 3) Selective cutting action: sectioning does not damage the adjacent soft tissue (Wallance SS 2007).
- 4) It provides faster bone regeneration and healing process
- 5) Great control of surgical device
- 6) Selective cutting and minimal operative invasion
- 7) Reduced traumatic stress
- 8) Decreased postintervention pain, and No risk of emphysema. (Labanca M et al 2008 p 265; Deepa D 2016 p.27).

11. Disadvantages

- 1) The main disadvantage of the piezosurgery unit is the increased operation time that is required for bone preparation
- 2) Tip breakage can be frequent which makes it necessary to maintain a stock of tips.
- 3) The cost of ultrasonic osteotomy equipment is more than mechanical osteotomes (Jashree Tukaram Kshirsagar et al 2015 p.19 ; Deepa D 2016 p.27).

12. Precautions

The piezo surgery technique immensely lessens the risk of damaging soft tissues, such as sinus floor membrane, nerves, and vessels, but nevertheless precautions must be taken as the ultrasonic waves have mechanical energy, and this energy can be converted into heat and pass into adjacent tissues. For this reason the use of irrigation is essential, not only for the effect of cavitation, but also to avoid overheating. The intensity of the cooling liquid can be adjusted depending on different preparations. (Swyeta Jain Gupta et al 2015 p.1205).

13. Contraindications

There are no absolute contraindications, but one such is electrical pacemakers, in either the patient or the operator, which is a contraindication for piezo surgery. Age factor is a relative contraindication for any surgery (Swyeta Jain Gupta et al 2015 p.1205)

14. Conclusion

Piezo surgery is a new surgical technique for bone surgery with many clinical applications in dentistry. It is a promising, highly precise, and safe bonecutting system that is based on ultrasonic micro vibrations which are optimally adjusted to target only mineralized tissue and spares soft tissue, nerves, and vessels. The precise nature of the instrument allows exact, clean, and smooth

cut geometries during surgery and this could be of great help in performing precise bone surgeries.

References

- [1] Bains VK, Mohan R, Bains R. Application of ultrasound in periodontics: Part II. J Indian Soc Periodontol 2008; 12:55-61. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-124X.44096>.
- [2] Carr M. Ultrasonics. Access, 1999, 2-8.
- [3] Deepa D, Jain G, Bansal T. Piezosurgery in dentistry. J Oral Res Rev 2016;8:27-31. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2249-4987.182487>.
- [4] González-García A, Diniz-Freitas M, Somoza-Martín M, García-García A. Ultrasonic osteotomy in oral surgery and implantology. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2009; 108:360-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tripleo.2009.04.018>.
- [5] Grenga V, Bovi M. Piezoelectric surgery for exposure of palatally impacted canines. J Clin Orthod. 2004;38:446. [PubMed: 15333962].
- [6] Happe A. Use of a piezoelectric surgical device to harvest bone grafts from the mandibular ramus: Report of 40 cases. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2007; 27:241-9.
- [7] Haydarpasa Teaching Hospital, Department of Oral Surgery and Oral Medicine, Gulhane Military Medical Academy, Istanbul, Turkey.
- [8] Hema S, Kranti K, Sameer Z. Piezosurgery in periodontology and oral implantology. J Indian Soc Periodontol 2009; 13(3):155-156. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-124X.60229>.
- [9] Horton JE, Tarpley TM Jr., Wood LD. The healing of surgical defects in alveolar bone produced with ultrasonic instrumentation, chisel, and rotary bur. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1975; 39:536-46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220\(75\)90192-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220(75)90192-9).
- [10] Jaishree Tukaram Kshirsagar, Premkumar K, Yashodha SR, Nirmal Maria T. Piezosurgery: Ultrasonic bone surgery in periodontics and oral implantology- Review. International Journal of Applied Dental Sciences 2015; 1(5): 19-22.
- [11] Labanca M, Azzola F, Vinci R, Rodella LF. Piezoelectric surgery: Twenty years of use. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2008; 46:265-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2007.12.007>.
- [12] Marie Grace Poblete-Michel, Jean-Francois Michel. Clinical Success in Bone Surgery with Ultrasonic Devices. Quintessence Publishing Company. Incorporated, 2009.
- [13] Palti A, Hoch T. A concept for the treatment of various dental bone defects. Implant Dent. 2002;11:73-8. [PubMed: 11915549] <https://doi.org/10.1097/00008505-200201000-00017>.
- [14] Penarrocha Diago M, Ortega Sanchez B, Garcia Mira B. Evaluation of healing criteria for success after periapical surgery. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2008; 13:E143-7.
- [15] Preti G, Martinasso G, Peirone B, Navone R, Manzella C, Muzio G, et al. Cytokines and growth factors involved in the osseointegration of oral titanium implants positioned using piezoelectric bone surgery versus drill technique: A pilot study in minipigs. J Periodontol 2007; 78:716-22. <https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.2007.060285>.
- [16] Rashad A, Sadr-Eshkevari P, Weuster M, Schmitz I, Prochnow N, Maurer P. Material attrition and bone micromorphology after conventional and ultrasonic implant site preparation. Clin Oral Implants Res 2013; 24(Suppl A100):110-4.
- [17] Robiony M, Polini F, Costa F, Vercellotti T, Politi M. Piezoelectric bone cutting in multipiece maxillary osteotomies: Technical Note. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2004;62:6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2004.01.010>.
- [18] Seshan H, Konuganti K, Zope S. Piezosurgery in periodontology and oral implantology. J Indian Soc Periodontol 2009; 13:155-6. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-124X.60229>.
- [19] Sherman JA, Davies HT. Ultracision: the harmonic scalpel and its possible uses in maxillofacial surgery. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2000; 38:530-2. <https://doi.org/10.1054/bjom.2000.0502>.
- [20] Sohn DS, Ahn MR, Lee WH, Yeo DS, Lim SY. Piezoelectric osteotomy for intraoral harvesting of bone blocks. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2007; 27:127-31.
- [21] Stelzle F, Frenkel C, Riemann M, Knipfer C, Stockmann P, Nkenke E. The effect of load on heat production, thermal effects and expenditure of time during implant site preparation - an experimental ex vivo comparison between piezosurgery and conventional drilling. Clin Oral Implants Res, 2012.
- [22] Stübinger S, Kuttnerberger J, Filippi A, Sader R, Zeilhofer HF. Intraoral piezosurgery: Preliminary results of a new technique. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2005; 63:1283-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2005.05.304>.

- [23] Swyeta Jain Gupta, VivekGautam, Amit Gupta. Piezosurgery – a revolutionary approach in periodontal surgeries. *Int J Dent Health Sci* 2015; 2(5):1205-1221.
- [24] Vercellotti T, Paoli SD, Nevins M. The PiezoelectricBony Window Osteotomy and Sinus MembraneElevation: Introduction of a New Technique forSimplification of the Sinus Augmentation Procedure. *IntJPerio Rest Dent*. 2001; 21:561-67.
- [25] Vercellotti T, Nevins ML, Kim DM, Nevins M, Wada K, Schenk RK, et al. Osseous response following resective therapy with piezosurgery. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2005; 25:543-9.
- [26] Wallace SS, Mazor Z, Froum SJ. Scheinderman membrane perforation rate during sinus elevation using Piezosurgery: Clinical results of 100 consecutive cases. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent*. 2007; 27:5.
- [27] Walmsley AD, Laird WR, Williams AR. Dental plaque removal by cavitation activity during ultrasonic scaling. *J ClinPeriodontol*. 1988; 15:539-43.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-051X.1988.tb02126.x>.
- [28] Yaman Z, Suer BT. Piezoelectric surgery in oral and maxillofacial surgery. *Annals of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. 2013; 1(5):1-9.<https://doi.org/10.13172/2052-7837-1-1-399>.