



Common Fixed Point Theorems in Extended Rectangular b-Metric Spaces

Rakesh Tiwari¹ and Rajesh Patel^{2*}

¹ Department of Mathematics, Government V. Y. T. Post-Graduate Autonomous College, Durg 491001, Chhattisgarh, India

² Department of Applied Mathematics, Bhilai Institute of Technology, Durg 491001, Chhattisgarh, India

*Corresponding author E-mail: rajesh.patel@bitdurg.ac.in

Abstract

In this paper, we establish common fixed point theorems for quadruple weakly compatible mappings satisfying a new generalized contraction condition. Our results generalize the corresponding result of Budi Nurwahyu et al. [6]. Non-trivial examples are further provided to support the hypotheses of our results.

Keywords: Compatible mapping; Common Fixed point; Extended rectangular b-metric; Fixed point; Weak contraction mapping.

1. Introduction

The term common fixed point theory refers on those fixed points theoretic results in which geometric conditions on the underlying spaces and for mappings play a crucial role. For the past several years metric fixed point theory has been flourishing area for many mathematicians. The first famous result (Banach contraction principle) is due to Banach [5] in 1922.

In 1989, A well-known generalization of the concept of a metric space is introduced by Bakhtin [4], is that of a b-metric space. In 1993, Czerwik [8] used the concept of b-metric space and generalized the renowned Banach fixed point theorem in b-metric spaces. However, several authors have investigated heavily the space for fixed point results in different type of contraction mapping [9, 19]. In 2016, George et al. [11] developed a new idea as an extension of b-metric, that is said a rectangular b-metric space. By utilizing this space, some authors, such as [12, 15, 20, 22], have yielded some fixed point theorems on different types of contraction mappings. Besides, in 2017, Kamran [16] generalized b-metric space to ended up extended b-metric space. Many authors have utilized the space for fixed point results about such as, [1, 2, 25]. Recently, Mustafa et al. [22] obtained some fixed point theorems for a new generalization in extended rectangular b-metric space. Asim et al. [3] worked for fixed point results and its applications in this space. For more on generalized metric spaces (see also [7, 21, 28]). During this period, Banach's contraction principle was mainly used to test the fixed points of many kinds of contraction diagrams. Many authors have summarized Banach contraction in various ways [13, 18, 23, 26]. Generalized weak contraction mapping is one of the interesting studies in recent years, such as the generalization of Banach contraction [17, 24, 27].

We first present some important definitions and notations which will be used in the main results as follows:

Definition 1.1. [10]. A mapping $T : S \rightarrow S$ where (S, d) is a complete metric space is said to be generalized weakly contraction if

$$d(Ts, Tt) \leq \Psi(d(s, t)) - \varphi(d(s, t)),$$

where $\Psi, \varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous and monotone nondecreasing functions with $\Psi(t) = \varphi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$. Dutta [10] pointed out that when the function satisfies these conditions, T has a unique fixed point.

Definition 1.2. ([7], [8]). Let S be a non-empty set. A mapping $d_b : S \times S \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is said to be a b-metric, if there exists $b \geq 1$ such that d_b satisfies the following conditions:

1. $d_b(s, t) = 0$, if and only if $s = t$,
2. $d_b(s, t) = d_b(t, s)$,
3. $d_b(s, t) \leq b[d_b(s, r) + d_b(r, t)]$,



for all $s, t, r \in S$. The pair (S, d_b) is called a *b-metric space*.

Definition 1.3. [16]. Let S be a non-empty set. A mapping $d_b : S \times S \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is said to be an *extended b-metric*, if there exists a function $b : S \times S \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ such that d_b satisfies the following conditions:

1. $d_b(s, t) = 0$, if and only if $s = t$,
2. $d_b(s, t) = d_b(t, s)$,
3. $d_b(s, t) \leq b(s, t)[d_b(s, r) + d_b(r, t)]$,

for all $s, t, r \in S$. The pair (S, d_b) is called an *extended b-metric space*.

Definition 1.4. [11]. Let S be a non-empty set. A mapping $d_b : S \times S \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is said to be a *rectangular b-metric*, if there is $b \geq 1$ such that d_b satisfies the following conditions:

1. $d_b(s, t) = 0$, if and only if $s = t$,
2. $d_b(s, t) = d_b(t, s)$,
3. $d_b(s, t) \leq b[d_b(s, r) + d_b(r, p) + d_b(p, t)]$,

for all $s, t \in S$ and $r, p \in S \setminus \{s, t\}$. The pair (S, d_b) is called *rectangular b-metric space*.

Definition 1.5. [3]. Let S be a non-empty set. A mapping $d_b : S \times S \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is said to be an *extended rectangular b-metric*, if there exists a function $b : S \times S \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ such that d_b satisfies the following conditions:

1. $d_b(s, t) = 0$, if and only if $s = t$,
2. $d_b(s, t) = d_b(t, s)$,
3. $d_b(s, t) \leq b(s, t)[d_b(s, r) + d_b(r, p) + d_b(p, t)]$,

for all $s, t \in S$ and $r, p \in S \setminus \{s, t\}$. The pair (S, d_b) is called an *extended rectangular b-metric space*.

Definition 1.6. [14]. Let s_n be a sequence in S and (S, d_b) be an extended rectangular b-metric space.

- $\{s_n\}$ is called *convergent* to $s \in S$ iff $d_b(s_n, s) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- $\{s_n\}$ is called *Cauchy* iff $d_b(s_n, s_m) \rightarrow 0$, as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.7. [14]. Let s_n be a sequence in S and (S, d_b) be an extended rectangular b-metric space. Self-mapping f and g on S is said to be *compatible*, if $d_b(fs_n, u) \rightarrow 0$ and $d_b(gs_n, u) \rightarrow 0$, then $d_b(fgs_n, gfs_n) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.8. [14]. Let S be a non-empty set and $T_1, T_2 : S \rightarrow S$ be self-mappings. T_1, T_2 is called *weakly compatible*, for every $s \in S$, if $T_1s = T_2s$ then $T_2T_1s = T_1T_2s$.

Recently, Budi Nurwahyu et al. [6] proved some common fixed point on generalized weak contraction mappings in extended rectangular b-metric spaces. Inspired by their work, we prove generalized common fixed point theorem in extended rectangular b-metric spaces. We use an example to prove our theorem and clarify the definitions.

2. Main Results

Now, we present our main results as follows:

Theorem 2.1. Let (S, d_b) be a complete extended rectangular b-metric space. Let $A, B, C, D : S \rightarrow S$ be continuous mappings such that $A(S) \subseteq B(S)$ and $C(S) \subseteq D(S)$ and satisfy the following conditions:-

$$\begin{aligned}
 & b(r, y)\psi[d_b(Ar, Ay) + d_b(Cr, Cy)] \\
 & \leq \psi[\lambda(d_b(Ar, Br) + d_b(Cr, Dr) + d_b(Ay, By) + d_b(Cy, Dy)) \\
 & + \gamma \frac{d_b(Br, By) + d_b(Dr, Dy)}{b(Br, By) + b(Dr, Dy)}] \\
 & - \beta\varphi(d_b(Ar, By)d_b(Ay, Br) + d_b(Cr, Dy)d_b(Cy, Dr)),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\beta \geq 0, 0 < \lambda < 1, \gamma < 1, \frac{\lambda + \gamma}{1 - \lambda} < 1, \psi, \varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous and ψ is nondecreasing with $\psi(t) = \varphi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

If A, B, C and D are compatible and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [b(A^2r_{2n}, BAr_{2n}) + b(C^2r_{2n}, DCr_{2n})] < \frac{1}{\lambda}$, then A, B, C, D have a unique common fixed point in S .

Proof. Let $r_0 \in S$ and since $A(S) \subseteq B(S)$ and $C(S) \subseteq D(S)$, then we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$, where $y_{2n} = Ar_{2n} = Br_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+1} = Cr_{2n+1} = Dr_{2n+2}$. Since ψ is nondecreasing, now using (2.1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & b(r_{2n}, r_{2n+1})\psi[d_b(Ar_{2n}, Ar_{2n+1}) + d_b(Cr_{2n}, Cr_{2n+1})] \\
 & \leq \psi\left[\lambda\left\{d_b(Ar_{2n}, Br_{2n}) + d_b(Cr_{2n}, Dr_{2n}) + d_b(Ar_{2n+1}, Br_{2n+1})\right.\right. \\
 & \left.\left.+ d_b(Cr_{2n+1}, Dr_{2n+1})\right\} + \gamma\left(\frac{d_b(Br_{2n}, Br_{2n+1}) + d_b(Dr_{2n}, Dr_{2n+1})}{b(Br_{2n}, Br_{2n+1}) + b(Dr_{2n}, Dr_{2n+1})}\right)\right. \\
 & \left.- \beta\varphi\left(d_b(Ar_{2n}, Br_{2n+1})d_b(Ar_{2n+1}, Br_{2n}) + d_b(Cr_{2n}, Dr_{2n+1})\right.\right. \\
 & \left.\left. d_b(Cr_{2n+1}, Dr_{2n})\right)\right]
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
& b(r_{2n}, r_{2n+1}) \Psi [d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] \\
& \leq \Psi \left[\lambda \left\{ d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + d_b(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + d_b(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}) \right\} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \gamma \left(\frac{d_b(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d_b(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})}{b(y_{2n-1}) + b(y_{2n-1})} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \beta \varphi \left(d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n}) d_b(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}) + d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n}) d_b(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}) \right) \right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{b(r_{2n}, r_{2n+1})} \left[\Psi \left\{ 2\lambda (d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + d_b(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})) \right\} + \gamma \left\{ \frac{d_b(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})}{b(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})} \right\} \right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{b(r_{2n}, r_{2n+1})} \left[\Psi \left\{ 2\lambda (d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + d_b(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})) \right\} + \gamma (d_b(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \right] \\
& \leq \Psi \left[2\lambda (d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + d_b(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})) + \gamma (d_b(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Again since Ψ is nondecreasing, we have

$$2d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq 2\lambda (d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + d_b(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})) + \gamma (d_b(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})),$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) & \leq \left(\frac{2\lambda + \gamma}{2 - 2\lambda} \right) (d_b(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{\lambda + \frac{\gamma}{2}}{1 - \lambda} \right) (d_b(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha = \frac{\lambda + \frac{\gamma}{2}}{1 - \lambda}$, then we have

$$d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \alpha (d_b(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})),$$

and by using recursively, we get

$$d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \alpha^n (d_b(y_0, y_1)). \quad (2.2)$$

Since $0 < \alpha < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^n (d_b(y_0, y_1)) = 0 \\
& \text{i.e. } d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Now we show that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. By using (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& b(r_{2m}, r_{2n}) \Psi [d_b(Ar_{2m}, Ar_{2n}) + d_b(Cr_{2m}, Cr_{2n})] \\
& \leq \Psi \left[\lambda \left\{ d_b(Ar_{2m}, Br_{2m}) + d_b(Cr_{2m}, Dr_{2m}) + d_b(Ar_{2n}, Br_{2n}) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + d_b(Cr_{2n}, Dr_{2n}) \right\} + \gamma \left(\frac{d_b(Br_{2m}, Br_{2n}) + d_b(Dr_{2m}, Dr_{2n})}{b(Br_{2m}, Br_{2n}) + b(Dr_{2m}, Dr_{2n})} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \beta \varphi \left(d_b(Ar_{2m}, Br_{2n}) d_b(Ar_{2n}, Br_{2m}) + d_b(Cr_{2m}, Dr_{2n}) d_b(Cr_{2n}, Dr_{2m}) \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
& b(r_{2m}, r_{2n}) \Psi [d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2n}) + d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2n})] \\
& \leq \Psi \left[\lambda \left\{ d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2m-1}) + d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2m-1}) + d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \right\} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \gamma \left(\frac{d_b(y_{2m-1}, y_{2n-1}) + d_b(y_{2m-1}, y_{2n-1})}{b(y_{2m-1}, y_{2n-1}) + b(y_{2m-1}, y_{2n-1})} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \beta \varphi \left(d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2n-1}) d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2m-1}) + d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2n-1}) d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2m-1}) \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
& b(r_{2m}, r_{2n}) \Psi [2d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2n})] \\
& \leq \Psi \left[2\lambda \left\{ d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2m-1}) + d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \right\} + \gamma \left(\frac{d_b(y_{2m-1}, y_{2n-1})}{b(y_{2m-1}, y_{2n-1})} \right) \right] \\
& \leq \Psi \left[2\lambda \left\{ d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2m-1}) + d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \right\} + \gamma (d_b(y_{2m-1}, y_{2n-1})) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{b(r_{2m}, r_{2n})} \left[\psi 2\lambda \left\{ d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2m-1}) + d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \right\} + \gamma \left(d_b(y_{2m-1}, y_{2n-1}) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \psi \left[2\lambda \left\{ d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2m-1}) + d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \right\} + \gamma \left(d_b(y_{2m-1}, y_{2n-1}) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \psi \left[2\lambda \left\{ d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2m-1}) + d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma \left(d_b(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m}) + d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2n}) + d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ is nondecreasing, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2n}) &\leq 2\lambda \left\{ d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2m-1}) + d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \right\} \\ &\quad + \gamma \left(d_b(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m}) + d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2n}) + d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.2) and (2.3), we get $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2n}) = 0$. i.e. $d_b(y_{2m}, y_{2n}) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in S .

Since S is complete, then $\exists u^* \in S$ such that $d_b(y_{2n}, u^*) \rightarrow 0$ i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} d_b(Ar_{2n}, u^*) &\rightarrow 0, d_b(Br_{2n}, u^*) \rightarrow 0, d_b(Cr_{2n}, u^*) \rightarrow 0, \\ d_b(Dr_{2n}, u^*) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Since A, B, C and D are compatible mapping, then from (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_b(A^2r_{2n}, Au^*) &\rightarrow 0, d_b(ABr_{2n}, Au^*) \rightarrow 0, d_b(BAr_{2n}, Bu^*) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and} \\ d_b(C^2r_{2n}, Cu^*) &\rightarrow 0, d_b(CDr_{2n}, Cu^*) \rightarrow 0, d_b(DCr_{2n}, Du^*) \rightarrow 0 \\ &\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} &b(Ar_{2n}, r_{2n})\psi \left[d_b(A^2r_{2n}, Ar_{2n}) \right] + b(Cr_{2n}, r_{2n})\psi \left[d_b(C^2r_{2n}, Cr_{2n}) \right] \\ &\leq \psi \left[\lambda \left\{ d_b(A^2r_{2n}, BAr_{2n}) + d_b(C^2r_{2n}, Dr_{2n}) + d_b(Ar_{2n}, Br_{2n}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + d_b(Cr_{2n}, Dr_{2n}) \right\} + \gamma \left(\frac{d_b(BAr_{2n}, Br_{2n}) + d_b(DCr_{2n}, Dr_{2n})}{b(BAr_{2n}, Br_{2n}) + b(DCr_{2n}, Dr_{2n})} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \beta \varphi \left(d_b(A^2r_{2n}, Bx_{2n})d_b(Ar_{2n}, BAr_{2n}) + d_b(C^2r_{2n}, Dr_{2n}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. d_b(Cr_{2n}, DCr_{2n}) \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{b(Cr_{2n}, r_{2n})} \psi \left[d_b(A^2r_{2n}, Ar_{2n}) \right] + \frac{1}{b(Ar_{2n}, r_{2n})} \psi \left[d_b(C^2r_{2n}, Cr_{2n}) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{b(Ar_{2n}, r_{2n}) + b(Cr_{2n}, r_{2n})} \left[\psi \left\{ \lambda \left(b(A^2r_{2n}, BAr_{2n}) \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + b(C^2r_{2n}, DCr_{2n}) \right) \left(d_b(A^2r_{2n}, Au^*) + d_b(Au^*, ABr_{2n}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d_b(ABr_{2n}, BAr_{2n}) + d_b(C^2r_{2n}, Cu^*) + d_b(Cu^*, CDr_{2n}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d_b(CDr_{2n}, DCr_{2n}) \right) + d_b(Ar_{2n}, Br_{2n}) + d_b(Cr_{2n}, Dr_{2n}) \left. \right\} \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma \left(\frac{d_b(BAr_{2n}, Br_{2n}) + d_b(DCr_{2n}, Dr_{2n})}{b(BAr_{2n}, Br_{2n}) + b(DCr_{2n}, Dr_{2n})} \right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{b(Ar_{2n}, r_{2n}) + b(Cr_{2n}, r_{2n})} \left[\psi \left\{ \lambda \left(b(A^2r_{2n}, BAr_{2n}) + b(C^2r_{2n}, DCr_{2n}) \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(d_b(A^2r_{2n}, Au^*) + d_b(Au^*, ABr_{2n}) + d_b(ABr_{2n}, BAr_{2n}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d_b(C^2r_{2n}, Cu^*) + d_b(Cu^*, CDr_{2n}) + d_b(CDr_{2n}, DCr_{2n}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d_b(Ar_{2n}, Br_{2n}) + d_b(Cr_{2n}, Dr_{2n}) \right\} + \gamma \left(d_b(BAr_{2n}, Br_{2n}) + d_b(DCr_{2n}, Dr_{2n}) \right) \left. \right] \\ &\leq \left[\psi \left\{ \lambda \left(b(A^2r_{2n}, BAr_{2n}) + b(C^2r_{2n}, DCr_{2n}) \right) \left(d_b(A^2r_{2n}, Au^*) + d_b(Au^*, ABr_{2n}) \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + d_b(ABr_{2n}, BAr_{2n}) + d_b(C^2r_{2n}, Cu^*) + d_b(Cu^*, CDr_{2n}) + d_b(CDr_{2n}, DCr_{2n}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d_b(Ar_{2n}, Br_{2n}) + d_b(Cr_{2n}, Dr_{2n}) \right\} + \gamma \left(d_b(BAr_{2n}, Br_{2n}) + d_b(DCr_{2n}, Dr_{2n}) \right) \left. \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left[\psi \left\{ \lambda \left(b(A^2 r_{2n}, BA r_{2n}) + b(C^2 r_{2n}, DC r_{2n}) \right) \left(d_b(A^2 r_{2n}, Au^*) + d_b(Au^*, AB r_{2n}) \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + d_b(AB r_{2n}, BA r_{2n}) + d_b(C^2 r_{2n}, Cu^*) + d_b(Cu^*, CD r_{2n}) + d_b(CD r_{2n}, DC r_{2n}) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \right\} + \gamma \left(d_b(BA r_{2n}, Br_{2n}) + d_b(DC r_{2n}, Dr_{2n}) \right) \Big] \\
&\leq \left[\psi \left\{ \lambda \left(b(A^2 r_{2n}, BA r_{2n}) + b(C^2 r_{2n}, DC r_{2n}) \right) \left(d_b(A^2 r_{2n}, Au^*) + d_b(Au^*, AB r_{2n}) \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + d_b(AB r_{2n}, BA r_{2n}) + d_b(C^2 r_{2n}, Cu^*) + d_b(Cu^*, CD r_{2n}) + d_b(CD r_{2n}, DC r_{2n}) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2d_b(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \right\} + \gamma \left(d_b(BA r_{2n}, Br_{2n}) + d_b(DC r_{2n}, Dr_{2n}) \right) \Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left[\psi \left\{ \lambda \left(b(A^2 r_{2n}, BA r_{2n}) + b(C^2 r_{2n}, DC r_{2n}) \right) \left(d_b(A^2 r_{2n}, Au^*) + d_b(Au^*, AB r_{2n}) \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + d_b(AB r_{2n}, BA r_{2n}) + d_b(C^2 r_{2n}, Cu^*) + d_b(Cu^*, CD r_{2n}) + d_b(CD r_{2n}, DC r_{2n}) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\alpha^{n-1} d_b(y_0, y_1) \right\} + \gamma \left(d_b(BA r_{2n}, Br_{2n}) + d_b(DC r_{2n}, Dr_{2n}) \right) \Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Since ψ is nondecreasing, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{b(Cr_{2n}, r_{2n})} (d_b(A^2 r_{2n}, Ar_{2n}) + \frac{1}{b(Ar_{2n}, r_{2n})} d_b(C^2 r_{2n}, Cr_{2n})) \\
&\leq \left\{ \lambda \left(b(A^2 r_{2n}, BA r_{2n}) + b(C^2 r_{2n}, DC r_{2n}) \right) \left(d_b(A^2 r_{2n}, Au^*) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + d_b(Au^*, AB r_{2n}) + d_b(AB r_{2n}, BA r_{2n}) + d_b(C^2 r_{2n}, Cu^*) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + d_b(Cu^*, CD r_{2n}) + d_b(CD r_{2n}, DC r_{2n}) \right\} + 2\alpha^{n-1} d_b(y_0, y_1) \Big\} \\
&\quad + \gamma \left(d_b(BA r_{2n}, Br_{2n}) + d_b(DC r_{2n}, Dr_{2n}) \right). \tag{2.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[b(A^2 r_{2n}, BA r_{2n}) + b(C^2 r_{2n}, DC r_{2n}) \right] < \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Using (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and for $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{b(Cr_{2n}, r_{2n})} (d_b(A^2 r_{2n}, Ar_{2n}) + \frac{1}{b(Ar_{2n}, r_{2n})} d_b(C^2 r_{2n}, Cr_{2n})) \right] = 0$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{b(Cr_{2n}, r_{2n})} (d_b(A^2 r_{2n}, Ar_{2n})) \right] = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{b(Ar_{2n}, r_{2n})} d_b(C^2 r_{2n}, Cr_{2n}) \right] = 0$$

$$\text{yields } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d_b(A^2 r_{2n}, Ar_{2n})) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(C^2 r_{2n}, Cr_{2n}) = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_b(Au^*, u^*) \leq b(Au^*, u^*) \left[d_b(Au^*, A^2 r_{2n}) + d_b(A^2 r_{2n}, Ar_{2n}) + d_b(Ar_{2n}, u^*) \right] \\
&\text{and } d_b(Cu^*, u^*) \leq b(Cu^*, u^*) \left[d_b(Cu^*, C^2 r_{2n}) + d_b(C^2 r_{2n}, Cr_{2n}) + d_b(Cr_{2n}, u^*) \right]. \tag{2.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.4), (2.5), (2.7) and (2.8) for $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $d_b(Au^*, u^*) = 0$ and $d_b(Cu^*, u^*) = 0$. Thus we have $Au^* = u^*$ and $Cu^* = u^*$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_b(u^*, Bu^*) = d_b(Au^*, Bu^*) \leq b(Au^*, Bu^*) \left[d_b(Au^*, AB r_{2n}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + d_b(AB r_{2n}, BA r_{2n}) + d_b(BA r_{2n}, u^*) \right] \\
&\text{and } d_b(u^*, Du^*) = d_b(Cu^*, Du^*) \leq b(Cu^*, Du^*) \left[d_b(Cu^*, CD r_{2n}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + d_b(CD r_{2n}, DC r_{2n}) + d_b(DC r_{2n}, u^*) \right]. \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

By using (2.4), (2.5) and taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.8), we get $d_b(u^*, Bu^*) = 0$ and $d_b(u^*, Du^*) = 0$. Hence we get $Au^* = Bu^* = u^*$ and $Cu^* = Du^* = u^*$. Thus u^* is common fixed point of A, B, C and D .

Next, we show the uniqueness of common fixed point of A, B, C and D . Suppose that t^* is another common fixed point of A, B, C and D . i.e. $t^* = At^* = Bt^* = Ct^* = Dt^*$. From 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & b(u^*, t^*) \psi [d_b(Au^*, At^*) + d_b(Cu^*, Ct^*)] \\
 & \leq \psi \left[\lambda \left\{ d_b(Au^*, Bu^*) + d_b(Cu^*, Du^*) + d_b(At^*, Bt^*) + d_b(Ct^*, Dt^*) \right\} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \gamma \left(\frac{d_b(Bu^*, Bt^*) + d_b(Du^*, Dt^*)}{b(Bu^*, Bt^*) + b(Du^*, Dt^*)} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \beta \varphi \left(d_b(Au^*, Bt^*) d_b(At^*, Bu^*) + d_b(Cu^*, Dt^*) d_b(Ct^*, Du^*) \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \psi [d_b(Au^*, At^*) + d_b(Cu^*, Ct^*)] \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{b(u^*, t^*)} \psi \left[\lambda \left\{ d_b(Au^*, Bu^*) + d_b(Cu^*, Du^*) + d_b(At^*, Bt^*) \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + d_b(Ct^*, Dt^*) \right\} + \gamma \left(\frac{d_b(Bu^*, Bt^*) + d_b(Du^*, Dt^*)}{b(Bu^*, Bt^*) + b(Du^*, Dt^*)} \right) \right] \\
 & \leq \psi \left[\lambda \left\{ d_b(Au^*, Bu^*) + d_b(Cu^*, Du^*) + d_b(At^*, Bt^*) + d_b(Ct^*, Dt^*) \right\} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \gamma \left(d_b(Bu^*, Bt^*) + d_b(Du^*, Dt^*) \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ is nondecreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [d_b(Au^*, At^*) + d_b(Cu^*, Ct^*)] & \leq \left[\lambda \left\{ d_b(Au^*, Bu^*) + d_b(Cu^*, Du^*) + d_b(At^*, Bt^*) + d_b(Ct^*, Dt^*) \right\} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \gamma \left(d_b(Bu^*, Bt^*) + d_b(Du^*, Dt^*) \right) \right] \\
 & \leq \left[\lambda \left\{ d_b(u^*, u^*) + d_b(u^*, u^*) + d_b(t^*, t^*) + d_b(t^*, t^*) \right\} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \gamma \left(d_b(u^*, t^*) + d_b(u^*, t^*) \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 [d_b(u^*, t^*) + d_b(u^*, t^*)] & \leq \left[\lambda \left\{ d_b(u^*, u^*) + d_b(u^*, u^*) + d_b(t^*, t^*) + d_b(t^*, t^*) \right\} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \gamma \left(d_b(u^*, t^*) + d_b(u^*, t^*) \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 [2d_b(u^*, t^*)] & \leq \left[2\lambda \left\{ d_b(u^*, u^*) + d_b(t^*, t^*) \right\} + 2\gamma \left(d_b(u^*, t^*) \right) \right] \\
 & \leq 2\gamma \left(d_b(u^*, t^*) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(1 - \gamma)(d_b(u^*, t^*)) \leq 0. \text{ Since } 1 - \gamma > 0, \text{ we have } d_b(u^*, t^*) = 0.$$

This implies that

$$u^* = t^*.$$

□

Now, we provide an example of our proven result.

Example 1. Let $S = [0, 1]$, define $d_b(r, p) = \frac{1}{9}(r - p)^2$ and $b(r, p) = 4^{(r-p)^2}$ on $S \times S$. Define A, B, C, D to be self-mapping on S as follows: $A(r) = \frac{r}{23}, B(r) = \frac{r}{22}, C(r) = \frac{r}{2}$, and $D(r) = r$, and define $\psi(t) = \frac{9}{2}t, \varphi = \frac{t}{29}$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$ and take $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}, \beta = \frac{1}{2}, \gamma = \frac{1}{29}$. In fact, it is clear that $d_b(r, p) = \frac{1}{9}(r - p)^2$ is an extended rectangular b -metric with $b(r, p) = 4^{(r-p)^2}$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [b(A^2 r_n, BA r_n) + b(C^2 r_n, DC r_n)] & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [b(A(\frac{r_n}{23}), B(\frac{r_n}{23})) + b(C(\frac{r_n}{2}), D(\frac{r_n}{2}))] \\
 & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [b(\frac{r_n}{26}, \frac{r_n}{23}) + b(\frac{r_n}{22}, \frac{r_n}{2})] \\
 & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [4^{(\frac{r_n}{26} - \frac{r_n}{23})^2} + 4^{(\frac{r_n}{22} - \frac{r_n}{2})^2}] \\
 & = 1 + 1 = 2 < \frac{1}{\lambda} = 4.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi \left[\lambda (d_b(Ar, Br) + d_b(Cr, Dr) + d_b(Ap, Bp) + d_b(Cp, Dp)) + \gamma \frac{d_b(Br, Bp) + d_b(Dr, Dp)}{b(Br, Bp) + b(Dr, Dp)} \right] \\
& - \beta \varphi (d_b(Ar, Bp)d_b(Ap, Br) + d_b(Cr, Dp)d_b(Cp, Dr)) \\
& \leq \psi \left[\lambda (d_b(\frac{r}{2^3}, \frac{r}{2^2}) + d_b(\frac{r}{2}, r) + d_b(\frac{p}{2^3}, \frac{p}{2^2}) + d_b(\frac{p}{2}, p)) + \gamma \frac{d_b(\frac{r}{2^2}, \frac{p}{2^2}) + d_b(r, p)}{b(\frac{r}{2^2}, \frac{p}{2^2}) + b(r, p)} \right] \\
& - \beta \varphi \left(d_b(\frac{r}{2^3}, \frac{p}{2^2})d_b(\frac{p}{2^3}, \frac{r}{2^2}) + d_b(\frac{r}{2}, p)d_b(\frac{p}{2}, r) \right) \\
& = \psi \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{r}{2^3} - \frac{r}{2^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{9} (r - r)^2 + \frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{p}{2^3} - \frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{9} (p - p)^2 \right) + \gamma \left(\frac{\frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{r}{2^2} - \frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{9} (r - p)^2}{4 \left(\frac{r}{2^2} - \frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 + 4(r - p)^2} \right) \right] \\
& - \beta \varphi \left(\frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{r}{2^3} - \frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{p}{2^3} - \frac{r}{2^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{9} (r - p)^2 \frac{1}{9} (p - r)^2 \right) \\
& = \frac{9}{2} \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{r}{2^3} - \frac{r}{2^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{9} (r - r)^2 + \frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{p}{2^3} - \frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{9} (p - p)^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2^9} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{r}{2^2} - \frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{9} (r - p)^2}{4 \left(\frac{r}{2^2} - \frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 + 4(r - p)^2} \right) \right] \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2^9} \left(\frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{r}{2^3} - \frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{p}{2^3} - \frac{r}{2^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{9} (r - p)^2 \frac{1}{9} (p - r)^2 \right) \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{8} \left(\left(\frac{r}{2^3} - \frac{r}{2^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{r}{2} - r \right)^2 + \left(\frac{p}{2^3} - \frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{p}{2} - p \right)^2 + \frac{4}{2^9} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{r}{2^2} - \frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{9} (r - p)^2}{4 \left(\frac{r}{2^2} - \frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 + 4(r, p)^2} \right) \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{81} \frac{\left(\left(\frac{r}{2^3} - \frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{p}{2^3} - \frac{r}{2^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{r}{2} - p \right)^2 \left(\frac{p}{2} - r \right)^2 \right)}{2^{10}} \\
& = \frac{1}{8} \left(\left(\frac{r}{2^3} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{r}{2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{p}{2^3} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{p}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{4}{2^9} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{r}{2^2} - \frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{9} (r - p)^2}{4 + 4} \right) \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{81} \frac{\left(\left(\frac{r}{2^3} - \frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{p}{2^3} - \frac{r}{2^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{r}{2} - p \right)^2 \left(\frac{p}{2} - r \right)^2 \right)}{2^{10}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $r, p \in S = [0, 1]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \geq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{17r^2 + 17p^2}{2^6} + \frac{4}{2^{12}} (2(r - p)^2) \right) - \frac{1}{81} \frac{\left(\left(\frac{r}{2^3} - \frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{r}{2} - p \right)^2 \right)}{2^{10}} \\
& = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{17r^2 + 17p^2}{2^6} + \frac{(r - p)^2}{2^9} \right) - \frac{1}{81} \frac{\left(\left(\frac{r}{2^3} \right)^2 - \frac{rp}{2^4} + \left(\frac{p}{2^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{r}{2} \right)^2 - rp + p^2 \right)}{2^{10}} \\
& \geq \frac{17r^2 + 17p^2}{2^9} + \frac{(r - p)^2}{2^{12}} - \left(\frac{r^2 + p^2 + r^2 + p^2}{2^{10}} \right) \\
& = \frac{17r^2 + 17p^2}{2^9} + \frac{(r - p)^2}{2^{12}} - \frac{(r^2 + p^2)}{2^9} \\
& = \frac{136(r^2 + p^2) + (r - p)^2 - 8(r^2 + p^2)}{2^{12}} \\
& = \frac{128(r^2 + p^2) - 2rp}{2^{12}} \\
& = \frac{128(r^2 + p^2 - \frac{2}{128}rp)}{2^{12}} \\
& \geq \frac{128(r^2 + p^2 - 2rp)}{2^{12}} \\
& = \frac{128(r - p)^2}{2^{12}} \\
& = \frac{56((r - p)^2 + (r - p)^2)}{2^{12}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $r, p \in [0, 1]$, we have $\frac{56}{2^{12}} \geq \frac{4^{(r-p)^2}}{2^{12}}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{56((r-p)^2 + (r-p)^2)}{2^{12}} &\geq \frac{4^{(r-p)^2}}{2^{12}} [(r-p)^2 + (r-p)^2] \\ &\geq 4^{(r-p)^2} \left[\frac{(r-p)^2}{2^{12}} + \frac{(r-p)^2}{2^{12}} \right] \\ &\geq 4^{(r-p)^2} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{r}{2^3} - \frac{p}{2^3}\right)^2}{2^6} + \frac{\left(\frac{r}{2} - \frac{p}{2}\right)^2}{2^{10}} \right] \\ &\geq 4^{(r-p)^2} \frac{\left[9\left(\frac{1}{9}\left(\frac{r}{2^3} - \frac{p}{2^3}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{9}\left(\frac{r}{2} - \frac{p}{2}\right)^2\right)\right]}{2} \\ &\geq 4^{(r-p)^2} \left[\frac{9}{2} \left(d_b\left(\frac{r}{2^3}, \frac{p}{2^3}\right) + d_b\left(\frac{r}{2}, \frac{p}{2}\right) \right) \right] \\ &\geq 4^{(r-p)^2} \left[\psi \left(d_b(Ar, Ap) + d_b(Cr, Cp) \right) \right] \\ &\geq b(r, p) \left[\psi \left(d_b(Ar, Ap) + d_b(Cr, Cp) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the condition:

$$\begin{aligned} &b(r, p) \psi [d_b(Ar, Ap) + d_b(Cr, Cp)] \\ &\leq \psi \left[\lambda (d_b(Ar, Br) + d_b(Cr, Dr) + d_b(Ap, Bp) + d_b(Cp, Dp)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma \frac{d_b(Br, Bp) + d_b(Dr, Dp)}{b(Br, Bp) + b(Dr, Dp)} \right] \\ &\quad - \beta \varphi (d_b(Ar, Bp) d_b(Ap, Br) + d_b(Cr, Dp) d_b(Cp, Dr)) \text{ holds.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, based on theorem 2.1, this implies that A, B, C and D have unique common fixed point.

Corollary 2.1. Let (S, d_b) be a complete extended rectangular b -metric space. Let $A, B, C, D : S \rightarrow S$ be continuous mappings such that $A(S) \subseteq B(S)$ and $C(S) \subseteq D(S)$, $B(S)$ and $D(S)$ are closed and satisfy the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &b(r, p) [d_b(Ar, Ap) + d_b(Cr, Cp)] \\ &\leq \lambda d_b(Ar, Br) + d_b(Cr, Dr) + d_b(Ap, Bp) + d_b(Cp, Dp) \\ &\quad + \gamma \frac{d_b(Br, Bp) + d_b(Dr, Dp)}{b(Br, Bp) + b(Dr, Dp)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $0 < \lambda, \gamma < 1, \frac{\lambda + \gamma}{1 - \lambda} < 1$. If A, B, C and D are compatible and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [b(A^2 r_n, BAr_n) + b(A^2 r_n, DCr_n)] < \frac{1}{\lambda}$, then A, B, C, D have a unique common fixed point in S .

Proof. By taking $\psi(t) = t, \beta = 0$ in Theorem 2.1. Then we conclude that A, B, C, D have a unique common fixed point. □

Theorem 2.2. Let (S, d_b) be a complete extended rectangular b -metric space and the functions $g, h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be Riemann integrable on $[0, \infty)$ with $\int_0^\epsilon g(p) dp > 0$ for every $\epsilon > 0$. If $A, C : S \rightarrow S$ be a self-mapping satisfying the following integral inequality condition

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{d_b(Ar, Ay) + d_b(Cr, Cy)} g(p) dp \\ &\leq \frac{1}{b(r, y)} \left(\int_0^{\lambda (d_b(Ar, r) + d_b(Cr, r) + d_b(Ay, y) + d_b(Cy, y)) + \gamma \frac{d_b(r, y)}{b(r, y)}} g(p) dp - \beta \int_0^{2d_b(r, y)} h(p) dp \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta \geq 0, 0 < \lambda (\neq 1), \gamma < 1, \frac{\lambda + \gamma}{1 - \lambda} < 1$, then A and C has a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Taking $B(r) = C(r) = r, \psi(s) = \int_0^s g(p) dp$ and $\varphi(s) = \int_0^s h(p) dp$, since $g(p)$ and $h(p)$ is Riemann integrable on $[0, \infty)$, we have $\psi(s)$ and $\varphi(s)$ is continuous and nondecreasing on $[0, \infty)$. Then we immediately conclude that A and C has a unique common fixed point. □

3. Conclusion

In general, extended rectangular b -metric space is not a Hausdorff space. So, we need a Hausdorff property in this results, therefore there exists a unique limit point of sequence as the uniqueness of fixed point.

References

- [1] N. Alamgir, Q. Kiran, H. Aydi, A. Mukheimer, "A Mizoguchi–Takahashi type fixed point theorem in complete extended b-metric spaces", *Mathematics*, 7(5), (2019), 1 – 15, DOI:10.3390/math7050478.
- [2] B. Alqahtani, A. Fulga, E. Karapinar, "Common fixed point results on an extended b-metric space", *J Inequalities and Appl*, 2018(1), 1 – 15, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-018-1745-4>.
- [3] M. Asim, M. Imdad, S. Radenovic, "Fixed point results in extended rectangular b-metric spaces with an Application", *University Politehnica of Bucharest Scientific Bulletin-Series A-Applied Mathematics and Physics*, 81(2),(2019), 43 – 50.
- [4] I. A. Bakhtin, "The contraction mapping principle in almost metric space", *Funct Anal Gos Ped Inst Unianowsk*, 30(1989), 26-37.
- [5] S. Banach, "Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales", *Fund. Math.*, 3, (1922), 133-181.
- [6] B. Nurwahyu, M. S. Khan, N. Fabiano, S. Radenovic, "Common Fixed Point on generalized weak contraction mappings in extended rectangular b-Metric Spaces", *Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia*, 35(11)(2021), 3621 – 3634, DOI:10.2298/FIL2111621N.
- [7] L. Ćirić, "Some Results in Metrical Fixed Point Theory", *University of Belgrade, Beograd 2003, Serbia*.
- [8] S. Czerwik, "Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis", 1 (1), (1993), 5-11.
- [9] M. Demma, P. Vetro, "Picard Sequence and Fixed Point Results on b-Metric Spaces", *Journal of Function Spaces* (2)2015, 1 – 6, DOI:10.1155/2015/189861.
- [10] P. N. Dutta, B. S. A Choudhury, "A generalization of contraction principle in metric spaces", *Fixed Point Theory and Appl* 2008(1), 1 – 8, doi:10.1155/2008/406368.
- [11] R. George, S. Radenovic, K. P. Reshma, S. Shukla, "Rectangular b-metric space and contraction principles", *J Nonlinear Sci Appl*, 8(2015), 1005-1013.
- [12] N. Hussain, V. Parvaneh, B. S. A. Alamri, Z. Kadelburg, "F-HR-type contractions on (α, η) -complete rectangular b-metric spaces", *J Nonlinear Sci Appl*, 10(3),(2017), 1030-1043, doi:10.22436/jnsa.010.03.14.
- [13] M. Jleli, E. Karapinar, B. Samet, "Further generalizations of the Banach contraction principle", *J Inequalities and Appl*, 2014(1), 1-9.
- [14] G. Jungck, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points" *International J Math and Math Sci*, 9(4), (1986), 771-779.
- [15] Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenovic, "Pata-type common fixed point results in b-metric and b-rectangular metric spaces", *J Nonlinear Sci Appl*, 8(6), (2015), 944-954, DOI:10.22436/jnsa.008.06.05.
- [16] T. Kamran, M. Samreen, Q. UL Ain, "A generalization of b-metric space and some fixed point theorems", *Mathematics*, 5(2), (2017), 1-7, doi:10.3390/math502001.
- [17] H. Lakzian, B. Samet, "Fixed points for (Ψ, Φ) -weakly contractive mappings in generalized metric spaces", *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 25(5), (2012), 902-906, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2011.10.047>.
- [18] J. Merryfield, J. D. Stein Jr, "A generalization of the Banach contraction principle", *J Math Anal and Appl*, 273(1), (2002), 112-120.
- [19] P. K. Mishra, S. Sachdeva, S. K. Banerjee, "Some fixed point theorems in b-metric space", *Turkish J Analysis and Number Theory*, 2(1), (2014), 19-22, DOI: 10.12691/tjant-2-1-5.
- [20] Z. D. Mitrovic, "A note on a Banach's fixed point theorem in b-rectangular metric space and b-metric space", *Mathematica Slovaca*, 68(5), (2018), 1113-1116, DOI:10.1515/ms-2017-0172.
- [21] Z. D. Mitrovic, H. Isik, S. Radenovic, "The new results in extended b-metric spaces and applications", *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, 11 (2020), 473-482, <http://dx.doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2019.18239.1998>.
- [22] Z. Mustafa, V. Parvaneh, M M Jaradat, Z. Kadelburg, "Extended rectangular b-metric spaces and some fixed point theorems for contractive mappings", *Symmetry* 11(4), (2019), 1-17, <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym11040594>.
- [23] B. E. Rhoades, "Some theorems on weakly contractive maps", *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Appl*, 47(4), (2001), 2683-2693, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X\(01\)00388-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X(01)00388-1).
- [24] M. Samreen, T. Kamran, "Fixed point theorems for weakly contractive mappings on a metric space endowed with a graph", *Filomat*, 28(3), (2014), 441-450.
- [25] W. Shatanawi, K. Abodayeh, A. Mukheimer, "Some fixed point theorems in extended b-metric spaces", *Politehn Univ Bucharest Sci Bul Ser A Appl Math Phys*, 80(2018), 71-78.
- [26] T. Suzuki, "A generalized Banach contraction principle that characterizes metric completeness", *Proc of the American Math Soc*, 136(5), (2008), 1861-1869.
- [27] N. Van Luong, N. X. Thuan, "Fixed point theorem for generalized weak contractions satisfying rational expressions in ordered metric spaces", *Fixed Point Theory and App*, 2011(1), 1-10.
- [28] V. T. Cevic, "Harmonic Quasiconformal Mappings and Hyperbolic Type Metrics", *Springer Nature Switzerland AG*, 2019, 1-163.