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#### Abstract

In this present paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem for self maps in modular spaces. Also one corollary, which shows that our main theorem is generalized version of the main theorem of [A. Razani, E. Nabizadeh, M. Beyg Mohamadi and S. Homaei Pour, Abs. Appl. Anal. 2007, Article ID 40575] is given.
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## 1. Introduction

The theory of modular spaces was introduced by Nakano [1] in 1950 and generalized by Musielak and Orlicz [2], Koshi and Shimogaki [3] and Yamamuro [4] and their collaborators. The monographic exposition of the theory of Orlicz spaces may be found in in the book of Krasnosel'skii and Rutickii[5]. We referred the reader for the theory of Orlicz spaces and modular spaces, to the books $[6,7]$. Fixed point theorems in modular spaces, generalizing the classical Banach fixed point theorem in metric spaces, have been studied extensively by many mathematicians such as Arandelović, [8], Edelstein [9], Ćirić [10], Rakotch [11], Reich [12], Kirk [13]. In addition, Razani et al. [14] proved some fixed point theorems of non linear and asymptotic contractions in modular spaces. Also, quasi-contraction mappings in modular spaces without $\Delta_{2}$-condition were investigated by Khamsi [15]. Kuaket and Kumam [16] proved the existence of fixed points of asymptotic pointwise contractions in modular spaces. Moreover Chen and Wang [17] proved the fixed points of asymptotic pointwise nonexpansive mappings in modular spaces.

In this paper we establish a fixed point theorem for self maps in modular spaces with new type contractivity.
Definition 1.1 Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an arbitrary vector space over $\mathbb{F}(=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C})$.
A functional $\rho: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is called modular if for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$,
(i) $\rho(x)=0$ if and only if $x=0$,
(ii) $\rho(\alpha x)=\rho(x)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ with $|\alpha|=1$,
(iii) $\rho(\alpha x+\beta y) \leq \rho(x)+\rho(y)$ if $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\alpha+\beta=1$.

Definition 1.2 If (iii) in definition 1.1 is replaced by

$$
\rho(\alpha x+\beta y) \leq \alpha^{s} \rho(x)+\beta^{s} \rho(y)
$$

for $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha+\beta=1$ with an $s \in(0,1]$, then we say that $\rho$ is an $s$-convex modular, and if $s=1, \rho$ is called a convex modular.

A modular $\rho$ defines a corresponding modular space, i.e., the vector space $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ given by to
$\mathcal{X}_{\rho}=\{x \in \mathcal{X}: \quad \rho(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0\}$. Let $\rho$ be a convex modular, the modular space $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ can be equipped with a norm called the Luxemburg norm, defined by

$$
\|x\|_{\rho}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0 ; \rho\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1\right\} .
$$

Definition 1.3 Let $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ be a modular space and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $x$ be in $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$. Then
(i) $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is said to be $\rho$-convergent to $x$ and write $x_{n} \xrightarrow{\rho} x$ if $\rho\left(x_{n}-x\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
(ii) $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is called $\rho$-Cauchy if $\rho\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.
(iii) A subset $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ is called $\rho$-complete complete if any $\rho$-Cauchy sequence is $\rho$-convergent to an element of $\mathcal{S}$.
(iv) A subset $B$ of $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ is called $\rho$-closed if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subseteq B$ with $x_{n} \xrightarrow{\rho} x$, we have $x \in B$.
(v) We say the modular $\rho$ has the Fatou property if $\rho(x) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(x_{n}\right)$ whenever $x_{n} \xrightarrow{\rho} x$.
(vi) $\rho$ is said to satisfy the $\Delta_{2}$-condition if $\rho\left(2 x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ whenever $\rho\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1.4 Note that, if $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ then $\rho(a x)$ is an increasing function of $a>0$. Suppose $0<a<b$, then property (iii) of Definition 1.1 with $y=0$ shows that $\rho(a x)=\rho\left(\frac{a}{b} b x\right) \leq \rho(b x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Moreover, if $\rho$ is a convex modular on $\mathcal{X}$ and $|\alpha| \leq 1$, then $\rho(\alpha x) \leq \alpha \rho(x)$ and also $\rho(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho(2 x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

Definition 1.5 A function $T: \mathcal{X}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ is called $\rho$-continuous if
$\rho\left(x_{n}-x\right) \rightarrow 0$, then $\rho\left(T\left(x_{n}\right)-T(x)\right) \rightarrow 0$.

## 2. Main results

Throughout this paper, we assume that the modular $\rho$ satisfies the $\Delta_{2}$-condition. In this section, by using some ideas from $[14,18]$ we will prove a fixed point theorem for a new type of contractivity as follows.

Theorem 2.1 Let $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ be a $\rho$-complete modular space, where $\rho$ satisfies the $\Delta_{2}$-condition. Suppose that $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ is an increasing and upper semicontinuous function satisfying
$\varphi(t)<t, \quad(t>0)$.
Let $C$ be a $\rho$-closed subset of $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ and let $T, S: C \rightarrow C$ be mappings such that there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$with $\alpha>\beta$, and
$\rho(\alpha(T x-S y)) \leq \varphi(\rho(\beta(x-y)))$,
for all $x, y \in C$. Then $T$ and $S$ have a unique common fixed point in $C$.
First we prove that any fixed point of $T$ is also a fixed point of $S$, and conversely. Suppose $T x=x$, hence we have from (4)
$0 \leq \rho(\alpha(x-S x)) \leq \varphi(\rho(\beta(x-x)))=0$,
since $\alpha>0$, so $S x=x$. Similarly, if $S x=x$, then $T x=x$.
Now, we prove that if $T$ and $S$ have a common fixed point, then the fixed point is unique. Let $T x=S x=x$ and $T y=S y=y$. If $x \neq y$, then (4) implies that
$\rho(\beta(x-y))<\rho(\alpha(x-y))=\rho(\alpha(T x-S y)) \leq \varphi(\rho(\beta(x-y)))$,
which is a contradiction. Therefore $x=y$.
Suppose $x_{0} \in C$ and put $x_{2 n+1}=T x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+2}=S x_{2 n+1}$ for all $n=0,1,2, \ldots$. We may suppose that for any $n, x_{n+1} \neq x_{n}$, otherwise $T$ or $S$ has a fixed point and the proof is complete. Now, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho\left(\alpha\left(x_{2 n+1}-x_{2 n}\right)\right) & =\rho\left(\alpha\left(T x_{2 n}-S x_{2 n-1}\right)\right) \leq \varphi\left(\rho\left(\beta\left(x_{2 n}-x_{2 n-1}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{7}\\
& <\rho\left(\beta\left(x_{2 n}-x_{2 n-1}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho\left(\alpha\left(x_{2 n+2}-x_{2 n+1}\right)\right) & =\rho\left(\alpha\left(S x_{2 n+1}-T x_{2 n}\right)\right) \leq \varphi\left(\rho\left(\beta\left(x_{2 n+1}-x_{2 n}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{8}\\
& <\rho\left(\beta\left(x_{2 n+1}-x_{2 n}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence (7) and (8) imply that
$\rho\left(\alpha\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)\right) \leq \varphi\left(\rho\left(\beta\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)\right)\right)<\rho\left(\beta\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)\right)(n \geq 1)$.
Consequently, $\left\{\rho\left(\alpha\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)\right)\right\}$ is decreasing and bounded from below. Hence
$\left\{\rho\left(\alpha\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)\right)\right\}$ converges to $z$. Now, if $z \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
z=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(\alpha\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)\right) & \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(\rho\left(\beta\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)\right)\right) \\
& <\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(\rho\left(\alpha\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)\right)\right)=\varphi(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction, hence $z=0$.
Now, we show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a $\rho$-cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$. If $\left\{\beta x_{n}\right\}$ is not a $\rho$-cauchy sequence, then there exists $\varepsilon>0$ and sequences $\left\{m_{k}\right\},\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ of integers with $m_{k}>n_{k} \geq k$ and
$\rho\left(\beta\left(x_{m_{k}}-x_{n_{k}}\right)\right) \geq \varepsilon \quad(k \in \mathbb{N})$.
Moreover, corresponding to odd numbers $n_{k}$, we can choose even numbers $m_{k}$ in such a way that it is the smallest integer with $m_{k}>n_{k}$ such that
$\rho\left(\beta\left(x_{m_{k-2}}-x_{n_{k}}\right)\right)<\varepsilon$.
In fact, let $m_{k}$ be the smallest even number exceeding $n_{k}$ for which (10) holds, and

$$
N_{k}=\left\{m \in \mathbb{N}_{e} \mid \exists n_{k} \in \mathbb{N}_{o} ; \rho\left(\beta\left(x_{m}-x_{n_{k}}\right)\right) \geq \varepsilon, m>n_{k} \geq k\right\}
$$

It is clear that $N_{k} \neq \emptyset$ and by well ordering principle, the minimum element of $N_{k}$ exists and is denoted by $m_{k}$, and clearly (11) holds.

Now, let $\alpha_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$be such that $\frac{\beta}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}=1$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(\beta\left(x_{m_{k}}-x_{n_{k}}\right)\right) & =\rho\left(\frac{\beta \alpha}{\alpha}\left(\alpha\left(x_{m_{k}}-x_{n_{k+2}}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}\left(\alpha_{0} \beta\left(x_{n_{k+2}}-x_{n_{k}}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \leq \rho\left(\alpha\left(x_{m_{k}}-x_{n_{k+2}}\right)\right)+\rho\left(\alpha_{0} \beta\left(x_{n_{k+2}}-x_{n_{k}}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \varphi\left(\rho\left(\beta\left(x_{m_{k-1}}-x_{n_{k+1}}\right)\right)\right)+\rho\left(\alpha_{0} \beta\left(x_{n_{k+2}}-x_{n_{k}}\right)\right) \\
& <\varepsilon+\rho\left(\alpha_{0} \beta\left(x_{n_{k+2}}-x_{n_{k}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $k \rightarrow \infty$, by $\Delta_{2}$-condition, $\rho\left(\alpha_{0} \beta\left(x_{n_{k+2}}-x_{n_{k}}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$, hence $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(\beta\left(x_{m_{k}}-x_{n_{k}}\right)\right)=\varepsilon$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(\beta\left(x_{m_{k}}-x_{n_{k}}\right)\right) & \leq \rho\left(\alpha\left(x_{m_{k+1}}-x_{n_{k+1}}\right)\right)+\rho\left(2 \alpha_{0} \beta\left(x_{m_{k}}-x_{m_{k+1}}\right)\right)+\rho\left(2 \alpha_{0} \beta\left(x_{n_{k+1}}-x_{n_{k}}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \varphi\left(\rho\left(\beta\left(x_{m_{k}}-x_{n_{k}}\right)\right)\right)+\rho\left(2 \alpha_{0} \beta\left(x_{m_{k}}-x_{m_{k+1}}\right)\right)+\rho\left(2 \alpha_{0} \beta\left(x_{n_{k+1}}-x_{n_{k}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\varepsilon \leq \varphi(\varepsilon)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\left\{\beta x_{n}\right\}$ is a $\rho$-cauchy sequence, and by $\Delta_{2}$-condition, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a $\rho$-cauchy sequence. Since $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ is complete, there is a $w \in C$ such that $\rho\left(x_{n}-w\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, we show that $w$ is the common fixed point of $T$ and $S$. Put $x=x_{2 n}$ and $y=w$ in (4), we have
$\rho\left(\alpha\left(x_{2 n+1}-S w\right)\right)=\rho\left(\alpha\left(T x_{2 n}-S w\right)\right) \leq \varphi\left(\rho\left(\beta\left(x_{2 n}-w\right)\right)\right)$,
therefore $\rho(\alpha(w-S w))=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(\alpha\left(x_{2 n+1}-S w\right)\right)=0$, and so $w=S w$. This completes the proof.
The following corollaries are immediate consequences of Theorem 2.1.
Corollary 2.2 Let $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ be a $\rho$-complete modular space. Suppose that $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is an increasing and upper semicontinuous function satisfying
$\varphi(t)<t, \quad(t>0)$.
Let $C$ be a $\rho$-closed subset of $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ and let $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a mapping such that there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$with $\alpha>\beta$, and $\rho(\alpha(T x-T y)) \leq \varphi(\rho(\beta(x-y)))$,
for all $x, y \in C$. Then $T$ has a unique fixed point in $C$.

Corollary 2.3 Let $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ be a $\rho$-complete modular space. Let $C$ be a $\rho$-closed subset of $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ and let $T, S: C \rightarrow C$ be mappings such that there exist $\alpha, \beta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$with $\alpha>\beta$ and $\eta \in(0,1)$, and
$\rho(\alpha(T x-S y)) \leq \eta(\rho(\beta(x-y)))$,
for all $x, y \in C$. Then $T$ and $S$ have a unique common fixed point in $C$.
Corollary 2.4 Let $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ be a $\rho$-complete modular space, where $\rho$ is s-convex and satisfies the $\Delta_{2}$-condition. Let $C$ be a $\rho$-closed subset of $\mathcal{X}_{\rho}$ and let $T, S: C \rightarrow C$ be mappings such that there exist $\alpha, \beta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$with $\alpha>\max \{\beta, \eta \beta\}$ and
$\rho(\alpha(T x-S y)) \leq \eta^{s}(\rho(\beta(x-y)))$,
for all $x, y \in C$. Then $T$ and $S$ have a unique common fixed point in $C$.
Let $\beta_{0}$ be a constant such that $\alpha>\beta_{0}>\max \{\beta, \eta \beta\}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(\alpha(T x-S y)) & \leq \eta^{s}(\rho(\beta(x-y)))=\eta^{s}\left(\rho\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta_{0}} \beta_{0}(x-y)\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{\beta \eta}{\beta_{0}}\right)^{s} \rho\left(\beta_{0}(x-y)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(\frac{\beta \eta}{\beta_{0}}\right)^{s}<1$. Hence by using Corollary 2.3, the result follows.
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