

International Journal of Applied Mathematical Research, 3 (X) (2014) XXX-XXX © Science Publishing Corporation www.sciencepubco.com/index.php/IJAMR doi:

Research paper, Short communication, Review, Technical paper Please keep only one of the types above and delete the others, including this phrase!

# A New Difference Scheme for Fractional Cable Equation and Stability Analysis

Ibrahim Karatay and Nurdane Kale\*

Fatih University

\*nurdaneguduk@fatih.edu.tr

Copyright ©2014 Author. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### Abstract

We consider the fractional cable equation. For solution of fractional Cable equation involving Caputo fractional derivative, a new difference scheme is constructed based on Crank Nicholson difference scheme. We prove that the proposed method is unconditionally stable by using spectral stability technique.

Keywords: Caputo fractional derivative, Difference scheme, Stability.

### 1. Introduction

In this study, we consider the following time fractional cable equation;

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^{\alpha} u(x,t)}{\partial t^{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial^{2} u(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}} - \mu^{2} u(x,t) + f(x,t), (0 < x < 1, 0 < t < 1), \\ u(x,0) = r(x), 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0,t) = 0, \ u(1,t) = 0, \ 0 \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

$$(1)$$

Here, the term  $\frac{\partial^{\alpha} u(t,x)}{\partial t^{\alpha}}$  denotes  $\alpha$ -order Caputo derivative with the formula:

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha} u(x,t)}{\partial t^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{u_t(x,\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\alpha}} d\tau, \text{ where } 0 < \alpha < 1,$$
(2)

where  $\Gamma(.)$  is the Gamma function.

### 2. Discretization of Problem

We introduce the basic ideas for the numerical solution of the Time Fractional Cable equation by Crank-Nicholson difference scheme.

For some positive integers M and N, the grid sizes in space and time for the finite difference algorithm are defined by h = 1/M and  $\tau = 1/N$ , respectively. The grid points in the space interval [0, 1] are the numbers

 $x_j = jh, j = 0, 1, 2, ..., M$ , and the grid points in the time interval [0, 1] are labeled  $t_k = k\tau, k = 0, 1, 2, ..., N$ . The values of the functions u and f at the grid points are denoted  $u_j^k = u(x_j, t_k)$  and  $f_j^k = f(x_j, t_k)$ , respectively. Let  $u(x,t), u_t(x,t)$  and  $u_{tt}(x,t)$  are continuous on [0, 1].

As in the classical Crank-Nicholson difference scheme, a discrete approximation to the fractional derivative  $\frac{\partial^{\alpha} u(x,t)}{\partial t^{\alpha}}$  at  $(x_j, t_{k+\frac{1}{2}})$  can be obtained by the following approximation[12]:

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha} u(x_j, t_{k+\frac{1}{2}})}{\partial t^{\alpha}} = \left[ w_1 u^k + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \left( w_{k-m+1} - w_{k-m} \right) u^m - w_k u^0 + \sigma \frac{(u_j^{k+1} - u_j^k)}{2^{1-\alpha}} \right] + O(\tau^{2-\alpha}).$$
(3)

Where  $\sigma = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \frac{1}{\tau^{\alpha}}$  and  $w_j = \sigma \left( (j+1/2)^{1-\alpha} - (j-1/2)^{1-\alpha} \right)$  In addition for k = 0 there is no these terms  $w_1 u_k$  and  $w_k u_0$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 u(x_j, t_{k+\frac{1}{2}})}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{u_{j+1}^{k+1} - 2u_j^{k+1} + u_{j-1}^{k+1}}{h^2} + \frac{u_{j+1}^k - 2u_j^k + u_{j-1}^k}{h^2} \right] + O(h^2).$$
(4)

## 3. The Proposed Difference Scheme

Using these approximations (3) and (4) into (1), we obtain the following difference scheme for (1) which is accurate of order  $O(\tau^{2-\alpha} + h^2)$ ;

$$\begin{split} w_{1}u^{k} + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \left(w_{k-m+1} - w_{k-m}\right)u^{m} - w_{k}u^{0} + \sigma \frac{(u_{j}^{k+1} - u_{j}^{k})}{2^{1-\alpha}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{u_{j+1}^{k+1} - 2u_{j}^{k+1} + u_{j-1}^{k+1}}{h^{2}} + \frac{u_{j+1}^{k} - 2u_{j}^{k} + u_{j-1}^{k}}{h^{2}} \right] \\ &- \mu^{2} \left( \frac{u_{j}^{k} + u_{j}^{k+1}}{2} \right) + f(x_{j}, t_{k} + \frac{\tau}{2}) \\ \left[ w_{1}u_{j}^{k} + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \left(w_{k-m+1} - w_{k-m}\right)u_{j}^{m} - w_{k}u_{j}^{0} + \sigma \frac{(u_{j}^{k+1} - u_{j}^{k})}{2^{1-\alpha}} \right] \\ &- \left[ \frac{u_{j+1}^{k+1} - 2u_{j}^{k+1} + u_{j-1}^{k+1}}{2h^{2}} + \frac{u_{j+1}^{k} - 2u_{j}^{k} + u_{j-1}^{k}}{2h^{2}} \right] + \mu^{2} \left( \frac{u_{j}^{k} + u_{j}^{k+1}}{2} \right) = f(x_{j}, t_{k} + \frac{\tau}{2}), \\ 0 \leq k \leq N - 1, \ 1 \leq j \leq M - 1, \\ u_{0}^{0} = r(x_{j}), \ 1 \leq j \leq M, \\ u_{0}^{0} = 0, \ u_{M}^{k} = 0, \ 0 \leq k \leq N. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\right)u_{j+1}^{k+1} + \left(\frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{\mu^2}{2}\right)u_j^{k+1} + \left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\right)u_{j-1}^{k+1} \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\right)u_{j+1}^k + \left(-\frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{\mu^2}{2}\right)u_j^k + \left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\right)u_{j-1}^k + \begin{bmatrix} w_1u_j^k + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1}\left(w_{k-m+1} - w_{k-m}\right)u_j^m - w_ku_j^0 \end{bmatrix} \\ = f(x_j, t_k + \frac{\tau}{2}), \quad 0 \le k \le N - 1, \quad 1 \le j \le M - 1, \\ u_0^j = r(x_j), \quad 1 \le j \le M, \\ u_0^k = 0, \quad u_M^k = 0, \quad 0 \le k \le N. \end{cases}$$

We can arrange the system above to obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\right) u_{j+1}^{k+1} + \left(\frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{\mu^2}{2}\right) u_j^{k+1} + \left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\right) u_{j-1}^{k+1} \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\right) u_{j+1}^k + \left(-\frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{\mu^2}{2}\right) u_j^k + \left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\right) u_{j-1}^k \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{m=1}^k w_m \left(u_j^{k-m+1} - u_j^{k-m}\right) \end{bmatrix} \\ = f(x_j, t_k + \frac{\tau}{2}), \quad 0 \le k \le N - 1, \quad 1 \le j \le M - 1, \\ u_j^0 = r(x_j), \quad 1 \le j \le M, \\ u_0^k = 0, \quad u_M^k = 0, \quad 0 \le k \le N. \end{cases}$$

Then we rewrite the equation following type

$$\begin{bmatrix} \left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\right) u_{j+1}^k + \left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\right) u_{j+1}^{k+1} \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \left(-\frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{\mu^2}{2}\right) u_j^k + \left(\frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{\mu^2}{2}\right) u_j^{k+1} + \sum_{m=1}^k w_m \left(u_j^{k-m+1} - u_j^{k-m}\right) \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\right) u_{j-1}^k + \left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\right) u_{j-1}^{k+1} \end{bmatrix} \\ = f(x_j, t_k + \frac{\tau}{2}), \quad 0 \le k \le N - 1, \quad 1 \le j \le M - 1, \\ u_0^1 = r(x_j), \quad 1 \le j \le M, \\ u_0^k = 0, \quad u_M^k = 0, \quad 0 \le k \le N. \end{cases}$$

$$(5)$$

#### Spectral Stability of the Difference Method 3.1.

The difference scheme above (5) can be written in matrix form:

 $DU_{j+1} + EU_j + DU_{j-1} = \varphi_j \text{ where } \varphi_j = \left[\varphi_j^0, \varphi_j^1, \varphi_j^2, ..., \varphi_j^N\right]^T, \varphi_j^0 = r(x_j), \varphi_j^k = f(x_j, t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}), 1 \le j \le M, 1 \le M,$  $k \leq N,$  and  $U_{j} = \left[U_{J}^{0}, U_{J}^{1}, U_{J}^{2}, ..., U_{J}^{N}\right]^{T}.$ Here  $D_{(N+1)\mathbf{x}(N+1)}$  and  $E_{(N+1)\mathbf{x}(N+1)}$  are the matrices of the form

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ b & a & & \\ -w_1 & b + w_1 & a & \\ -w_2 & w_2 - w_1 & b + w_1 & a & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ -w_{N-1} & w_{N-1} - w_{N-2} & \cdots & w_2 - w_1 & b + w_1 & a \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $a = \frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{\mu^2}{2}$ ,  $b = -\frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{\mu^2}{2}$ Using the idea on the modified Gauss-Elimination method, we can convert into the following form:  $U_j = \psi_{j+1}U_{j+1} + \mu_{j+1}, j = M, \dots, 2, 1, 0.$ 

Then, we write  $D + E\psi_{j+1} + D\psi_j\psi_{j+1} = 0,$  $E\mu_{j+1} + D\psi_j\mu_{j+1} + D\mu_j = \varphi_j$ , where  $1 \le j \le M$ . So, we obtain the following pair of formulas:  $\psi_{j+1} = -(E + D\psi_j)^{-1} D, \ \mu_{j+1} = (E + D\psi_j)^{-1} (\varphi_j - D\mu_j), \text{ where } 1 \le j \le M.$  We will prove that  $\rho$   $(\psi_j) < 1, 1 \le j \le M$ , by induction. Since  $\psi_1$  is a zero matrix  $\rho$   $(\psi_1) = 0 < 1$ . Moreover,  $\psi_2 = -E^{-1}D, \rho(\psi_2) = \rho\left(-E^{-1}D\right) = \left|\frac{-1}{\frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{\mu^2}{2}}\right| \cdot \left|\left(-\frac{1}{2h^2}\right)\right| = \frac{1/h^2}{2\left(\frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{\mu^2}{2}\right)}$ , since  $\psi_2$  is of the form

$$\psi_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ * & \frac{1/h^{2}}{2\left(\frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{h^{2}} + \frac{\mu^{2}}{2}\right)} & & & \\ * & * & \frac{1/h^{2}}{2\left(\frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{h^{2}} + \frac{\mu^{2}}{2}\right)} & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ * & * & * & * & * & \frac{1/h^{2}}{2\left(\frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{h^{2}} + \frac{\mu^{2}}{2}\right)} \end{bmatrix}_{(M+1)x(M+1)}$$

 $\sigma = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \frac{1}{\tau^{\alpha}} > 0, \text{ therefore, } \rho(\psi_2) < 1.$ Now, assume  $\rho(\psi_j) < 1.$  We find that  $\psi_{j+1} = -(E + D\psi_j)^{-1} D$ 

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2h^2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & \\ * & \frac{1}{E_{2,2} - (1/2h^2)\psi_{j_{2,2}}} & & \\ * & * & & \frac{1}{E_{3,3} - (1/2h^2)\psi_{j_{3,3}}} & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ * & * & * & * & & \frac{1}{E_{M+1,M+1} - (1/2h^2)\psi_{j_{M+1,M+1}}} \end{bmatrix}$$

and we already know that  $E_{j,j} = \frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{\mu^2}{2}$  and  $\psi_{j_{r,r}} = \rho\left(\psi_j\right)$  for  $2 \le r \le M+1$ :

$$\rho\left(\psi_{j+1}\right) = \left|\frac{1/2h^2}{\frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{\mu^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2h^2}\rho\left(\psi_j\right)}\right| = \frac{M^2}{2\left[M^2\left(1 - \frac{\rho(\psi_j)}{2}\right) + \frac{\sigma}{2^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{\mu^2}{2}\right]}$$

Since  $0 \le \rho(\psi_j) < 1$ , it follows that  $\rho(\psi_{j+1}) < 1$ . So,  $\rho(\psi_j) < 1$  for any j, where  $1 \le j \le M$ .

#### **3.2.** Numerical Example

Consider this problem,

$$\begin{array}{l} & \frac{\partial^{\alpha} u(t,x)}{\partial t^{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial^{2} u(t,x)}{\partial x^{2}} - u(t,x) + \frac{2t^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)}(1-x)\sin(x) + 2t^{2}\left[\cos(x) + (1-x)\sin(x)\right)\right], \\ & \left(0 < x < 1, 0 < t < 1\right), \\ & u(0,x) = 0, \ 0 \le x \le 1, \\ & u(t,0) = 0, \ u(t,1) = 0, \ 0 \le t \le 1. \end{array}$$

Exact solution of this problem is  $u(t, x) = t^2(1 - x)\sin(x)$ . The errors for some M and N are given in figure 1. The errors when solving this problem are listed in the table1 for various values of time and space nodes.

**Table 1:** The errors for some values of M, N and  $\alpha$ 

		$\alpha = 0.3$		$\alpha = 0.5$		$\alpha = 0.8$	
N	M	$Error(\alpha, \tau)$	Err. rate	$Error(\alpha, \tau)$	Err. rate	$Error(\alpha, \tau)$	Err. rate
8	32	0.001811212	-	0.001688126	-	0.001265365	-
16	32	0.000449950	4.02	0.000409875	4.1	0.000301407	4.1
32	32	0.000111687	4.02	0.000099150	4.1	0.000086960	3.46



Figure 1: The errors when t=1 for some M and N

#### 4. Conclusion

In this work,  $O(\tau^{2-\alpha} + h^2)$  order approximation for the Caputo fractional derivative based on the Crank-Nicholson difference scheme was successfully applied to solve the time-fractional cable equation. It is proven that the time-fractional Crank-Nicholson difference scheme is unconditionally stable by spectral stability analysis.

### References

- Xikui Li, Xianhong Han, Xuanping Wang, "Numerical modeling of viscoelastic flows using equal low-order finite elements", Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., Vol.199, (2010), pp.570-581.
- [2] M. Raberto, E. Scalas, F. Mainardi, Waiting-times returns in high frequency financial data: an empirical study, Physica A, 314 (2002), 749-755.
- [3] D.A. Benson, S. Wheatcraft, M.M. Meerschaert, Application of a fractional advection-dispersion equation, Water Resour. Res., 36 (2000), 1403–1412.
- X. Li, M. Xu, X. Jiang, Homotopy perturbation method to time-fractional diffusion equation with a moving boundary, Appl. Math. Comput., 208 (2009), 434–439.
- [5] J. A. T. Machado, Discrete-time fractional-order controllers, Fractional Calculus Applied Analysis, 4 (1) (2001), 47–66.
- [6] Z. Deng, V.P. Singh, L. Bengtsson, Numerical solution of fractional advection-dispersion equation, J. Hydraulic Eng. 130 (2004) 422–431.
- [7] V.E. Lynch, B.A. Carreras, D. del-Castillo-Negrete, K.M. Ferreira-Mejias, H.R. Hicks, Numerical methods for the solution of partial differential equations of fractional order, J. Comput. Phys. 192 (2003) 406–421.
- [8] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, New York, 1999
- [9] Chang-Ming Chen, F. Liu, I. Turner b, V. Anh, A Fourier method for the fractional diffusion equation describing sub-diffusion, Journal of Computational Physics, vol. 227, pp. 886-897, 2007
- [10] Ibrahim Karatay, Şerife Rabia Bayramoğlu, An Efficient Difference Scheme for Time Fractional Advection Dispersion Equations, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 6, no. 98, pp. 4869 - 4878, 2012
- [11] Zafer Cakir, Stability of Difference Schemes for Fractional Parabolic PDE with the Dirichlet-Neumann Conditions, Volume 2012, Article ID 463746, 17 pages
- [12] Ibrahim KARATAY, Nurdane KALE, Serife Rabia BAYRAMOĞLU, A new difference scheme for time fractional heat equations based on the Crank-Nicholson method, Volume 16, Issue 4, pp 892-910,2013.

[13] A. Ashyralyev and Z. Cakir, "On the numerical solution of fractional parabolic partial differential equations with the Dirichlet condition,' in Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Computing in Science and Engineering (ISCSE '11), M. Gunes, Ed., pp. 529—530, 2011.