



A smarandache completely prime ideal with respect to an element of near ring

Kareem Abass Layith AL-Ghurabi

Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Babylon
E-mail: kareemalghurabi@yahoo.com

Copyright © 2014 Kareem Abass Layith AL-Ghurabi. This is an open access article distributed under the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

In this paper we introduce the notion of a smarandache completely prime ideal with respect to an element belated to a near field of a near ring N (b-s-c.p.i) of N . We study some properties of this new concept and link it with some there types of ideals of a near ring.

Keywords: Smarandache Completely Prime, Near Ring.

1. Introduction

In 1905, L.E. Drckson began the study of a near ring and later in 1930; Wieland has investigated it [1]. In 1977, G.Pilz, introduced the notion of a prime ideal of near ring [1]. In 1988, N.G. Groenewald introduced of a completely prime ideal of a near ring [5]. In 2002, W.B. Vasanth Kandasamy study samaradache near ring, (samaradache ideal, of a near ring [7]. In 2012 H.H. Abbass and M.A.Mohommed introduced the notion of a completely prime ideal with respect to an element of a near ring [3].

In this work, we introduce a Samaradache completely prime ideal with respect to an element related to a near field of near ring as we mentioned in the abstract.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we review some basic concepts about a near ring, and some types of fields of a near rind that We need in our work.

Definition 2.1 [1]: A left near ring is a set N together with two binary operations “+” and “.” such that

1. $(N, +)$ Is a group (not necessarily abelian),
2. $(N, .)$ Is a semi group?
3. $n_1.(n_2 + n_3) = n_1 n_2 + n_1 n_3$, for all $n_1, n_2, n_3, \in N$.

Definition 2.2 [2]: The left near ring is called a zero symmetric if $0.x = 0$, for all $x \in N$.

Definition 2.3[7]: Left $(N, +, .)$ be a near-ring. A normal subgroup I of $(N, +)$ is called a left ideal of N if

1. $N.I \subseteq I$
2. for all $n, n_1 \in N$ and for all $i \in I$,
 $(n + i).n - n_1.n \in I$

Remark 2.4: If N is a left near ring, then $x.0 = 0$, for all $x \in N$ (from the left distributire law). Also, we will refer that all near rings and ideals in this work are left.

Definition 2.5 [6]: Let I be an ideal of a near ring N , then I is called a completely prime ideal of N if for all $x, y \in N$, $x \cdot y \in I$ implies $x \in I$ or $y \in I$, denoted by $c.p.I$ of N .

The $a \cdot b - c \cdot s.p.I$ near ring N in example (1.3) is not

Definition 2.6 [3]: Let N be a near ring, I be an ideal of N and let $b \in N$, then I is called a completely ideal with respect to the element b denoted by $(b - c.p.I)$ of N , if for all $x, y \in N$, $b \cdot (x \cdot y) \in I$ implies $x \in I$ or $y \in I$

Definition 2.7 [7]: A near ring N is called an integral domain if N has non_zero divisors.

Definition 2.8 [7]: Let $(N_1, +, \cdot)$ and $(N_2, \dot{+}, \dot{\cdot})$ be two near rings, the mapping $f: N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ is called a near ring homomorphism if for all $m, n \in N_1$
 $f(m + n) = f(m) \dot{+} f(n)$ and $f(m \cdot n) = f(m) \dot{\cdot} f(n)$

Definition 2.9 [7]: A non-empty set N is said to be a near field if N is defined by two binary operations “+” and “.” such that

1. $(N, +)$ Is a group
2. $(N \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ Is a group
3. $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$, for all $a, b, c \in N$.

Definition 2.10 [7]: The near ring $(N, +, \cdot)$ is said to be a smarandache near ring denoted by (s-near ring) if it has a proper subset M such that $(M, +, \cdot)$ is a near field.

Definition 2.11 [7]: Let N be s-near ring. A normal subgroup I of N is called a smarandache ideal (s-ideal) of N related M if,

- i. For all $x, y \in M$ and for all $i \in I, x(y + i) - xy \in I$,
Where M is the near field contained in N .
- ii. $IM \subseteq I$

Remark 2.12 [7]: Let $[I_i]_{i \in I}$ be a chain of s-ideals related to a near field M of a near ring N , then $[I_i]_{i \in I}$ Is a s-ideals related to near field M

Remark 2.13 [6]: Let $(N_1, +, \cdot)$ and $(N_2, \dot{+}, \dot{\cdot})$ be two s-near rings and let $f: N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ Be an epimorphism and N_1 has M_1 as near field. Then $M_2 = f(M_1)$ is a near field of N_2 .

Proposition 2.14 [4]: Let $(N_1, \dot{+}, \dot{\cdot})$ and $(N_2, \dot{+}, \dot{\cdot})$ be two s-near rings and $f: N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ Be an epimorphism and let I be a S-ideals related to a near field M of a near ring N , and then $f(I)$ is s-ideals related to a near field $f(M)$.

Proposition 2.15 [4]: Let $(N_1, +, \cdot)$ be a s-near ring has a near field M_1 , N_2 be a s-near ring, $f: N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ be an epimorphism and let J be s-ideals related to a near field M_2 of N_2 , where $f(M_1) = M_2$ of N_2 , then $f^{-1}(J)$ is a s-ideals related to a near field M_1 of N_1 .

Definition 2.16 [7]: Let N is an s-near ring. The s-ideals I related to a near field M is called completely prime related to a near field M of N if, for all $x, y \in M, x \cdot y \in I$ implies $x \in I$ or $y \in I$. denoted by $(s.c.p.I)$ of N .

3. The main results

In this section, we define the notion of smarandache completely ideal with respect to an element b ($b - s.c.p.I$) And study some properties of this notion, we will discuss the image and pre image of $b - s.c.p.I$ under near rings epimorphism and explain the relationships between it and $b - s.c.p.I$ of a near ring.

Definition 3.1: A s-ideals related to a near field M of a s-near ring N is called a smarandache completely ideal with respect to an element b of N ($b - s.c.p.I$), if $b \cdot (x \cdot y) \in I$ implies $x \in I$ or $y \in I$ for all $x, y \in M$.

Example 3.2: The left s-near ring with addition and multiplication defined by the following tables.

+	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	b	a	0

.	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	a	a	0
b	0	a	b	c
c	0	0	c	c

The s-ideal $I = [0, a]$ related to the near field $M = [0, c]$ is $b - s.c.p.I$ of N since $0.(c.c) = 0 \in I$, but $c \notin I$.

Proposition 3.3: Let I be a s-ideal related to a near field M of a s-near ring N , then I is a s.c.p.I of N if and only if I is $1 - s.c.p.I$, where 1 is the multiplicative identity element of M .

Proof: Suppose I is a s.c.p.I ideal of N
 And let $x, y \in M$ such that $1.(x.y) \in I$.
 Then we have $1.(x.y) = x.y \in I$
 $\Rightarrow x \in I$ or $y \in I$ [Since I is a s.c.p.I of N].
 $\Rightarrow I$ is $1 - s.c.p.I$ Of N .

Conversely,
 Let $x, y \in M$ such that $x.y \in I$
 $\Rightarrow x.y = 1.(x.y) \in I \Rightarrow x \in I$ or $y \in I$ [Since I is $1.(x.y)$ of N].

Remark 3.4: In general an S.C.P.I related to a near field M of an s-near ring N may not be b-S.C.P.I related to M of N as in the following example

Example 3.5: Consider the s-near ring of integers mod 6 ($z_6, t_6, .6$); the s-ideal $I = [0, 2, 4]$ is S.C.P.I related to the near field $M = [0, 3]$, but it is not 2-S.C.P.I of N , since $3 \in M$ and $2.(3.3) = 0 \in I$ but $3 \notin I$.

Proposition 3.6: Let I be a b-C.P.I related to a near field M of a s-near ring N . then I is a b-S.C.P.I of N .

Proof: Let $x, y \in M$, such that $b.(x.y) \in I$
 $\Rightarrow x.y \in N$ [since M is a proper subset of N]
 $\Rightarrow x \in I$ or $y \in I$ [since I is b-S.C.P.I of N]
 $\Rightarrow I$ is a b-S.C.P.I of N .

Remark (3.7): The converse of proposition (3.6) may not be true as in the following example.

Example 3.8: Consider the s-near ring of integers mod 12 (Z_{12}, t_{12}, i_{12}); s-ideal $I = [0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10]$ is b-S.C.P.I related to the near field $M = [0, 4, 8]$, but it is not 2-C.P.I, since $3, 5 \in Z_{12}$ and $2.(3.5) = 6 \in I$, but 3 and $5 \notin I$.

Proposition 3.9: Let N be a s-near ring and let I be a s-ideal related to a near field M of N . then I is a b-S.C.P.I of N if and only if M is a subset of I , for all $b \in I$

Proof: Suppose I is a b-S.C.P.I, $b \in I$ and $X \in M$.
 Now,
 $X^2 = x.x \in I, 0 \in I$ and $0.x^2 = 0.(x.x) = 0 \in I$
 $x \in I$ [since I is o-S.C.P.I],
 $\Rightarrow M$ is a subset of I
 Conversely,
 Let $b \in I$ and $x, y \in M$ such that $b.(x.y) \in I$
 $\Rightarrow x$ or $y \in I$ [since $M \subseteq I$]
 $\Rightarrow I$ is b-S.C.P.I of N .

Proposition 3.10: Let N be a s-near integral domain . then $I = [0]$ is b-S.C.P.I related to a near field M of N , for all $n \in N \setminus [0]$.

Proof: Let $b \in N \setminus [0]$ and $x, y \in M$, such that $b.(x.y) \in I$
 $\Rightarrow b.(x.y) = 0$
 $\Rightarrow x.y = 0$ [since $b \neq 0$ and N is a near integral domain]
 $\Rightarrow x = 0$ or $y = 0 \Rightarrow x \in I$ or $y \in I$
 $\Rightarrow x \in I$ or $y \in I$.
 $\Rightarrow I$ is a b-S.C.P.I of N .

Proposition 3.11: Let N be a zero symmetric s -near ring and let $I=[0]$. Then I is not o -S.C.P.I of N related to all near fields of N .

Proof: Suppose I is o -S.C.P.I related to a near field M of N .

Since M is a near field $\Rightarrow M \neq [0]$

$\Rightarrow \exists X \in M$, such that $x \neq 0$.

Now,

$0x^2 = 0.(x.x) = 0 \in I$

$\Rightarrow x \in I \Rightarrow x=0$ and this contradiction [since $x \neq 0$]

$\Rightarrow I$ is not o - S.C.P.I related to M of N .

Proposition 2.12: Let N be a s -near ring and let $[I_i]_{i \in I}$ be a chain of b -S.C.P.I related to a near field M of N , for all $i \in I$. then $\bigvee_{i \in I} I_i$ is a b -S.C.P.I related to M of N .

Proof : Since $[I_i]_{i \in I}$ is a chain a b -S.C.P.I related to M of N .

$\Rightarrow I_i$ is a s -ideal of N for all $i \in I$.

$\Rightarrow \bigvee_{i \in I} I_i$ is a s -deal of N [By remark (2.12)]

Now,

Let $x, y \in M$, such that $b.(x.y) \in \bigvee_{i \in I} I_i$

\Rightarrow There exists b -S.C.P.I related $M I_k \in [I_i]_{i \in I}$ of N , such that $b.(x.y) \in I_k$

$\Rightarrow x \in I_k$ or $y \in I_k$ [since I_k is a b -S.C.P.I of N]

$\Rightarrow x \in \bigvee_{i \in I} I_i$ or $y \in \bigvee_{i \in I} I_i. \Rightarrow \bigvee_{i \in I} I_i$ is a b -S.C.P.I of N .

Remark 3.13: In general, if $[I_i]_{i \in I}$ is a family of b -S.C.P.I related to a near field M of as near ring N , then $\bigcap_{i \in I} I_i$ and $\bigvee_{i \in I} I_i$ may not be b -S.C.P.I Related to M of N , as in the following example

Example 3.13: Consider the s -near ring of integer's mod12. $(Z_{12}, t_{12}, 12)$, the s -ideals $I=[0,6]$ and $J=[0,4,8]$ are 3-S.C.P.I. related to the near field $M=[0,4,8]$ of Z_{12} , but the s -ideal $I \cap J = [0]$ is not 3-S.C.P.I related to M of Z_{12} , since $3.(3.8)=0 \in I$, but and $8 \notin I$, Also, the subset $I \cup J = [0,4,6,8]$ is s -ideal of Z_{12} and this implies $I \cup J$ is not 3-S.C.P.I related to M of Z_{12} .

Theorem 3.15: Let $(N_1, *, 0)$ and $(N_2, t, 0)$ be two s -near rings, $f: N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ be an epimorphism and let I be a b -S.C.P.I related to near field M of N_1 , then $f(I)$ is $f(b)$ -S.C.P.I related to the near field $f(M)$ of N_2 .

Proof :By remark (2.13), we have $f(I)$ is a s -ideal related to a near field $f(M)$

Now Let $f(m_1), f(m_2) \in f(M)$, such that

$f(b) ! (f(m_1) ! f(m_2) \in f(I)$

$\Rightarrow f(b (m_1 . m_2)) \in f(I)$

$\Rightarrow f(b (m_1 . m_2)) \in f(I)$

\Rightarrow either $m_1 \in I$ or $m_2 \in I$ or m_2 [since I is b - S.C .P. I related to M of N_1]

$\Rightarrow f(m_1) \in f(I)$ or $f(m_2) \in f(I)$

$\Rightarrow f(I)$ is a $f(b)$ - S.C .P. I related to $f(M)$ of N_2

Theorem 3.16: Let $(N_1, +, .)$ be as - near ring has a near field M_1 , (N_2) be S - near ring, $f: N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ be an epimorphism, and Let J be a b - S.C .P.I related to the near field $f(M)$ of N_2 , then $f^{-1}(J)$ is a - S.C .P.I related to a near field M of N_1 , where $b = f(a)$.

Proof: By proposition (2.15), we have $f^{-1}(J)$ is a S - ideal related to M of N_1 . Now, Let $x, y \in M$, such that $a. (x.y) \in f^{-1}(J)$

$\Rightarrow f(x), f(y) \in f(M)$ and $f(a ! (x y) \in J$

$\Rightarrow f(x), f(y) \in f(M)$ and $f(a) ! f(x), f(y)) \in J$

\Rightarrow either $f(x) \in J$ or $f(y) \in J$ [since J is b - S.C .P. I related to $f(M)$ of N_2]

\Rightarrow either $x \in f^{-1}(J)$ or $y \in f^{-1}(J)$ or $y \in f^{-1}(J)$

$\Rightarrow f^{-1}(J)$ is a b - S.C .P. I related to $f(M)$ of N_2

Corollary 3.17: Let $(N_1, +, 0)$ be a S - near ring has a near field M , $(N_2, +', .'')$ be a S - near ring, $f: N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ be an epimorphism, and if $[o^1]$ be a b - S.C .P. I related to the near field $f(M)$ of N_2 The $\ker(f)$ is b - S.C .P. I related to a near field M of N_1 , where

$\text{Ker } f = \{ x \in N1 : f(x) = 0 \}$ and $b=f(a)$

Proof: Since $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = \text{ker}(f)$, then where $\text{Rer}(f)$ is a - S.C .P. I related to M of N1
[By theorem (3-16)]

References

- [1] G. Pilz, "Near Ring ", North Holland Publ and Co., 1977.
- [2] H.A. Abujabal, M.A obaid and M.A. han, "On structure and Commutatitiy of near Rings", An to fagasta – chule, 2000.
- [3] H.H Abbass and M.A. Who Mmed, "On alompletely prime Ideal with respect to an element of a near ring", J. of Kerbala university. Vol. 10, No 3, 2012.
- [4] H.H Abbass and S.M. Ibrahim, "On Fuzzy completely semi orime Ideal with respect to an element of a near Ring", M Sc. Thesis university of Kufa 2011.
- [5] N.J Groenewatd, "The completely prim radical near rings", Acta Math. Hung, VO 133, 1988.
- [6] P. Dheena and G. Sathesh Kumar, "Completely z-prime Ideal in near ring", India, 2007.
- [7] W.B Vasantha and A. Samy, "Samarandache near ring", U.S. America research press, 2002.