



Social Entrepreneurs' Musings: A Premise for Value Creation

Muhammad Ali^{1*}, Muhammad Imran Qureshi²

¹BIS, Universiti Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

²Malaysian Institute of Industrial Technology, Universiti Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

*Corresponding author E-mail: mohd_ali_1@hotmail.com

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to identify the gap left behind by conventional medical practices which is filled by non-allopathic medicine and the motivation behind initiation of this step by founders/creators of such businesses. Semi structured interviews are conducted from non-allopathic service provider organizations and interview data is analyzed to detect themes behind their motivations and ultimate objective of conducting such a business in a non-favorable market. Identification of issues is synonymous with founder's prior experience in said context, market opportunity identification, financial survival and suggestion of a viable solution to address the issue on sustainable grounds is the objective. The classification of social enterprise businesses is dependent on creation of social value primarily and financial value on secondary level through provision of alternative solutions. This study takes a new step in identifying market gaps on the basis of interchangeable financial to social value objectives.

Keywords: Social enterprise, opportunity, entrepreneur, value creation, financial sustainability

1. Introduction

"Social business enterprise (SE) digs in to drive social change, with its enduring transformational advantage to society and it is that potential result that recognizes the field and its professionals in a different light" (Martin & Osberg, 2007). In any case, SE is a relative new research zone and there is a mission for hypothetical and pragmatic commitments (Austin, Stevenson, & Wei-Skillern, 2006). Most investigations on social business enterprise center on its definition. Creating social value or social value gives off an impression of being the most widely recognized link between all definitions (Dacin, Dacin, & Matear, 2010). SE is generally portrayed as an "inventive" movement that includes a social target or a social issue. The social value creation frequently centers on serving essential and long-standing needs in an efficacious manner (Austin et al., 2006). What makes SE stand apart from corporate social responsibility, corporate magnanimity and socially beneficent and generous activities is the idea of transformative social need and additionally the attention on financial aspect (Costanzo, Vurro, Foster, Servato, & Perrini, 2014). Santos (2012) purposes an all-encompassing origination of significant value contending that the fundamental refinement for any endeavor is the exchange off between value created and value captured. Nevertheless, the fundamental motivation behind SE is to be both economically viable and socially valuable (Pärenson, 2011). Furthermore, social endeavors are depicted as social transformers. SE models may require breaking social boundaries rather than pushing social change (Mair, Battilana, & Cardenas, 2012). Similarly, social entrepreneurs may seek after a chance of making social effect despite the unfavorable setting (Austin et al., 2006). Dacin et al., (2010) propose that social entrepreneurs may discover arrangements and innovative systems to defeat obvious social barriers. Be that as it may, the social change architects express that the hin-

drances in the social undertaking is a definitive factor on the choice of the case and is a driving force for the endeavor.

Consequently a few organizations or entire market portions with possibly transformative social need remain minimized by society. At that point, two inquiries emerge: 1) what gives impulse for their reality? ; 2) How would they make value? As shown in the opening passage, it appears that SE is enhanced by a requirement for social change, nevertheless little is known about the inspirations to initiate a social endeavor. Also, the idea of significant value creation is accepted in the literature, yet it is not clear what its dimensions for measurement are. We utilize an inductive research approach to manufacture hypothetical recommendations tending to the above inquiries. We lead a subjective report with regards to alternative non-allopathic medicine (ANAM). The idea of ANAM administrations creates societal incentive by developing the prosperity of consumers. ANAM development is restricted by marginalization by conventional healthcare systems administered by orthodox solution to meet consumers' mounting requests for more customized administrations and "entire individual" comprehension (Winnick, 2005). Therefore, as ANAM began encroaching on the medicinal services field, biomedicine has attempted to depict ANAM as non-logical pretense (Winnick, 2005). Thus, ANAM business people who go for societal value creation confront a cold domain as the typical entrepreneurial obstacles. Our examination assumes an infrequently addressed theme – entrepreneurial movement in a socially undervalued market classification. We add to the assortment of writing on SE by building recommendations in regard to the inspirations for establishment of social ventures and measurements of significant value creation.

2. Research Method and Data Collection

A subjective report was produced to investigate what propels social entrepreneurs to establish social ventures and additionally

their view of significant value creation. Subjective methods are especially basic in inductive research (Kathleen M Eisenhardt & Eisenhardt, 1989). We accumulated information from semi-organized meetings with originators/administrators of ANAM organizations. Our example has twenty two (22) Pakistani ANAM foundations and we talked with all twenty two founders/directors. ANAM organizations are firms that give ANAM administrations, are normally little organizations (up to 5 representatives) that are commonly overseen by their organizer. Our inspecting technique was led by (McCracken, 1988) statute of adding extra meetings to a database until the point when no new bits of knowledge are produced with each fresh source. From November 2017 to April 2018, we embraced semi-organized, private meetings lasting somewhere in the range of 40 and 105 minutes with every member. All interviewees got a similar arrangement of queries. However, to observe the perceptiveness of dialogue conventions (McCracken, 1988), we took into account respondents to control the stream and substance of the meeting, which limits the danger of questioner actuated predispositions (Thompson, Rindfleisch, & Arsel, 2006). Every one of the meetings were recorded and afterward transcribed. The outcome was around nine hours and thirty minutes of sound and a record of 129 pages of single-spaced content with 78,577 words.

In inductive research there is no standard strategy for information analysis (K. M. Eisenhardt & Bourgeois, 1988). Without an acknowledged model, we utilized the following method: to guarantee the nature of the information analysis two of the authors analyzed the transcripts autonomously. To begin with, we read the meetings' transcripts to pick up a sentiment of the respondent's thoughts and implications, keeping in mind the end goal to comprehend them (Goulding, 2005). At that point, we re-read every one of the respondents' answers, and we separated patterns in the data. The following stage was to plan implications and label each one of the selected fragments of content. We at that point examined and audited our composed interpretations and made correlations with existing literature to refine our bits of knowledge, which culminated into a depiction of the phenomenon and additionally the emergency of suggestions.

3. The Motivations for Founders

What gives driving force for establishing a social enterprise? Past examinations demonstrate that the primary inspiration of social entrepreneurs for establishing a social venture is chiefly their social aspiration (Shaw & Carter, 2007a). Social enterprise visionaries scan for a social issue that they can understand in a more successful manner (Austin et al., 2006; Pärenson, 2011). Shaw & Carter (2007b) contend that unlike business people, social business visionaries do not specify high prerequisite for accomplishment and self-sufficiency. We determine confirmation of three primary classes of inspirations. As a rule, the interviewees are considerably more aware of the value they make for society as opposed to the benefit they may reap from the endeavors. The catalyst to begin another venture is by all accounts driven by, on the supply side, individual and expert inspirations, and on the request side, market openings. These inspirations are in accordance with business enterprise hypothesis. Because of individual inspirations, we observed that five of the respondents ended up mindful of or experienced ANAM themselves as consumers and its advantages and chose to establish an ANAM endeavor. Prior to beginning another ANAM organization, these business people build up a solid individual conviction about the beneficial part of ANAM. Along these lines they distinguish a superior answer for existing issues of deficiency of the health services frameworks in numerous societies. Here we watch the value creation component in the business visionaries' inspirations. The reactions uncover a concern about increasing the total efficacy of society, which is the thing that value creation depicts as a substitute of value capture (Santos, 2010). The value comes likewise from not denying "the competitors", from the longing to cooperate with biomedicine for

a definitive advantage of the patient. The interviewees want to share their constructive experience and the advantages with other individuals, particularly ANAM's preventive part or its helpful complementarity with biomedicine.

Supposition 1: The establishing of social enterprise is driven by the founders' individual involvement with the value given by other comparative ventures. The organizer feels a fervent need to spread the social advantage.

We additionally discovered confirmation of professional inspirations. Business enterprise hypothesis works to an expansive degree on the qualities of business people and their inspirations (Austin et al., 2006). One of these qualities is snap commitment, yet in addition the capacity of quick rearrangement under new information. It is the individuals with their abilities, inspiration, states of mind, and qualities that are the primary motivators behind the working of an endeavor (Austin et al., 2006). In this sense, we take note that a few authors of ANAM foundations appear to have been persuaded by discontent with their past professional circumstance and chose to venture out for the advantage of society.

Supposition 2a: The establishing of social endeavors is driven by dissatisfaction and opportunity identification of the organizer with their professional circumstance.

or

Supposition 2b: The establishing of social ventures is driven by market opportunity inspirations, because of market dissatisfaction in tending to social needs.

A fundamental piece of the meaning of business enterprise is additionally the distinguishing proof of a chance (Austin et al., 2006; Urban, 2015). An open door emerges regularly because of market disappointment (Au, 2014). For social entrepreneurs looking for circumstances is a mean for tending to social needs and along these lines creating social value (Weerawardena & Sullivan Mort, 2006). Some ANAM endeavors appear to have been opened basically since the founders distinguished a market opportunity. Illustrations incorporate interest for health medications that don't include chemical drugs or the need of ANAM specialists for a segment where they can offer their ANAM administrations.

4. Value Creation

How do social endeavors create value? Value creation is the way toward conveying products that amplify the utility of consumers, while keeping up the organization's sustainability (Poon, 2011). ANAM business people's principle intention is to make an incentive by offering better health and wellbeing administrations to their customers as opposed to grasping an incentive by concentrating on expanding profits. Our study recognized three measurements of significant value creation with various weights – wellbeing, social and monetary sustainability. The wellbeing value creation measurement concerns the setting of the social venture mission. We confirm that ANAM providers center their main goal in the patient's wellbeing, prosperity and personal satisfaction. The social value creation measurement is more extensive. Social endeavors can discover socially effective arrangements which can enhance individuals' life. Our analysis proposes that a major apprehension of ANAM providers is to be open to everyone needing health care services. As a reaction to the current financial emergency, ANAM foundations are keeping up or diminishing their administration costs (regardless of whether their expenses are rising) with the goal that they augment the utility for their customers. On account of patients with monetary issues, providers frequently diminish the cost of the administrations or even offer the treatment for nothing, so the patient isn't compelled to stop the recuperating process. This demonstrates the on a very basic level distinctive reaction of social entrepreneurs to unfavorable conditions contrasted with business visionaries (Goff & Salomone, 2015). The duty to encourage patients and illuminate society about

ANAM treatments has all the earmarks of being at the center of ANAM business visionaries' endeavors. What rises is ANAM business visionaries' unmistakable value creation objective, which is a principal standard for social entrepreneurs (Grassl, 2012). They are not all that worried about value capture, which harms their character (Sassmannshausen & Volkmann, 2013). Lastly, the interviewees report that benefit is imperative since it keeps up the business sustainability. They likewise trust that when there is benefit, it ought to be reinvested to profit the mission. This behavior compares to what Santos (2010) portrays as the distinction amid value capture and value creation. Being financially beneficial isn't a logical inconsistency to the value creation angle, it is simply not the priority. Accordingly, ANAM business people sacrifice on the monetary aspect of the business with the goal with which they can boost the value creation one.

Supposition 3: The value measurement is formed by social value creation.

ANAM administrations are related with the absence of logical proof, gauges or directions that puts ANAM benefits in an institutional control. Biomedicine has effectively depicted ANAM as non-logical misrepresentation (Winnick, 2005), testing its authenticity as a suitable wellbeing alternative. Albeit past research contends that the mission of social ventures is a wellspring of authenticity (e.g. institutional help) (Bacq & Janssen, 2011), this isn't the situation for ANAM endeavors. Mair (2007) recommends that social business visionaries can "impact governments to make enactment that legitimates and supports their development", on the off chance that they discover they can address the issue with financial activities. Furthermore, it is said that monetary, and in addition lawful and open exercises would require authenticity (Shumate, Atouba, Cooper, & Pilny, 2014). The social entrepreneurs' abilities to effectively seek, draw in and keep up these assets replenished as a wellspring of authenticity (Peredo & McLean, 2006). Our data demonstrate that restrictions for ANAM business visionaries to defeat authenticity obstacles is only means of monetary sustainability. By accomplishing money related sustainability, ANAM social undertakings flag the market that they are socially suitable. The interviewees express that when shoppers are paying for the prescription given, its value is composited and perceived. Subsequently, budgetary sustainability takes into consideration social endeavors to a) keep up their undertaking, that is to reinvest the benefit in help of the social mission (Moss, Short, Payne, & Lumpkin, 2011), b) favor the market and acquire authenticity of the social venture (e.g. for financial specialists, for governments, for purchasers, and so forth.).

Supposition 4: Financial sustainability of the social undertaking is a source of market authenticity.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

Our investigation calls for more research on hypothetical commitments in social business enterprise (Auerswald, 2009). We pick alternative non-allopathic medicine (ANAM) for instance of transformative administrations. We will probably additionally upgrade the hypothetical comprehension in the field of social business enterprise. We take to this assignment by concentrating on the inspirations for establishing a social venture and additionally understanding quality creation in a minimized market classification. Business people in an underestimated class don't profit by the authenticity of the social reason as do other social entrepreneurs (Marta & Email, 2015).

With respect to first inquiry, we find that the catalyst to begin a social venture in an unsupportive setting is impacted by individual and expert inspirations. These inspirations emerge following constructive individual encounters, which transform the founders into evangelists to spread the positive experience to other societal individuals. While they know about the difficulties because of the underestimation of the field, they feel it is their central goal to

pass on the transformative experience they have had (Zahra, Gedajlovic, Neubaum, & Shulman, 2009). Another source of inspirations is the founders' disappointment with their earlier occupation. Further, we watch that with regards to ANAM, founders react to the developing interest for normal medications by customers and specialists' interest for space where they can give ANAM administrations. For the most part, the ANAM providers we talked with express that their inspirations are benevolent as opposed to benefit orientated. ANAM administrations are principally preventive and their principle intention is the aggregate prosperity.

Concerning second inquiry, we discover three measurements of significant value creation: logical (e.g. wellbeing, kids' education, nourishment), social and money related sustainability (Lei & Zhu, 2010). We discovered confirmation that social entrepreneurs need to benefit not just their immediate clients (logical creation - specifically related with the mission of the social endeavor), and in addition society when all is said in done (social creation). A definitive motivation behind social endeavors is to upgrade social value, in this way their activities must give externalities. At the point when social ventures can take care of a social issue inside the setting of the mission of the social endeavor and therefore advantage the entire society, we may consider it an externality result (Kickul & Lyons, 2012). The third measurement is money related sustainability. Our discoveries demonstrate that ANAM advisors tend to set costs that meet economic situations, with a specific end goal to expand the utility of ANAM clients, instead of augmented benefit. The study contends that social endeavor should be both economically suitable and socially advantageous (Bhawe, Gupta, & Jain, 2006). Along these lines, we contend that they fit in Santos (2010) model of social undertakings as they overwhelmingly augment value creation and sacrifice on value catch. Moreover, we suggest that money related sustainability is a source of market authenticity for social entrepreneurs. While past research asserts that social business visionaries remain to profit in light of the fact that "their social mission is a source of authenticity and is the most basic asset to be utilized with inward and in addition outer publics" (Dacin et al., 2010), this isn't the situation of ANAM business visionaries. ANAM social entrepreneurs effectively look for activities that legitimize their ventures.

Our examination adds to the surviving writing by investigating social activities giving transformative facilities in a minimized classification. The subjective bits of knowledge enable us to create suggestions regarding business people's inspirations for beginning a business in an inhospitable situation and measurements of significant value creation that separates them from business endeavors. Two of these recommendations are especially significant to the further advancement of social business hypothesis. Initial, a main impetus of business people is the fervent mission they feel after specifically encountering the transformative impact of the service. Second, social entrepreneurs take a gander at money related sustainability as an essential market approval part of their central goal.

References

- [1] Au, K. (2014). Research Study on the Social Enterprise Sector in Hong Kong.
- [2] Auerswald, P. (2009). Creating Social Value By Philip Auerswald Stanford Social Innovation Review. Stanford Social Innovation Review, (spring), 49–55.
- [3] Austin, J., Stevenson, H., & Wei-Skillern, J. (2006). Social and commercial entrepreneurship: Same, different, or both? *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, 30(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2006.00107.x>
- [4] Bacq, S., & Janssen, F. (2011). The multiple faces of social entrepreneurship: A review of definitional issues based on geographical and thematic criteria. *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 23(5–6), 373–403. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08985626.2011.577242>
- [5] Bhawe, N., Gupta, V. K., & Jain, T. K. (2006). The Entrepreneurship of the Good Samaritan: A Development Framework to Understand Social Entrepreneurship Using Insights from Qualitative Study. *Ssrn*, 1–40. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.902685>

- [6] Costanzo, L. A., Vurro, C., Foster, D., Servato, F., & Perrini, F. (2014). Dual-mission management in social entrepreneurship: Qualitative evidence from social firms in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 52(4), 655–677. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12128>
- [7] Dacin, P. a, Dacin, M. T., & Matear, M. (2010). Social entrepreneurship: Why we don't need a new theory and how we move forward from here. *Academy of Management Perspectives*. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMP.2010.52842950>
- [8] Eisenhardt, K. M., & Bourgeois, L. J. (1988). Politics of Strategic Decision Making in High-Velocity Environments: Toward a Mid-range Theory. *Academy of Management Journal*, 31(4), 737–770. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256337>
- [9] Eisenhardt, K. M., & Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building Theories from Case Study Research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532–550. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258557>
- [10] Goff, M. Le, & Salomone, S. (2015). SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP: HOW INTENTIONS TO CREATE A SOCIAL ENTERPRISE GET FORMED, 3(521), 1–45. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.701181>
- [11] Goulding, C. (2005). Grounded theory, ethnography and phenomenology: A comparative analysis of three qualitative strategies for marketing research. *European Journal of Marketing*, 39(3–4 SPEC. ISS.), 294–308. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560510581782>
- [12] Grassl, W. (2012). Business Models of Social Enterprise: A Design Approach to Hybridity. *ACRN Journal of Entrepreneurship Perspectives*, 1(1), 37–60.
- [13] Kickul, J. R., & Lyons, T. (2012). Defining and distinguishing social entrepreneurship. *Understanding Social Entrepreneurship: The Relentless Pursuit of Mission in an Ever Changing World*, 296.
- [14] Lei, J., & Zhu, S. (2010). The Practice of Social Entrepreneurship as A Model: Case study between Sweden and China, (June). Retrieved from <http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2:326217%5Cnpapers3://publication/uuid/96E0E17C-CC62-4BFB-B616-50ECC9DDF08A>
- [15] Mair, J. (2007). Social Entrepreneurship Creating Economic and Social Value. *Ssrn*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.975107>
- [16] Mair, J., Battilana, J., & Cardenas, J. (2012). Organizing for Society: A Typology of Social Entrepreneurial Models. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 111(3), 353–373. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1414-3>
- [17] Marta, A., & Email, B. (2015). Social entrepreneurs' motivations and value creation dimensions.
- [18] Martin, R. L., & Osberg, S. (2007). Social Entrepreneurship: The Case for Definition. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.
- [19] McCracken, G. (1988). The Four-Step Method of Inquiry. *The Long Interview*. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781412986229>
- [20] Moss, T. W., Short, J. C., Payne, G. T., & Lumpkin, G. T. (2011). Dual identities in social ventures: An exploratory study. *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, 35(4), 805–830. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2010.00372.x>
- [21] Pärenson, T. (2011). The criteria for a solid impact evaluation in social entrepreneurship. *Society and Business Review*, 6(1), 39–48. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17465681111105823>
- [22] Peredo, A. M., & McLean, M. (2006). Social entrepreneurship: A critical review of the concept. *Journal of World Business*, 41(1), 56–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2005.10.007>
- [23] Poon, D. (2011). The emergence and development of social enterprise sectors. *Social Impact Research Experience Journal (SIRE)*. Retrieved from <http://repository.upenn.edu/sire/8/%5Cnpapers3://publication/uuid/CE9DBDF6-3503-4378-BE76-A13C01D86890>
- [24] Santos, F. M. (2010). A Positive Theory of Social Entrepreneurship. *Ssrn*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1553072>
- [25] Santos, F. M. (2012). A Positive Theory of Social Entrepreneurship. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 111(3), 335–351. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1413-4>
- [26] Sassmannshausen, S. P., & Volkmann, C. (2013). A Bibliometric Based Review on Social Entrepreneurship and its Establishment as a Field of Research, 32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12254>
- [27] Shaw, E., & Carter, S. (2007a). Social entrepreneurship: Theoretical antecedents and empirical analysis of entrepreneurial processes and outcomes. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14626000710773529>
- [28] Shaw, E., & Carter, S. (2007b). Social entrepreneurship: Theoretical antecedents and empirical analysis of entrepreneurial processes and outcomes. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 14(3), 418–434. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14626000710773529>
- [29] Shumate, M., Atouba, Y., Cooper, K. R., & Pilny, A. (2014). Two Paths Diverged: Examining the Antecedents to Social Entrepreneurship. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 28(3), 404–421. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0893318914538561>
- [30] Thompson, C. J., Rindfleisch, A., & Arsel, Z. (2006). Emotional branding and the strategic value of the Doppelgänger brand image. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(1), 50–64. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.2006.70.1.50>
- [31] Urban, B. (2015). An Exploratory Study on Outcomes of Social Enterprises in South Africa. *Journal of Enterprising Culture*. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218495815500090>
- [32] Weerawardena, J., & Sullivan Mort, G. (2006). Investigating social entrepreneurship: A multidimensional model. *Journal of World Business*, 41(1), 21–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2005.09.001>
- [33] WINNICK, T. A. (2005). From Quackery to “Complementary” Medicine: The American Medical Profession Confronts Alternative Therapies. *Social Problems*. <https://doi.org/10.1525/sp.2005.52.1.38>
- [34] Zahra, S. A., Gedajlovic, E., Neubaum, D. O., & Shulman, J. M. (2009). A typology of social entrepreneurs: Motives, search processes and ethical challenges. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 24(5), 519–532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2008.04.007>