

Characterizations of $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -Continuous Mappings in Ideal Topological Spaces

N.Kalaivani ^{1*}, R.Narmada Devi² and T.Gunasekar³

^{1,2,3}Department of Mathematics
Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sakunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai, India

*Corresponding author E-mail:kalaivani.rajam@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper the notion of $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -Continuous Mappings is introduced and some of their properties are studied. Further the concept of contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -Continuous Mappings and (γ^α, β) -I-Continuous Mappings are introduced and properties are analyzed.

Keywords: $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -Continuous Mappings; contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -Continuous Mappings; (γ^α, β) -I-Continuous Mappings (2010)AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 54C05, 54C08.

1. Introduction

The α -open sets, operation on topological spaces, $\tau_{\alpha-\gamma}$, $\tau_{\alpha-\gamma-I}$, $\tau_{\alpha-\gamma-I}$ interior and $\tau_{\alpha-\gamma-I}$ closure operators are introduced respectively by Njastad [1], Kasahara [3], Ogata [4], Kalaivani [5] and Kalaivani et al [6].

In this paper, the notion of $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -Continuous Mappings, properties are studied. Further the contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -Continuous Mappings is introduced and their properties are analyzed. Also the (γ^α, β) -I-Continuous Mappings, their properties are studied.

2. Preliminaries

The concepts of ideal [2], its properties [7], $A^*(I)$ -local function [8], $X = X^*$ [9], $\tau \cap I = \phi$ [10], $\beta(I, \tau)$ [11], Kuratowski closure operator, Kuratowski* closure operator, γ -semi-open set [12], $(\alpha - \gamma, \beta)$ -continuous mappings [13] are defined, studied and discussed earlier.

Dontchev and Przemki [14], Reilly and Vamanamurthy [15], Tong [16] and Maximilian Ganster and Ivan Reilly [17] studied about α -continuity and decompositions of continuity.

Here f_M denotes the mapping $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, K)$ and TX_I, TY_K denotes the ideal topological spaces (X, τ, I) , (Y, σ, K) . Then CM denotes continuous mapping, C denotes continuity, OS denotes open set, CS denotes closed set, inv denotes inverse image, M denotes mapping, IM denotes the identity map-

ping and $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM denotes the $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -Continuous Mapping.

3. $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -Continuous Mappings

Definition 3.1. A mapping f_M is said to be a $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM if for each $\beta^\alpha K$ -OS, G of TY_K , the $\text{inv } f_M^{-1}(G)$ is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -OS in TX_I .

Theorem 3.1. Let f_M be a mapping, then the following statements are equivalent:

- f_M is a $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM.
- For each element $a \in TX_I$ and $Q \in \sigma_{\beta^\alpha K}$ containing $f_M(a)$, there exists $P \in \tau_{\gamma^\alpha I}$ containing a such that $f_M(P) \subseteq Q$.
- The inv of each $\sigma_{\beta^\alpha K}$ -CS of TY_K is a $\tau_{\gamma^\alpha I}$ -CS in TX_I .
- $cl_{\tau_\gamma}(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}^*(cl_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(D)))) \subseteq f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(D))$ for each $D \subseteq TY_K$.
- $f_M(cl_{\tau_\gamma}(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}^*(cl_{\tau_\gamma}(C)))) \subseteq f_M(C)$ for each $C \in TX_I$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $a \in TX_I$ and Q be any $\beta^\alpha K$ -OS of TY_K containing $f_M(a)$. Let $H = f_M^{-1}(Q)$, then by Definition 3.1, H is a $\tau_{\gamma^\alpha I}$ -OS containing a and $f_M(H) \subseteq Q$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let C be a $\beta^\alpha K$ -CS of TY_K . Set $S = TY_K - C$ then S is a $\beta^\alpha K$ -OS in TY_K . Let $b \in f_M^{-1}(S)$, by (ii), there exists a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -

OS, G of TX_I containing b such that $f_M(G) \subseteq S$. We obtain $b \in G \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(G))) \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(S))))$ and hence $b \in f_M^{-1}(S) \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(S))))$. This shows that $f_M^{-1}(S)$ is a $\tau_{\gamma^\alpha I}$ -OS in TX_I . Hence we obtain that,

$$f_M^{-1}(C) = TX_I - f_M^{-1}(TY_K - C) = TX_I - f_M^{-1}(S)$$

is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -CS in TX_I .

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) Let M be any subset of TY_K . Since $cl_{\sigma_\beta}(M)$ is a CS in TY_K , by (iii), $f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(M))$ is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -CS and $TX_I - f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(M))$ is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -OS. Thus

$$TX_I - f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(M)) \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(TX_I - f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(M))))) = TX_I - cl_{\tau_\gamma}(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}^*(cl_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(M)))))$$

Hence $cl_{\tau_\gamma}(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}^*(cl_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(M)))) \subseteq f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(M))$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v) Let L be any subset of TX_I . By (iv), $cl_{\tau_\gamma}(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}^*(cl_{\tau_\gamma}(L))) \subseteq cl_{\tau_\gamma}(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}^*(cl_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(f_M(L)))) \subseteq f_M^{-1}(f_M(L))$ and hence $f_M(cl_{\tau_\gamma}(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}^*(cl_{\tau_\gamma}(L)))) \subseteq cl_{\sigma_\beta}(f_M(L))$.

(v) \Rightarrow (i) Let H be any $\beta^\alpha K$ -OS of TY_K . Then, by (v) $f_M(cl_{\tau_\gamma}(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}^*(cl_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(TY_K - H)))) \subseteq cl_{\sigma_\beta}(f_M(f_M^{-1}(TY_K - H))) \subseteq cl_{\sigma_\beta}(TY_K - H) = TY_K - H$. Therefore,

$$cl_{\tau_\gamma}(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}^*(cl_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(TY_K - H)))) \subseteq f_M^{-1}(TY_K - H) \subseteq TX_I - f_M^{-1}(H)$$

. Hence we obtain that $f_M^{-1}(H) \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(H))))$. This implies that $f_M^{-1}(H)$ is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -OS. Hence, f_M is a $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM.

Remark 3.1. Every CM need not be a $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM: Illustrated by the following example.

Example 3.1. Let $X = \{\phi, e, f\}$, $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{d, e\}, \{d, f\}\}$
 $\sigma = \{\phi, Y, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{d, e\}, \{d, f\}\}$, $I = \{\phi, \{d\}, \{f\}, \{d, f\}\}$ and $K = \{\phi, \{d\}\}$.

The γ operation on τ is given as follows: $\gamma(M) = cl(M)$, for $M \in \tau$. Then $\tau_{\gamma^\alpha I} = \{\phi, X, \{e\}, \{d, f\}\}$.

We define β on σ as follows $H^\beta = \begin{cases} H & \text{if } e \in H \\ cl(H) & \text{if } e \notin H \end{cases}$.

Then $\sigma_{\beta^\alpha K} = \{\phi, Y, \{e\}, \{d, e\}, \{d, f\}\}$.

Hence the IM f_M is a CM, but it is not a $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM, since $\{d, e\} \in \sigma_{\beta^\alpha K}$ and $f_M^{-1}(\{d, e\}) = \{d, e\} \notin \tau_{\gamma^\alpha I}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let f_M be a M and I, K are ideals of TX_I, TY_K . If $I = K = \{\phi\}$ or I_n , then the concept of $\alpha - (\gamma, \beta)$ -C and $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -C are equivalent.

Proof. Follows from the 3.1.Theorem's Proof.

Theorem 3.3. Let f_M be a M and $L \in \sigma$. Then $f_M^{-1}(L_\gamma^*) = (f_M^{-1}(L))^*$ implies that $f_M^{-1}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(L)) \subseteq cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(f_M^{-1}(L))$.

Proof. Since $f_M^{-1}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(L)) = f_M^{-1}(L \cup L_\gamma^*) = f_M^{-1}(L) \cup f_M^{-1}(L_\gamma^*) \subseteq$

Remark 3.2. The converse of the Theorem 3.3 is not true. It is demonstrated by the example given below.

Example 3.2. Let $X = Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\tau = \{\phi, X, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}, \sigma = \{\phi, Y, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\},$$

$$I = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 3\}\} \text{ and } K = \{\phi, \{2\}\}.$$

γ on τ is defined as follows : $F^\gamma = \begin{cases} F & \text{if } F = \{1\} \\ F \cup \{3\} & \text{if } F \neq \{1\} \end{cases}$ for $F \in \tau$.

Then $\tau_{\gamma^\alpha I} = \{\phi, X, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 3\}\}$.

Also we define the operation β on σ : for every $F \in \sigma$,

$$F^\beta = \begin{cases} F & \text{if } F = \{1\} \\ F \cup \{3\} & \text{if } F \neq \{1\} \end{cases}$$

Then $\sigma_{\beta^\alpha K} = \{\phi, Y, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$

We define a mapping f_M as $f_M(\{1\}) = \{1\}$; $f_M(\{2\}) = \{2\}$;
 $f_M(\{3\}) = \{3\}$.

For the subset $\{1, 2\} \in \sigma$ we have $f_M^{-1}(\{1, 2\})^* = \{1, 2\}$ and $(f_M^{-1}(\{1, 2\}))^* = (\{1, 2\})^* = \{2\}$.

Thus $f_M^{-1}(P^*) \subseteq (f_M^{-1}(P))^*$ for $P = \{1, 2\} \in \sigma$. But $f_M^{-1}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(P)) \subseteq cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(f_M^{-1}(P))$ for each $P \in \sigma$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $H, C \in TX_I$. Then the following properties hold:

(i) $H \in \tau_{\gamma^\alpha I}$ if and only if there exists $U \in \tau$ such that

$$U \subseteq H \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(U))$$

(ii) If $H \in \tau_{\gamma^\alpha I}$ and $H \subseteq C \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(H))$, then $C \in \tau_{\gamma^\alpha I}$.

Proof. (i) Let H be a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -OS, then

$$H \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(H))). \text{ Let } A = \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(H). \text{ Then,}$$

$$A \subseteq H \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(A)).$$

Conversely, let $A \subseteq H \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(A))$ for some $A \in \tau$. Since

$$A \subseteq H, A \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(H) \text{ and hence } cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(A) \subseteq cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(H)).$$

Hence we obtain that $H \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(H)))$. Then H is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -OS.

(ii) Since H is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -OS, there exists an OS, k such that

$$\kappa \subseteq H \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(\kappa)). \text{ We have } \kappa \subseteq H \subseteq C \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(H))$$

implies that $\kappa \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(\kappa))$. Using the (i) result, $C \in \tau_{\gamma^\alpha I}$.

4. Contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -Continuous Mappings

Definition 4.1. A M f_M is said to be a contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM if the set $f_M^{-1}(\wp)$ is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -CS in $\tau_{\gamma^\alpha I}$ for each $\beta^\alpha K$ -OS, \wp of $\sigma_{\beta^\alpha K}$.

Definition 4.2. A M f_M is said to be a $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM if the set $f_M^{-1}(S)$ is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -OS in $\tau_{\gamma^\alpha I}$ for each $\beta^\alpha K$ -OS, S of $\sigma_{\beta^\alpha K}$.

Remark 4.1.The concept of contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ C and $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ C are independent as shown by the following two examples.

Example 4.1.Let $X = \{k, l, m\}, \tau = \{\phi, X, \{k\}, \{l\}, \{k, l\}, \{k, m\}\}$ and $I = \{\phi, \{k\}\}$.

The γ on τ is described as: $B^\beta = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } l \in B \\ cl(B) & \text{if } l \notin B \end{cases}$

Then $\tau_{\gamma^\alpha I} = \{\phi, X, \{k, l\}, \{k, m\}\}$

Let $Y = \{k, l, m\}, \sigma = \{\phi, Y, \{k\}, \{l\}, \{k, l\}, \{k, m\}\}$ and

$K = \{\phi, \{k\}, \{m\}, \{k, m\}\}$. β on σ is given as follows: for $\square \in \sigma$, $\beta(\square) = cl(\square)$. Then $\sigma_{\beta^\alpha K} = \{\phi, Y, \{l\}, \{k, m\}\}$.

The M f_M is defined as $f_M(\{k\}) = \{m\}; f_M(\{l\}) = \{k\}; f_M(\{m\}) = \{l\}$. Then for every $P \in \sigma_{\beta^\alpha K}$, $f_M^{-1}(P)$ is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -CS in TX_I . Hence f_M is a contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM. But $f_M^{-1}(\{l\}) = \{m\}$ is not a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -OS in TX_I . Hence f_M is not a $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM.

Example 4.2.Let $X = \{p, q, r\}, \tau = \{\phi, X, \{p\}, \{r\}, \{p, q\}, \{p, r\}\}$ and $I = \{\phi, \{q\}\}$.

Then γ on τ is defined for $D \in \tau$ as follows:

$D^\gamma = \begin{cases} D & \text{if } D = \{p\} \\ D \cup \{r\} & \text{if } D \neq \{p\} \end{cases}$

Then $\tau_{\gamma^\alpha I} = \{\phi, X, \{p\}, \{r\}, \{p, q\}, \{p, r\}\}$

Let $Y = \{p, q, r\}, \sigma = \{\phi, Y, \{p\}, \{q\}, \{p, q\}, \{p, r\}\}$

and $K = \{\phi, \{p\}, \{r\}, \{p, r\}\}$.

We also define β on σ as follows: $\beta(E) = cl(E)$ for every $E \in \sigma$. Then $\sigma_{\beta^\alpha K} = \{\phi, Y, \{q\}, \{p, q\}, \{p, r\}\}$.

We define f_M as $f_M(\{p\}) = \{q\}; f_M(\{q\}) = \{r\}; f_M(\{r\}) = \{p\}$.

Then for every $E \in \sigma_{\beta^\alpha K}$, $f_M^{-1}(E)$ is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -OS in TX_I . Hence

f_M is a $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM. But

$f_M^{-1}(\{q\}) = \{p\}; f_M^{-1}(\{p, q\}) = \{p, r\}$ are not $\gamma^\alpha I$ -CS in TX_I . Hence f_M is not a contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM.

Theorem 4.1. A mapping f_M is a contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM if and only if the inv of each $\beta^\alpha K$ -CS in TY_K is $\gamma^\alpha I$ -OS in TX_I .

Proof. Proof follows from the Definition 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. Let f_M and $g : (Y, \sigma, K) \rightarrow (Z, \zeta, W)$ be any two mappings.

(i) $g \circ f$ is a contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, W)$ -CM, if g is $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(K, W)$ -CM and f_M is contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM.

(ii) $g \circ f$ is a contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, W)$ -CM, if g is a contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(K, W)$ -CM and f_M is an $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM.

Proof. Proof follows from the Definition 4.1.

Definition 4.3. A mapping f_M is called a perfectly contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM if the inv of each $\beta^\alpha K$ -OS in TY_K is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -clopen set in TX_I

Example 4.4.Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}, \tau = \{\phi, X, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$, and $I = \{\phi, \{1\}\}$.

γ on τ as follows: $B^\gamma = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } 2 \in B \\ cl(B) & \text{if } 2 \notin B \end{cases}$

Then $\tau_{\gamma^\alpha I} = \{\phi, X, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$

Let $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}, \sigma = \{\phi, Y, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$ and

$K = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 3\}\}$. β on σ as follows: $\beta(P) = cl(P)$, for $P \in \sigma$. Then $\sigma_{\beta^\alpha K} = \{\phi, Y, \{2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$.

We define the M f_M as $f_M(\{1\}) = \{3\}; f_M(\{2\}) = \{2\}; f_M(\{3\}) = \{1\}$.

Then for $H \in \sigma_{\beta^\alpha K}$, $f_M^{-1}(H)$ is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -clopen set in TX_I . Hence

f_M is a perfectly contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM.

Remark 4.3. Every perfectly contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM is a contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM and $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM.

Remark 4.4.A contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM may not be perfectly contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM. The example given below illustrates this remark.

Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}, \tau = \{\phi, X, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$ and $I = \{\phi, \{1\}\}$.

γ on τ as follows: $B^\gamma = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } 2 \in B \\ cl(B) & \text{if } 2 \notin B \end{cases}$

Then $\tau_{\gamma^\alpha I} = \{\phi, X, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$.

Let $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}, \sigma = \{\phi, Y, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$ and

$K = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 3\}\}$. β on σ as follows: $\beta(P) = cl(P)$, for

$P \in \sigma$ and we obtain that $\sigma_{\beta^\alpha K} = \{\phi, Y, \{2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$

We define M f_M as $f_M(\{1\}) = \{3\}; f_M(\{2\}) = \{1\}; f_M(\{3\}) = \{2\}$.

Then for every $Z \in \sigma_{\beta^\alpha K}$, $f_M^{-1}(Z)$ is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -CS in TX_I . Hence

f_M is a contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM. But $f_M^{-1}(\{2\}) = \{3\}$ is not a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -OS in TX_I . Hence f_M is not a $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM.

Theorem 4.3. For the M f_M , statements described below are equivalent:

- (i) f_M is a perfectly contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM
- (ii) f_M is contra $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM and $(\gamma\beta)^\alpha(I, K)$ -CM.

Proof. Follows from the Definitions 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3.

5. (γ^α, β) -I-Continuous Mappings

Definition 5.1. A subset A of an ideal topological space is called a $I\beta$ -OS if $A \subseteq cl_{\tau_\gamma}(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(A)))$

Definition 5.2. A M f_M is defined as a (γ^α, β) -I-CM if for every $I\beta$ -open set V of TY_K , $f_M^{-1}(V)$ is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -OS in TX_I .

Theorem 5.1. If a M f_M is a (γ^α, β) -I-CM, then f_M is an (γ^α, β) -CM.

Proof. Immediate from the above illustrated Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 5.2. Let f_M be a M. Statements given below are same:

- (i) f_M be a (γ^α, β) -I-CM.
- (ii) For each b and each $I\beta$ -OS, $H \subseteq TY_K$ containing $f_M(b)$, there exists $G \in \tau_{\alpha_\gamma}$ such that $b \in G$, $f_M(G) \subseteq H$.
- (iii) The inv of each $I\beta$ -CS in TY_K is a $\gamma^\alpha I$ -CS.
- (iv) $cl_{\tau_\gamma}(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}^*(cl_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(B)))) \subseteq f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(B))$ for $B \subseteq TY_K$.
- (v) $f_M(cl_{\tau_\gamma}(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}^*(cl_{\tau_\gamma}((A)))) \subseteq cl_{\sigma_\beta}(f_M(A))$ for $A \subseteq TX_I$.

Proof. Proof is analogous to the proof of the 4.1.Theorem.

Corollary 5.1. Let f_M be a (γ^α, β) -I-CM, then

- (i) $f_M(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(Y)) \subseteq cl_{\sigma_\beta}(f_M(Y))$ for each $Y \in \gamma$ -PIO(X).
- (ii) $cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*((f_M^{-1}(\mathfrak{S})) \subseteq f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(\mathfrak{S}))$ for each $\mathfrak{S} \in \gamma$ -PIO(Y).

Proof. Proof is analogous to the proof to the corollary 4.1.

Definition 5.3. A subset \square of an ideal TS is said to be a γI -open set with respect to the operation γ on τ if

$$\square \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(\square)) \cup cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(\text{int}_{\tau_\gamma}(\square)).$$

Definition 5.4. A M f_M is called a (γ, β^α) -I-open mapping if the image of each γI -OS in TX_I is a $\beta^\alpha I$ -OS of TY_I .

Theorem 5.3. A M f_M is said to be a (γ, β^α) -I-open mapping if and only if for each subset $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq TY_I$ and each γI -CS, F of TX_I containing $f_M^{-1}(\mathfrak{N})$, there exists a $\beta^\alpha I$ -CS, $H \subseteq TY_I$ containing W such that $f_M^{-1}(H) \subseteq F$.

Proof. Let $\varphi = TY_I - f_M(TX_I - \mathfrak{S})$. Since $f_M^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}$. Since

f_M is a (γ, β^α) -I-open mapping, then φ is a $\beta^\alpha I$ -CS and $f_M^{-1}(\varphi) = TX_I - f_M^{-1}(f_M(TX_I - \mathfrak{S})) \subseteq TX_I - (TX_I - \mathfrak{S}) = \mathfrak{S}$.

Conversely, let ℓ be any γI -OS of TX_I and $\mathfrak{N} = TY_I - f_M(\ell)$.

Then, $f_M^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}) = TX_I - f_M^{-1}(f_M(\ell)) \subseteq TX_I - \ell$ and $TX_I - \ell$ is a γI -CS. There exists a $\beta^\alpha I$ -CS, \mathfrak{S} of TY_I containing \mathfrak{N} such that

$$f_M^{-1}(\varphi) \subseteq TX_I - \ell. \text{ Then, } f_M^{-1}(\varphi) \cap \ell = \emptyset \text{ and } \varphi \cap f_M(\ell) = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, $TY_I - f_M(\ell) \supseteq \varphi \supseteq \mathfrak{N} = TY_I - f_M(\ell)$ and $f_M(\ell)$ is a

$\beta^\alpha I$ -OS in TY_I . This implies that f_M is a (γ, β^α) -I-open mapping.

Corollary 5.1. If f_M is a (γ, β^α) -I-open mapping, then these properties hold:

- (i) $f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(\text{int}_{\sigma_\beta}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(\mathfrak{N})))) \subseteq cl_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}))$ for $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq TY_I$.
- (ii) $f_M^{-1}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(O)) \subseteq cl_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(O))$ for γ -preopen set O of TY_I .

Proof. (i) Let \mathfrak{N} be any subset of TY_I , then $cl_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}))$ is a γI -

CS in TX_I . By Theorem 4.3, there exists a $\beta^\alpha I$ -CS, $\square \subseteq TY_I$ con-

taining \mathfrak{N} such that $f_M^{-1}(\square) \subseteq cl_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}))$. Since $TY_I - \square$ is a

$\beta^\alpha I$ -OS, $f_M^{-1}(TY_I - \square) \subseteq f_M^{-1}(\text{int}_{\sigma_\beta}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}^*(\text{int}_{\sigma_\beta}(TY_I - \square))))$

and we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(\text{int}_{\sigma_\beta}^*(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(\mathfrak{N})))) &\subseteq f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(\text{int}_{\sigma_\beta}^*(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(\square)))) \\ &\subseteq f_M^{-1}(\square) \subseteq cl_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(\mathfrak{N})) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(\text{int}_{\sigma_\beta}^*(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(\mathfrak{N})))) \subseteq cl_{\tau_\gamma}(f_M^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}))$

(ii) Let O be any γ -preopen set of TY_I . By using (i) result,

$$\begin{aligned} f_M^{-1}(cl_{\tau_\gamma}^*(O)) &\subseteq f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(O)) \subseteq f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(\text{int}_{\sigma_\beta}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(O))) \\ &\subseteq f_M^{-1}(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(\text{int}_{\sigma_\beta}^*(cl_{\sigma_\beta}(O)))) \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] O. Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math 15,(1965),961- 970.
- [2] H.H.Corson and E.Michael, Metrizable of certain countable unions, Illinois J.Math.8(1964)351-360.
- [3] S.Kasahara, Operation- compact spaces, Math. Japonica, 24 (1979), 97.
- [4] H. Ogata, Operations on topological spaces and associated topology, Math.Japonica, 36(1) (1991),175 - 184.
- [5] N.Kalaivani and G.Sai Sundara Krishnan, Operation approaches on α - γ -open sets in topological spaces, Int.J.of Math. Analysis, Vol.7, 2013, no.10, 491-498.
- [6] N.Kalaivani, A-I-EL-Maghrabi and G.Sai Sundara Krishnan, Operation approaches on α - γ -I-open sets and on α - γ -I-continuous functions in topological spaces, J. of the Egyptian Mathematical Society [Production and hosting by Elsevier], vol.22, pp. 265-271.
- [7] T.R.Hamlett and Dragan Jankovic, Ideals in General Topology, Proceedings of the conference General topology and Applications,(1988),115.
- [8] K.Kuratowski, Topology, Vol.I, Academic Press, New York,(1966).
- [9] E.Hayashi, Topologies defined by local properties, Math. Ann.,156(1964),205-215.
- [10] P.Samuels, A topology formed from a given topology and ideal, J. London Math. Soc.(2),10(1975),409-416.
- [11] D.Jankovic and T.R.Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, Amer.Math.Monthly,97(1990),295-310.

- [12] G.Sai Sundara Krishnan and K.Balachandran, On γ -semi-open sets in topological spaces, Bull.Cal.Math.Soc., 98, (6),(2006), 517-530.
- [13] N.Kalaivani,D.Saravanakumar and G.Sai Sundara Krishnan, On $(\alpha-\gamma,\beta)$ -continuous functions and $\alpha-(\gamma,\beta)$ -continuous functions in topological spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Applications,vol.1, (2012), 341-347.
- [14] J.Dontchev and M.Przemki,On the various Decompositions of continuous and some weakly continuous functions, Acta Math. Hungar.,71(1-2)(1996),109-120.
- [15] I.L.Reilly and M.K.Vamanamurthy, On α -continuity in topological spaces, Acta Math.Hungar.45(1985)27-32.
- [16] J.Tong, A decomposition of continuity, Acta Math. Hugar., 48(1986)11-15.
- [17] Maximilian Ganster and Ivan Reilly, A Decomposition of Continuity, Acta Math.Hungar.56(3-4)(1990)299-301.