



Oscillation of Second-Order Quasilinear Generalized Difference Equations

V.Srimanju^{1*}, Sk.Khadar Babu², V.Chandrasekar³

^{1,2}Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore – 632 014, Tamil Nadu, India

³Thiruvalluvar University College of Arts and Science, Themangur - 604 408, Tamil Nadu, India

*Corresponding author E-mail:srimanjushc@gmail.com

Abstract

Authors present sufficient conditions for the oscillation solutions of the generalized perturbed quasilinear difference equation

$$\Delta_{\ell} \left(a((k-1)\ell + j) |\Delta_{\ell} v((k-1)\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \Delta_{\ell} v((k-1)\ell + j) \right) + F(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j)) = G(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j), \Delta_{\ell} v(k\ell + j))$$

where $0 < \gamma < 1$, $k \in [0, \infty)$. Examples are illustrates the importance of our results are also included.

Keywords: Generalized difference equation; Oscillation; Quasilinear.

1. Introduction

Difference equations represent a captivating mathematical field, has rich field of the applications in such diverse disciplines as population dynamics, operations research, ecology, economics, biology etc. For the background of difference equations and its application in diverse fields with examples, see [1,13,20,27], based on assumption $\Delta u(k) = u(k+1) - u(k)$, $k \in [0, \infty)$.

Though some authors [1],[19] have recommended the definition of Δ as

$$\Delta_{\ell} u(k) = u(k+\ell) - u(k), \quad \ell \in (0, \infty), \quad (iE)$$

no notable progress have been taken on this line. But in [14] the authors took up the definition of Δ as given in (E), and given many important results and applications. They labelled the operator Δ defined by (E) as Δ_{ℓ} and its inverse by Δ_{ℓ}^{-1} , many interesting results in number theory were obtained. Qualitative properties like rotator, expanding, shrinking, spiral and web like were established by extending theory of Δ_{ℓ} to complex function, for the solutions of difference equations involving Δ_{ℓ} in [2-12,14-18,21-26].

In the sequel, in this paper we consider the generalized perturbed quasilinear difference equation

$$\Delta_{\ell} \left(a((k-1)\ell + j) |\Delta_{\ell} v((k-1)\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \Delta_{\ell} v((k-1)\ell + j) \right) + F(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j)) = G(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j), \Delta_{\ell} v(k\ell + j)) \quad (1)$$

$k \in [0, \infty)$

where $0 < \gamma < 1$, $a(k\ell + j)$ is an eventually positive real valued function, and Δ_{ℓ} is the generalized forward difference operator defined as

$$\Delta_{\ell} v(k\ell + j) = v((k+1)\ell + j) - v(k\ell + j).$$

By a solution of (1), we mean a nontrivial real valued function $v(k\ell + j)$ satisfying (1) for $k \in [0, \infty)$. A solution $v(k\ell + j)$ is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor negative, and nonoscillatory otherwise.

2. Main Results

Throughout this paper we assume that there exist real valued functions $q(k\ell + j)$, $p(k\ell + j)$ and a function $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that

- (i). $xf(x) > 0$ for all $x \neq 0$;
- (ii). $f(x) - f(y) = g(x, y)(x - y)$ for $x, y \neq 0$, where g is a nonnegative function; and

$$(iii) \frac{F(k\ell + j, x\ell + j)}{f(x\ell + j)} \geq q(k\ell + j),$$

$$\frac{G(k\ell + j, x\ell + j, y\ell + j)}{f(x\ell + j)} \leq p(k\ell + j) \text{ for } x, y \neq 0.$$

The conditions used in the main results are listed as follows:

$$\sum \frac{1}{a^{1/\gamma}((k-1)\ell + j)} = \infty, \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (q(k\ell + j) - p(k\ell + j)) = \infty, \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (q(k\ell + j) - p(k\ell + j)) < \infty, \quad (4)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=k_0}^k \left[\frac{1}{a(r\ell + j)} \sum_{s=r+1}^{\infty} (q(s\ell + j) - p(s\ell + j)) \right]^{1/\gamma} = \infty, \quad (5)$$

$$\int_{\theta}^{\infty} \frac{du}{f(u)^{1/\gamma}} < \infty, \int_{-\theta}^{-\infty} \frac{du}{f(u)^{1/\gamma}} < \infty \text{ for all } \theta > 0 \tag{6}$$

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=k_0}^k (q(r\ell + j) - p(r\ell + j)) \geq 0 \text{ for all large } k_0, \tag{7}$$

$$\int_0^{\theta} \frac{du}{f(u)^{1/\gamma}} < \infty, \int_0^{-\theta} \frac{du}{f(u)^{1/\gamma}} < \infty \text{ for all } \theta > 0, \tag{8}$$

Theorem 2.1: Suppose (2) and (3) hold. The all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.

Proof. Let $v(k\ell + j)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1), say, $v(k\ell + j) > 0$ for $k \geq k_0 \geq 1$. We shall consider only this case because the proof for the case $v(k\ell + j) < 0$ for $k \geq k_0 \geq 1$ is similar. We begin with the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_{\ell} \left[\frac{a((k-1)\ell + j) |\Delta_{\ell} v((k-1)\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \Delta_{\ell} v((k-1)\ell + j)}{f(v(k\ell + j))} \right] \\ &= \frac{G(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j), \Delta f(v(k\ell + j)))}{f(v(k\ell + j))} - \frac{F(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j))}{f(v(k\ell + j))} \\ & \quad - \frac{a(k\ell + j) g(v((k+1)\ell + j), v(k\ell + j)) (\Delta_{\ell} v(k\ell + j))^2}{f(v(k\ell + j))} \\ & \times \frac{|\Delta_{\ell} v(k\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1}}{f(v((k+1)\ell + j))} \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

which in view of (1)-(3) provides

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_{\ell} \left[\frac{a((k-1)\ell + j) |\Delta_{\ell} v((k-1)\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \Delta_{\ell} v((k-1)\ell + j)}{f(v(k\ell + j))} \right] \\ & \leq (p(k\ell + j) - q(k\ell + j)) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$k \geq k_0$. Summing (10) from $(k_0 + 1)$ to k gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a(k\ell + j) |\Delta_{\ell} v(k\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \Delta_{\ell} v(k\ell + j)}{f(v((k+1)\ell + j))} \\ & \leq \frac{a(k_0\ell + j) |\Delta_{\ell} v(k_0\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \Delta_{\ell} v(k_0\ell + j)}{f(v((k_0+1)\ell + j))} \\ & \quad - \sum_{r=k_0+1}^k (q(r\ell + j) - p(r\ell + j)). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

By (3), the right side of (11) tends to $-\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that there exists an integer $k_1 \geq k_0$ such that $\Delta_{\ell} v(k\ell + j) < 0$ for $k \geq k_1$. Condition (3) also implies that there exists an integer $k_2 \geq k_1$ such that

$$\sum_{r=k+1}^k (q(r\ell + j) - p(r\ell + j)) \geq 0, \quad k \geq k_2 + 1 \tag{12}$$

Using (3) and summing (1) from $(k_2 + 1)$ to k , and then using Abel's transformation [1], we get

$$\begin{aligned} & a(k\ell + j) |\Delta_{\ell} v(k\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \Delta_{\ell} v(k\ell + j) \\ & \leq a(k_2\ell + j) |\Delta_{\ell} v(k_2\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \Delta_{\ell} v(k_2\ell + j) \\ & \quad - \sum_{s=k_2+1}^k f(v(r\ell + j)) (q(r\ell + j) - p(r\ell + j)) \\ & = a(k_2\ell + j) |\Delta_{\ell} v(k_2\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \Delta_{\ell} v(k_2\ell + j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -f(v((k+1)\ell + j)) \sum_{s=k_2+1}^k (q(r\ell + j) - p(r\ell + j)) \\ & \quad + \sum_{r=k_2+1}^k \Delta_{\ell} f(r\ell + j) \left[\sum_{s=k_2+1}^r (q(s\ell + j) - p(s\ell + j)) \right] \\ & = a(k_2\ell + j) |\Delta_{\ell} v(k_2\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \Delta_{\ell} v(k_2\ell + j) \\ & \quad -f(v((k+1)\ell + j)) \sum_{r=k_2+1}^k (q(r\ell + j) - p(r\ell + j)) \\ & \quad + \sum_{r=k_2+1}^k g(v((r+1)\ell + j), v(r\ell + j)) \Delta_{\ell} v(r\ell + j) \\ & \quad \times \left[\sum_{s=k_2+1}^r (q(s\ell + j) - p(s\ell + j)) \right] \\ & \leq a(k_2\ell + j) |\Delta_{\ell} v(k_2\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \Delta_{\ell} v(k_2\ell + j), \quad k \geq k_2 + 1 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where we have also used (12) in the last inequality. Since $\Delta_{\ell} v(k\ell + j) < 0$ for $k \geq k_1$, it follows from (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & a(k\ell + j) |\Delta_{\ell} v(k\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \geq a(k_2\ell + j) |\Delta_{\ell} v(k_2\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \\ & \times \Delta_{\ell} v(k_2\ell + j), \quad k \geq k_2 + 1 \\ & \Delta_{\ell} v(k\ell + j) \leq -a(k_2\ell + j)^{1/\gamma} |\Delta_{\ell} v(k_2\ell + j)| \frac{1}{a(k\ell + j)^{1/\gamma}}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Summing (14) from $(k_2 + 1)$ to k provides

$$\begin{aligned} & v((k+1)\ell + j) \leq v((k_2 + 1)\ell + j) \\ & \quad - a(k_2\ell + j)^{1/\gamma} |\Delta_{\ell} v(k_2\ell + j)| \sum_{r=k_2+1}^k \frac{1}{a(r\ell + j)^{1/\gamma}}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

By (2), the right side of (15) tends to $-\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This contradicts the assumption that $v(k\ell + j)$ is eventually positive.

Example 2.2: Consider the generalized difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_{\ell} (k\ell + j) |\Delta_{\ell} v((k-1)\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \Delta_{\ell} v((k-1)\ell + j) \\ & \quad + v(k\ell + j) [b(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j)) + 2^{\gamma} + 2^{\gamma} (2(k\ell + j) + \ell)] \\ & = b(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j)) v(k\ell + j) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\gamma \geq 1$ and $b(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j))$ is any function of $k\ell + j$ and $v(k\ell + j)$. Clearly, (2) holds. By taking $f(v(k\ell + j)) = v(k\ell + j)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{F(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j))}{f(v(k\ell + j))} = b(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j)) + 2^{\gamma} (2(k\ell + j) + \ell) \\ & \equiv q(k\ell + j), \\ & \frac{G(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j), \Delta_{\ell} v(k\ell + j))}{f(v(k\ell + j))} = b(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j)) \\ & \equiv p(k\ell + j) \end{aligned}$$

and so (3) holds. Hence, by Theorem 1 all solutions of (16) are oscillatory. One such solution is given by $v(k\ell + j) = (-1)^k$.

Theorem 2.3: Suppose (2) and (4)-(7) hold. Then all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose that $v(k\ell + j)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1), say, $v(k\ell + j) > 0$ for $k \geq k_0 \geq 1$.

Case 1. Suppose that $\Delta_{\ell} v(k\ell + j) \geq 0$ for $k \geq k_1 \geq k_0$. We sum (10) from $(k_1 + 1)$ to k to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \frac{a(k\ell + j)(\Delta_\ell v(k\ell + j))^\gamma}{f(v((k+1)\ell + j))} \\
 &\leq \frac{a(k_1\ell + j)(\Delta_\ell v(k_1\ell + j))^\gamma}{f(v((k_1+1)\ell + j))} \\
 &- \sum_{r=k_1+1}^k (q(r\ell + j) - p(r\ell + j)).
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

In view of (3), it follow from (11) that

$$0 \leq \frac{a(k_1\ell + j)(\Delta_\ell v(k_1\ell + j))^\gamma}{f(v((k_1+1)\ell + j))} - \sum_{r=k_1+1}^k (q(r\ell + j) - p(r\ell + j))$$

and therefore for $k \geq k_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{r=k+1}^\infty (q(r\ell + j) - p(r\ell + j)) &\leq \frac{a(k\ell + j)(\Delta_\ell v(k\ell + j))^\gamma}{f(v((k+1)\ell + j))} \\
 \left[\frac{1}{a(k\ell + j)} \sum_{r=k+1}^\infty (q(r\ell + j) - p(r\ell + j)) \right]^{1/\gamma} & \\
 &\leq \frac{\Delta_\ell v(k\ell + j)}{f(v((k+1)\ell + j))^{1/\gamma}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Summing (18) from k_1 to k , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{r=k_1}^k \left[\frac{1}{a(r\ell + j)} \sum_{s=r+1}^\infty (q(s\ell + j) - p(s\ell + j)) \right]^{1/\gamma} \\
 &\leq \sum_{r=k_1}^k \frac{\Delta_\ell v(r\ell + j)}{f(v((r+1)\ell + j))^{1/\gamma}} \\
 &\leq \int_{v(k_1)}^{v(k+1)} \frac{du}{f(u)^{1/\gamma}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

By (5), the left side of (19) tends to ∞ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. However, the right side of (19) is finite by (6).

Case 2. Suppose that $\Delta_\ell v(k\ell + j)$ is oscillatory. Hence, there exists a real valued function $(k_n\ell + j) \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\Delta_\ell v(k_n\ell + j) < 0$. We choose k so large that (7) holds. Then, summing (10) from $(k_n + 1)$ to k followed by taking limit supremum provides

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(k\ell + j)|\Delta_\ell v(k\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \Delta_\ell v(k\ell + j)}{f(v((k+1)\ell + j))} \\
 &\leq \frac{a(k_n\ell + j)|\Delta_\ell v(k_n\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \Delta_\ell v(k_n\ell + j)}{f(v((k_n+1)\ell + j))} \\
 &+ \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[- \sum_{r=k_n+1}^k (q(r\ell + j) - p(r\ell + j)) \right] < 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where we have used (7) in the last inequality. It follows from (20) that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_\ell v(k\ell + j) < 0$. This contradicts the assumption that $\Delta_\ell v(k\ell + j)$ oscillates.

Case 3. Suppose that $\Delta_\ell v(k\ell + j) < 0$ for $k \geq k_1 \geq k_0$. We note that condition (7) implies the existence of an integer $k_2 \geq k_1$ such that (12) holds. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.

Corollary 2.4: Suppose (2), (4), (5) and (7) hold. Then, all bounded solutions of (1) are oscillatory.

Proof. The condition (6) is used only in Case 1 of the proof of Theorem 3i. Suppose $v(k\ell + j)$ is a bounded nonoscillatory solution of (1). In Case 1 we have $v(k\ell + j) > 0$ and $\Delta_\ell v(k\ell + j) \geq 0$ for $k \geq k_1$. Hence, in view of (2), we have $f(v(k\ell + j)) \geq f(v(k\ell + j))$ for $k \geq k_1$. It follows from (19) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{r=k_1}^k \left[\frac{1}{a(r\ell + j)} \sum_{s=r+1}^\infty (q(s\ell + j) - p(s\ell + j)) \right]^{1/\gamma} \\
 &\leq \sum_{r=k_1}^k \frac{\Delta_\ell v(r\ell + j)}{f(v((r+1)\ell + j))^{1/\gamma}} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{f(v(k_1\ell + j))^{1/\gamma}} \sum_{r=k_1}^k \Delta_\ell v(r\ell + j) \\
 &= \frac{(v((k+1)\ell + j) - v(k_1\ell + j))}{f(v(k_1\ell + j))^{1/\gamma}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

By (5), the left side of (21) tends to ∞ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ whereas the right side is finite.

Example 2.5: Consider the generalized difference equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Delta_\ell \left(\frac{1}{k^2\ell + j} |\Delta_\ell v((k-1)\ell + j)|^{\gamma-1} \Delta_\ell v((k-1)\ell + j) \right) \\
 &+ v(k\ell + j) \left[\frac{b(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j))}{+2^\gamma \frac{(2k^2\ell + j) + ((2k+1)\ell + j) + 1}{(k^2\ell + j)((k+1)^2\ell + j)}} \right] \\
 &= b(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j)) v(k\ell + j), \quad k \geq 1
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $\gamma > 0$ and $b(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j))$ is any function of k and $v(k\ell + j)$. Clearly, (2) holds. Taking $f(v(k\ell + j)) = v(k\ell + j)$, gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{F(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j))}{f(v(k\ell + j))} = b(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j)) \\
 &+ 2^\gamma \frac{(2k^2\ell + j) + ((2k+1)\ell + j) + 1}{(k^2\ell + j)((k+1)^2\ell + j)} \equiv q(k\ell + j)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{G(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j), \Delta_\ell v(k\ell + j))}{f(v(k\ell + j))} = b(k\ell + j, v(k\ell + j)) \\
 &\equiv p(k\ell + j)
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence (7) is satisfied. Next, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{k=1}^\infty (q(k\ell + j) - p(k\ell + j)) = 2^\gamma \frac{(2k^2\ell + j) + ((2k+1)\ell + j) + 1}{(k^2\ell + j)((k+1)^2\ell + j)} \\
 &= 2^\gamma \sum \left[\frac{1}{(k^2\ell + j)} + \frac{1}{((k+1)^2\ell + j)} \right] < \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

and so (4) holds. To see that (5) satisfied, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{r=k_0}^\infty \left[\frac{1}{a(r\ell + j)} \sum_{s=r+1}^\infty (q(s\ell + j) - p(s\ell + j)) \right]^{1/\gamma} \\
 &= 2 \sum_{r=k_0}^\infty \left[(r^2\ell + j) \sum_{s=r+1}^\infty \frac{((2s^2)\ell + j) + ((2s+1)\ell + j) + 1}{(s^2\ell + j)((s+1)^2\ell + j)} \right]^{1/\gamma} \\
 &= 2 \sum_{r=k_0}^\infty \left[(r^2\ell + j) \left(\sum_{s=r+1}^\infty \frac{1}{(s^2\ell + j)} + \sum_{s=r+1}^\infty \frac{1}{((s+1)^2\ell + j)} \right) \right]^{1/\gamma}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq 2 \sum_{r=k_0}^{\infty} \left[(r^2 \ell + j) \sum_{s=r+1}^{2r} \frac{1}{(s^2 \ell + j)} \right]^{1/\gamma} \\ &\geq 2 \sum_{r=k_0}^{\infty} \left[(r^2 \ell + j) \sum_{s=r+1}^{2r} \frac{1}{((2r)\ell + j)^2} \right]^{1/\gamma} \\ &= 2 \sum_{r=k_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(r\ell + j)}{4} \right)^{1/\gamma} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the conclusion of Corollary 4 follows and all bounded solutions of (22) are oscillatory. One such solution is given by $v(k\ell + j) = (-1)^k$.

Remark 2.6: In equation (22) if we let $0 < \gamma < 1$, then $f(v(k\ell + j)) = v(k\ell + j)$ also satisfies (6). Hence, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that all solutions of (22) are oscillatory when $0 < \gamma < 1$.

References

- [1] Agarwal RP, *Difference Equations and Inequalities*, Marcel Dekker, New York, (2000).
- [2] Benevatho Jaison A, Khadar Babu Sk (2016), Oscillation for generalized first order nonlinear difference equations. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 12(1), 51-54.
- [3] Benevatho Jaison A, Khadar Babu Sk (2016), Kamenev-type oscillation criteria for second order generalized delay difference equations. *International Journal of Control Theory and Applications* 9(28), 463-469.
- [4] Benevatho Jaison A, Khadar Babu Sk (2016), Oscillation for generalized first order nonlinear a-difference equations. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 109(7), 67-74.
- [5] Benevatho Jaison A, Khadar Babu Sk (2017), Oscillation theorems for generalized second-order nonlinear delay difference equations. *Int. Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 113(9), 84-92.
- [6] Benevatho Jaison A, Khadar Babu Sk (2017), Oscillation theorems for generalized second kind nonlinear delay difference equations. *Int. Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 115(9), 25-36.
- [7] Benevatho Jaison A, Khadar Babu Sk (2017), Oscillatory behavior of generalized nonlinear difference equations. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 13(1), 205-209.
- [8] Benevatho Jaison A, Khadar Babu Sk (2017), Oscillatory behavior of generalized Nonlinear difference equations. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 13(2), 415-423.
- [9] Benevatho Jaison A, Khadar Babu Sk (2018), Kamenev-type oscillation criteria for generalized sublinear delay difference equations. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 118(10), 135-145.
- [10] Benevatho Jaison A, Khadar Babu Sk (2018), Oscillation for generalized second kind nonlinear delay a-difference equations. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 118(23), 507-515.
- [11] Chandrasekar V, Srimanju V (2016), Oscillation for generalized second order sublinear neutral delay alpha difference equations. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 12(1), 55-59.
- [12] Chandrasekhar V, Srimanju V (2016), Qualitative properties of discrete version of generalized kneser's and arzela-ascoli's theorems. *International Journal of Control Theory and Applications* 9(28), 549-554.
- [13] Cheng SS, Yan TC, Li HJ (1991), Oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear difference equations. *Funkcial Ekvac* 34, 223-239.
- [14] Maria Susai Manuel M, Britto Antony Xavier G, Thandapani E (2006), Theory of generalized difference operator and its applications. *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 20(2), 163-171.
- [15] Maria Susai Manuel M, Britto Antony Xavier G, Thandapani E (2006), Qualitative properties of solutions of certain class of difference equations. *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 23(3), 295-304.
- [16] Maria Susai Manuel M, Chandrasekar V, Britto Antony Xavier G (2013), Generalised bernoulli polynomials through weighted pochhammer symbols. *Journal of Modern Methods in Numerical Mathematics* 4(1), 23-29.
- [17] Maria Susai Manuel M, George Maria Selvam A, Britto Antony Xavier G (2006), Rotatory and boundedness of solutions of certain class of difference equations. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 33(3), 333-343.
- [18] Maria Susai Manuel M, Britto Antony Xavier G (2007), Recessive, dominant and spiral behaviours of solutions of certain class of generalized difference equations. *International Journal of Differential Equations and Applications* 10(4), 423-433.
- [19] Ronald E. Mickens, *Difference Equations*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York, (1990).
- [20] Popenda J (1987), Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for second-order difference equations. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 123, 34-38.
- [21] Srimanju V, Khadar Babu Sk (2017), Oscillatory criteria for generalized second-order quasilinear neutral delay difference equations. *Int. Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 113(9), 75-83.
- [22] Srimanju V, Khadar Babu Sk (2017), Oscillation of generalized quasilinear difference equations. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 115(9), 37-45.
- [23] Srimanju V, Khadar Babu Sk (2017), Oscillation criteria for generalized quasi-linear difference equations. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 13(1), 210-216.
- [24] Srimanju V, Khadar Babu Sk (2017), Oscillation criteria for generalized second kind quasi-linear neutral a-difference equations. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 13(2), 544-551.
- [25] Srimanju V, Khadar Babu Sk (2018), Oscillatory properties of third-order quasilinear generalized difference equations. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 118(10), 155-165.
- [26] Srimanju V, Khadar Babu Sk (2018), Oscillation of generalized quasilinear a-difference equations. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 118(23), 497-505.
- [27] Wong PJY, Agarwal RP (1995), Oscillation theorems and existence of positive monotone solutions for second order nonlinear difference equations. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 21, 63-84.